

# Power Supply Accuracy Requirements Due to Fluctuations in the Tune

G. Parzen

October 1990

Collider Accelerator Department  
**Brookhaven National Laboratory**

**U.S. Department of Energy**

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC02-76CH00016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

## **DISCLAIMER**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

AD/RHIC/AP-92

RHIC PROJECT  
Brookhaven National Laboratory

**Power Supply Accuracy Requirements Due to  
Fluctuations in the Tune**

G. Parzen

October 1990

# Power Supply Accuracy Requirements due to Fluctuations in the Tune

**G. Parzen**

## 1. Introduction

It is assumed that the goal in setting the power supply accuracy is to keep the variation in the tune to within

$$\Delta\nu \leq 1 \times 10^{-3}$$

This note will consider only the major sources of  $\Delta\nu$ , of which there are three:

1.  $I_Q$ , the current in all the quadrupoles except Q1, Q2, Q3. Errors in  $I_Q$  will generate a  $\Delta\nu$  according to

$$\Delta\nu_Q = C_Q \left( \frac{\Delta I}{I} \right)_Q$$

2.  $I_{Q123}$ , the current in Q1, Q2, Q3

$$\Delta\nu_{Q123} = C_{Q123} \left( \frac{\Delta I}{I} \right)_{Q123}$$

3.  $I_B$ , the current in all the dipoles except BC1 which is separately powered

$$\Delta\nu_B = C_B \left( \frac{\Delta I}{I} \right)_B$$

$(\Delta I/I)_B$  causes an orbit displacement, and this displacement at the sextupoles causes a  $\Delta\nu$ .

It may be shown analytically that  $C_Q$  is equal to the chromaticity due to these quadrupoles,  $C_{Q123}$  is the equal to the chromaticity due to Q1, Q2, Q3 and  $C_B$  is equal to the chromaticity of the sextupoles if the contribution of BC1 to the chromaticity is small, which it is.

Thus if the chromaticity is set to nearly zero, then

$$C_B + C_Q + C_{Q123} \simeq 0 .$$

For a First Pass, the  $\Delta\nu$  due to all other bypasses and trim and the BC1 power supplies will be neglected. It is assumed that the power supply accuracies can be chosen to make

these sources of  $\Delta\nu$  relatively small. If this is not always true, one can correct the results on a Second Pass.

It is assumed that in computing the power supply accuracy, the relevant energy swing is 3.33, from  $\gamma = 30$  to  $\gamma = 100$ .

One can compute  $C_B$ ,  $C_Q$  and  $C_{Q123}$  and find the results

$$\begin{array}{ll} \beta^* = 6 & \begin{array}{l} C_Q = 31 \\ C_{Q123} = 16 \\ C_B = -47 \end{array} \\ \beta^* = 2 & \begin{array}{l} C_Q = 31 \\ C_{Q123} = 47 \\ C_B = -78 \end{array} \end{array}$$

These results show that Q1, Q2, Q3 can generate as much  $\Delta\nu$  as the arc quadrupoles.

## 2. Computation of the Power Supply Accuracy

The  $\Delta\nu$  due to all 3 sources is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\nu &= C_B \left( \frac{\Delta I}{I} \right)_B + C_Q \left( \frac{\Delta I}{I} \right)_Q + C_{Q123} \left( \frac{\Delta I}{I} \right)_{Q123} \\ C_B + C_Q + C_{Q123} &\simeq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Case 1: Series Connection, one power supply

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{\Delta I}{I} \right)_B &= \left( \frac{\Delta I}{I} \right)_Q = \left( \frac{\Delta I}{I} \right)_{Q123} \\ \Delta\nu &= (C_B + C_Q + C_{Q123}) \left( \frac{\Delta I}{I} \right)_B \end{aligned}$$

Because  $C_B + C_Q + C_{Q123} \simeq 0$ ,  $\Delta\nu$  does not give a tolerance on  $(\Delta I/I)_B$ . The tolerance will come from other effects which are not considered here. The accuracy of  $(\Delta I/I)_B$  will probably be set at whatever is the best value technically feasible, which is about (G. Cottingham)

$$\left( \frac{\Delta I}{I} \right)_B \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-5}$$

at the lowest current level that is important, which may be at  $\gamma = 30$ .

