

BNL-101715-2014-TECH RHIC/AP/60;BNL-101715-2013-IR

Space Charge Mismatch for the Transition Energy Crossing in the AGS and RHIC

A. G. Ruggiero

April 1988

Collider Accelerator Department Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No.DE-AC02-76CH00016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

AD/RHIC-AP-60

٦

Space Charge Mismatch for the Transition Energy Crossing in the AGS and RHIC

> A. G. Ruggiero April 20, 1988

Space Charge Mismatch for the Transition Energy Crossing in the AGS and RHIC A.G. Ruggiero

One of the problems encountered in crossing the transition energy in the AGS or RHIC is the mismatch caused by the space charge forces acting between particles of the same bunch. The mismatch is commonly measured by the Sörenssen parameter η_0 , which is the ratio of the space charge forces to the focusing forces of the external rf cavities.

We estimate here the Sörenssen parameter η_0 adding also the contribution of the "inductive wall" to the space charge, and for bunches with gaussian distribution. Let

$$Z_n/n = i \frac{Z_o^g}{2\beta\gamma^2} - i\omega_o L = -i\omega L_{eff}$$

where the first term is the space charge proper and the second represents the "inductive wall" contribution. In Eq. (1)

 $Z_o = 377$ ohm $\beta, \gamma =$ velocity and energy relativistic factors $g = 1 + 2 \log (b/a)$ b = pipe radius a = beam radius $\omega_o = 2\pi \times \text{revolution frequency}$ L = wall inductance

If the beam bunch is made of ions of charge state Q, the energy gain (loss) per turn is

$$\Delta E_{sc} = Qe \sum_{n} Z_{n} I_{n} e^{in(\theta - \omega_{o}t)}$$
$$= - Qei\omega_{o} L_{eff} \sum_{n} n I_{n} e^{in(\theta - \omega_{o}t)}$$
$$= - Qe \omega_{o} L_{eff} \frac{\delta}{\delta \theta} I (\theta - \omega_{o}t)$$

for a particle located at $\theta - \omega_0 t$ within the beam current distribution $I(\theta - \omega_0 t)$. Because of the periodicity with θ , the orbit angular coordinate, the bunch current has Fourier coefficients I_n . Let

 N_{B} = number of particles per bunch

- $\sigma_{e} = rms$ bunch length
- R = average radius of closed orbit

then we can take

$$I(\theta) = \frac{Q \ \beta c \ e \ N_{B}}{\sqrt{2\pi} \ \sigma_{e}} \ e^{-\frac{\theta^{2}}{2\theta_{o}^{2}}}$$

where $\theta_{o} = \sigma_{e}/R$ and

$$\Delta E_{sc} = \frac{Q^2 \beta c e^2 N_B |Z_n/n|}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_e \theta_o^2} \quad \theta e^{-\theta^2/2\theta_o^2}$$

For small oscillations amplitude the equivalent contribution from the external rf cavities is

 $\Delta E_{rf} = QeVh (\cos\theta_s) \theta$

In proximity of the bunch center ($\theta \ll \theta_0$), the Sörenssen parameter finally is

$$= \frac{Q\beta ce^2 N_B |Z_n/n| R^2}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_e^3 ehV |cos\theta_s|}$$
(1)

where

 $\theta_s = rf$ phase angle V = rf voltage h = rf harmonic number

 η_{o}

The original theory by Sörenssen assumed a uniform bunch distribution, thus Eq. (1) is expected to yield a larger value for η_0 because of the assumed gaussian distribution and for the same overall beam dimensions.

We have calculated the Sörenssen parameter according to (1) and show the results in Figures 1 and 2. The parameters used are as follows

 $\gamma_{\rm T} = 8.5$ R = 128.5 m V = 0.3 MV for protons , 0.24 MV for gold $\theta_{\rm s} = 36^{\circ}$ 20° b = 10 cm h = 12

No $\gamma_{\rm T}\text{-jump}$ or other ways to speed up the crossing of the transition energy have been assumed.

The η_{o} parameter is displayed in Figures 1 and 2 versus the rms bunch area S_{o} for different number of particles per bunch N_{B} . As one can see from (1), η_{o} is linearly proportional to N_{B} and the coupling impedance |Z/n|. For each case two estimates were made: one which corresponds to pure space charge forces (S.C.) and no inductive wall (L=0), and the second for a total |Z/n| = 8 ohm. According to E. Raka an inductive wall contribution of 20 ohm can be derived from beam observations in the AGS. When from this one subtracts the space charge contribution of ~ 12 ohm, the value of 8 ohm is then obtained.

The usual requirement to avoid exceedingly large mismatch at transition crossing is $\eta_0 < 1$. This in turn can set a limit of the bunch area S₀ for a given intensity N_B which can be derived by inspection of Figures 1 and 2 with the following results:

For protons

$\frac{6 \text{ S}_0 \text{ for } \eta_0 \sim 1}{1}$
0.4 eV.sec
0.6
1.0
1.8
<u>6 S_o for η_o ~ 1</u>
0.35 ev.sec/amu

0.5

2.2

<u>AGS</u>

The results for RHIC are shown in Figure 3 for the case of gold with 2.2 x 10^9 ions per bunch. Other parameters are

 $\gamma_{\rm T} = 24.5$ R = 610.2 m Vsin $\theta_{\rm s} = 0.048$ MV/turn b = 3.6 cm h = 342 (26 MHz)

Again, no $\gamma_{\rm T}$ -jump has been assumed.

The space charge contribution to the coupling impedance is 1.4 ohm. We made two estimates, one with only space charge terms and the other with a total |Z/n| = 5 ohm. As one can see, for a given bunch area and intensity, the η_0 parameter is larger in RHIC when compared to the AGS case. In order $\eta_0 < 1$ the bunch area 6 S₀ should be 1-3 eV.sec/amu depending on the coupling impedance assumptions.

The situation would be considerably worst for the case where also proton bunches would be required to cross the transition energy, as it has been proposed recently for some rf schemes. The results for protons with 2 x 10^{11} particles per bunch are given in Figure 4. Depending on the value of the coupling impedance, 6 S₀ = 2-5 eV.sec in order $\eta_0 < 1$.

If the rate of transition energy crossing is increased with a suitable $\gamma_{\rm T}$ -jump scheme so that $\dot{\gamma}_{\rm T}$ =20 sec⁻¹, then the range of values of the bunch area (6 S_o) are reduced to 0.5-1 eV.sec/amu for the case of gold and 1-2.5 eV.sec for the case of protons.

RHIC







SERI-LOGARITURAG 3 GYGLES X 140 GIVISIOUS AD-0040 -61

<u> 영업직원위 원칙선문</u>]] БРАРНІС СОЛТРОІ S СОПРОВАТІОN – Bufalu, New Pratca и U S A

