

BNL-101545-2014-TECH RHIC/PG/2;BNL-101545-2013-IR

Comments On A Previous Note (RHIC-1) About Intrabeam Scattering Calculation For Bunched Beams In Colliding Mode

A. G. Ruggiero

November 1983

Collider Accelerator Department

Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No.DE-AC02-76CH00016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

COMMENTS ON A PREVIOUS NOTE (RHIC-1) ABOUT INTRABEAM SCATTERING CALCULATION FOR BUNCHED BEAMS IN COLLIDING MODE

A.G. Ruggiero

(BNL, November 19, 1983)

There is a mistake in RHIC-1 note.

For the long bundles colliding mode the peak current is

whine

Ne, number of jourtides/lunch = 6 × 1000 to , ims lunch length = 50 m

As correctly stated in page 7 of the note

I = 0.023 Amp-particle

and this number was used throughout all the vest of the calculation except when we come to the intraleam scattering effects - At page 9 we enroneously state that the yeak current at $\gamma = 100$ is also the same than the average current at injection. By doing this we have underestimated the peak current by exactly as factor of 10. Therefore the diffusion rates

for intraleam scattering at top energy should all be modified as shown in the following table.

EN = 4 F mm. mrad

8 = 100

 $t_{\rm F}$ $t_{\rm F}$ $t_{\rm F}$ $t_{\rm F}$ 10 0.15 hours 2.1 20 0.56 1.5 30 2.0 50 0.6 3.0 80 20.

Unless of is very large the energy different time are too short to be accepted. Nevertheless the pllowing points are worth of consideration.

(i) There is a strong dynderce of the diffiguration rates with the iniel energy gread. It time integration is required to check how much actually the Iram will grown over a joined of time long enough [10-20 hours].

(ii) Diffusion rates are quickly reduced by increasing the initial energy spread.

The spreads we have assumed here are those at the limit of largestudinal stability. But it is possible to manipolate the burdes to larger bundle area, and therefore to larger greads. How large can be told initial energy spreads. I see three powells limited to the factors:

(a) the AGS bucket area and I have cheaty estimated this requirement.

(b) The transition energy crossing (if any) inplications

(c) The side in app of the RF stacking requirements.