### Case 2: 3 Power Supplies, $I_{Q123} \neq I_B$

The dipoles are on one power supply which determines  $I_B$ . The quadrupoles are on a second power supply that determines  $I_Q$ . Q1Q2Q3 have a 2000 Amp bypass that determines  $I_{Q123}$ .

The power supply tolerances depend on how these power supplies are set. It is assumed that the  $I_Q$  power supply is set by monitoring  $I_Q - I_B$ , and the  $I_{Q123}$  bypass is set by monitoring  $I_{Q123} - I_B$ .

In the case of the  $I_{Q123}$  bypass, one can think of several other current combinations that one might monitor. The above choice tends to make  $\Delta\nu_B$  small.

In order to find the current tolerances one has to distribute the allowed  $\Delta\nu = 1 \times 10^{-3}$  among the 3 sources. To do this in a reasonable way, one has to rewrite Eq. (1) so that the currents being monitored,  $I_Q - I_B$  and  $I_{Q123} - I_B$  are obviously displayed. Let us write

$$I_Q = I_B + (I_Q - I_B) ,$$

$$I_{Q123} = I_B + (I_{Q123} - I_B) .$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\nu = & \left( C_B + C_Q \frac{I_B}{I_Q} + C_{Q123} \frac{I_B}{I_{Q123}} \right) I_B \\ & + C_Q \frac{\Delta(I_Q - I_B)}{I_Q} + C_{Q123} \frac{\Delta(I_{Q123} - I_B)}{I_{Q123}} \end{aligned}$$

For  $\beta^* = 6$

$$\Delta\nu = 11 \left( \frac{\Delta I}{I} \right)_B + 31 \frac{\Delta(I_Q - I_B)}{I_Q} + 16 \frac{\Delta(I_{Q123} - I_B)}{I_{Q123}}$$

We assume the 3 terms are uncorrelated and will add quadratically. We then allow  $1/\sqrt{3} \times 10^{-3} = 0.58 \times 10^{-3}$  for each term. This gives the current tolerances at  $\gamma = 30$

$$\left( \frac{\Delta I}{I} \right)_B = \frac{0.58 \times 10^{-3}}{11} = 5.3 \times 10^{-5}$$

$$\frac{\Delta(I_Q - I_B)}{I_Q} = \frac{0.58 \times 10^{-3}}{31} = 1.9 \times 10^{-5}$$

$$\frac{\Delta(I_{Q123} - I_B)}{I_Q} = \frac{0.58 \times 10^{-3}}{16} \times \sqrt{6} = 8.9 \times 10^{-5}$$

It has been assumed that the 6 Q1Q2Q3 bypasses are independently powered.

For  $\beta^* = 2$

One can compute the  $\Delta\nu$  due to each term using the above tolerances. One finds

$$\Delta\nu = 31 \left( \frac{\Delta I}{I} \right)_B + 31 \frac{\Delta(I_Q - I_B)}{I_Q} + 47 \frac{\Delta(I_{Q123} - I_B)}{I_Q} .$$

Thus at  $\gamma = 30$

$$\Delta\nu_B = 1.6 \times 10^{-3}$$

$$\Delta\nu_Q = 0.58 \times 10^{-3}$$

$$\Delta\nu_{Q123} = 1.74 \times 10^{-3}$$

These  $\Delta\nu$  are too large, but one can introduce  $\beta^* = 2$  at higher energies where these  $\Delta\nu$  become smaller. The above  $\Delta\nu$  are for 6  $\beta^* = 2$  insertions.

The above analysis can be applied to a new design of Q1Q2Q3 where  $I_{Q123} \simeq 5600$ . The main change is that  $\Delta\nu_B$  becomes smaller. The tolerances on  $\Delta(I_Q - I_B)/I_Q$  and  $\Delta(I_{Q123} - I_B)/I_{Q123}$  are unchanged.

#### Acknowledgment

I wish to thank Garry Cottingham for his considerable help.