



Brookhaven
National Laboratory

BNL-101784-2014-TECH
AD/RHIC/RD/2;BNL-101784-2013-IR

Proposed RHIC 160 MHz RF System

J. Griffin

July 1988

Collider Accelerator Department
Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC02-76CH00016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Proposed RHIC 160 MHz RF System

J. Griffin

Fermilab

July 15, 1988

J. Griffin
7-15-88

(1)

PROPOSED RHIC 160 MHz ($h=2052$) RF SYSTEM

Start out with a reasonable set of specs and boundary conditions. Do what comes naturally (to me at least) and look for insurmountable obstacles.

Requirements and assumptions

I assume a maximum dc beam current of 0.3 A, 57 or 114 bunches (with ~ 3 or 6 missing).


RF voltage ranging from ~ 500 kV upward to maximum affordable or ~ 11.5 MV, whichever comes first.

(16 cavities, 300 kV each unit, transit time factor ~ 0.9)

No comments on low frequency system at this time.


- Hypothetical cavity and PA
- Beam bunch shape, F.T., rf current recipe.
- Operation during storage
 - 11rf system
 - beam loading compensation (detuning)
 - fast tuner - slow tuner
 - feed-back loop (or loops)
 - amplitude control
 - transient problem
- Operation during switching from low freq system.
- Operation during acceleration
- Suggestions or wild and unruly comments

During the week we have seen several cavity design proposals ..



Take average - compromise - use superfish

Result (my guess):



Assume ω ckt generated by 100 kV
(Two tubes delivering 50 kW each)

$$R_{sh} = \frac{(0.8 \times 10^6)^2}{2 \times 10^8} = 3.2 \text{ Mohm}$$

$$\frac{1}{Q} = 125 \quad Q = 25600 \quad W_{st} = \frac{QP}{\omega} = 2.56 \text{ J}$$


$$\text{Time constant } \tau = \frac{2Q}{\omega} \approx 51 \text{ } \mu\text{sec.}$$

(when unloaded - or driven by tetrodes!)

Assume bunch line charge projection


$$i(t) = I_m \cos^2 \frac{\omega t}{2T} \quad |t| \leq T$$

$$= 0 \quad |t| > T$$




Normalized F.T.

$$F(\omega) = \frac{\sin \omega T}{\omega T} \left[\frac{\pi^2}{\pi^2 - (\omega T)^2} \right]$$




At 160.4 MHz
 $\omega = 10^9$



The F.T., normalized to 2, gives the factor by which one must multiply the dc current to get the rf current at freq. ω for bunch half width T .

Here $\omega T = 1$ Factor is 1.87

RF current $I_i = (1.87)(0.28) = 0.52$ A



RF generator current (referred to gap) for 800 kV

$$i_0 = \frac{800 \times 10^5}{3.2 \times 10^4} = \del{0.25} \text{ A}$$

Note - if tubes are operating at $V_a = 20$ kV and rf swing is full anode voltage, then step-up ratio is 40:1.

The tube - or tube pair - must be capable of delivering $(40)(0.25) = \del{10} \text{ A}$ of rf current. Looks OK.

Can we simply detune to compensate for beam loading?

Note - Automatic tuning (or detuning) feedback system probably uncomfortable with detuning angle $> 60^\circ$.

One does not want to detune more than half (less is better) than one rotation band (78.16 kHz).

Detuning angle

$$\tan \theta = \frac{i_i}{i_o} \cos \phi_s = \frac{i_i}{i_o} \quad \text{since } \phi_s = 180^\circ$$

$$\text{so } \tan \theta \approx 2 \quad (\text{i.e. } \frac{0.5}{0.25}) \quad \theta = \underline{\underline{63.4^\circ}} \quad \text{OK}$$


$$\text{also } \tan \theta = 2Q \frac{\Delta \omega}{\omega} \quad \text{or } 2Q \frac{\Delta f}{f} = 2$$

$$\Delta f = \frac{2f}{2Q} = \frac{160.4 \text{ MHz}}{22500} = \underline{\underline{7.1 \text{ kHz}}} \quad \text{OK}$$

about 0.1 rotation band.


Cavity half-power bandwidth

$$\Delta f_{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{f}{Q} \quad \text{also}$$



So: During store, steady state beam loading can easily be accounted for by a local cavity tuning feedback system.


Note - Detuning is correct sign to establish Robinson stability.




The net gap voltage is in quadrature with the beam current, as required for stationary bucket, and it is in phase with the generator current, so the load looks real to the generator (rf power source).

It is important to note here that if a bit of feed-forward current is introduced at the beam current phase, the detuning angle will be decreased just as if the beam current had been reduced. This would allow a decrease in the generator current and the net gap voltage, accompanied by a return back to the maximum value ($\approx 60^\circ$) of the detuning angle.

Low level rf signal source required.



This system makes signals available at each rf station at the beam phase and at the beam phase + $\pi/2$, as required to create a stationary bucket above transition.



A phase detector compares the gap voltage phase with ~~the~~ a representative of the generator current phase $\phi_k + \frac{\pi}{2}$ and detunes the cavity so that for that component of generator current the load looks real.

Tuning. We need about ± 10 kHz.

Tuner stored energy $\frac{\Delta W}{W} = 2 \frac{\Delta f}{f} = 2.5 \times 10^{-4}$

$\Delta W = (2.5 \times 10^{-4})(2.6) = 6 \times 10^{-4}$ Joules


Since one cannot bias all of the stored energy out of ferrite, better store

about 10^{-3} Joules

Using Yt Ga microwave ferrite $Q \approx 3000$


$$P = \frac{\omega W_{st}}{Q} = \frac{(10^9)(10^{-3})}{3 \times 10^3} \approx 300 \text{ Watts.}$$

At 1 W/cc one needs 300 cc ferrite, not much. Contained in cylindrical can on cavity wall. Evacuated ??



the can should be slotted longitudinally to allow rapid entry of bias flux to ferrite.


Each Power amplifier should have a controllable series tube modulator so that its anode voltage can be adjusted quickly and precisely between zero and maximum (say 20 kV).



A feedback loop, sensing the screen current of the PA tubes feeds a signal to the driver amplifier which adjusts the P.A. current so that the anode rf voltage swing is from V_a to below the screen voltage ($\sim 400 \text{ V}$) (and, of course up to $2V_a$ also). When the anode voltage swings below the screen, a sharp rise in I_{sg} can be detected easily.

Now we have precise control of the phase and amplitude of the cavity, how do we control the total voltage?

By operating pairs of cavities 180° out of phase, i.e.




If I and II are each operating at, say 400 keV (requiring some feed-forward if full beam intensity) and I is raised to 800 keV - net stationary bucket voltage goes to 400 keV.

Subsequently II can be lowered to ~ 200kV then jumped in phase by 180 degrees so that it adds to I. At this time I is dropped by 200kV (800 → 600 using series tube modulator program) Then each can be raised as needed. Finally all cavities are in phase at, say, half voltage, and all raised to maximum as needed.

Note that since there is always some net stationary bucket, the result of all + and - detuning will be Robinson stable.

During acceleration all high frequency cavities are operated at about the 300kV level and all counterphased as above, providing no net voltage save for error level which will generate only a focussing or defocussing slope. Since bunches are much longer, Ir is smaller and lower generator current can be used with adequate detuning angle.

During acceleration:



There may be substantial beam induced voltage in H.F. system anyway, so this is a means of controlling it.

Note - at Fermilab protons and antiprotons pass in opposite directions through the same cavities. (8 cavities, 4 for each) The cavities are phased and located so that protons see only 4 cavities and antiprotons the other 4. The phases, (i.e. azimuthal position) of P's can be adjusted w.r.t. \vec{P}_s .

The H.F. system must now be tunable over the entire β range. This can be done with a slow mechanical tuner so long as the fast ferrite tuner has the range to correct small errors enroute. Ferrite tuner range might have to be increased by $\times 2$ over earlier suggestion.

During acceleration the H.F. system is supposed to be "Robinson neutral". The low frequency system should be detuned to compensate for beam loading and provide Robinson stability.

This means that the phases and amplitudes of the cavities can be maintained sufficiently well so that one specie sees negligible interference from the cavities directed at the other.

Using series tube modulation: amplitude control one should be able to control the amplitude to a few hundred volts in a few hundred μ s. $\sim 1\%$.

So far I have invoked "local" amplitude and detuning feedback, but no local rf feedback. I did require some measure of controlled feed forward.

CONCLUSION

I have not yet encountered any insurmountable obstacles. Everything looks manageable in a fairly straightforward manner.

Suggestions

- Get a summer student (or etc.) to build a full scale cavity out of wood (or something). Two half-shells. Cover with thin copper foil (or Al.). Measure everything - transfer ratio, tuning range, spurious modes - etc. Make photographs -

- Since both cavities (or systems) are to operate simultaneously, look into possibility of making a cavity to do both jobs simultaneously. (i.e. low freq now ~ 50 MHz)

- Simulate acceleration with small amount of properly phased sixth harmonic of either sign.

~~Acceleration~~

Rough Cost Estimate -

Per rf station -

RF cavity	35 K
Power Amp. w/ driver	40 K
series tube modulator	120 K
Tuning bias supply	40 K
Ferrite tuner	15 K
control electronics	30 K
	<hr/>
	280 K

Does not include anode power supplies -

one for each eight stations

2 x 30 kv 100 A - ??

Global low level rf and computer inter face etc -