

Proposed Criterion for the Dynamic Aperture

G. Parzen

December 1986

Collider Accelerator Department
Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC02-76CH00016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Proposed Criterion for the Dynamic Aperture

G. Parzen

BNL

December 2, 1986

It has been pointed out by F. Bell and H. Hahn that the tracking studies that have been done, have been asking for more stable aperture than is required. This note attempts to state requirements for the stable aperture in a ~~more~~ ^{more} precise way.

The dynamic aperture requirement may be stated by specifying a 4-dimensional surface in x, x', y, y' space such that any particle whose initial coordinates are within this surface is required to be stable. This ~~is~~ 4-dimensional surface may be called the safety surface, because this surface is considerably outside the 4-dimensional surface that contains most of the beam. Stability is required in this larger region to add an extra measure of safety.

Intra beam scattering ^{assumes} ~~provides~~ a particle distribution in x, x', y, y' that is given by

$$P(x, x', y, y') = \frac{1}{\pi^2 \bar{E}_x \bar{E}_y} \exp\left(-\frac{E_x(x, x')}{\bar{E}_x} - \frac{E_y(y, y')}{\bar{E}_y}\right)$$

where

$$\bar{E}_x = 2 \sigma_x^2 / \beta_x,$$

$$E_x(x, x') = \gamma_x x^2 + 2 \alpha_x x x' + \beta_x x'^2,$$

$$\gamma_x = (1 + \alpha_x^2) / \beta_x$$

\bar{E}_x is the average value of $E_x(x, x')$;

$$\bar{E}_x = \int dx dx' dy dy' P(x, x', y, y') E_x(x, x').$$

The surface of constant $P(x, x', y, y')$ is given by

$$E_x(x, x') + E_y(y, y') = \text{const.}$$

when $\bar{E}_x = \bar{E}_y = \bar{E}$, or

(3)

$$\Sigma_T(x, x', y, y') = \text{const},$$

where $\Sigma_T = \Sigma_x + \Sigma_y$.

The ~~surface~~ 4-dimensional surface that contains 90% of the beam is

$$\Sigma_T(x, x', y, y') = 4\bar{\Sigma} = 8\sigma_x^2/\beta_x$$

on this surface the maximum x is given by

$$\Sigma_x = 4\bar{\Sigma} = 8\sigma_x^2/\beta_x = (\sqrt{8}\sigma_x)^2/\beta_x,$$

or $x_{\max} = \sqrt{8}\sigma_x = 2.8\sigma_x$.

~~The 90% surface~~ This may be compared with the usual 95% emittance $6\sigma_x^2/\beta_x = 3\bar{\Sigma}$.

~~The~~ The 2-dimensional surface

$$\Sigma_x(x, x') = 3\bar{\Sigma}, \quad x_{\max} = \sqrt{6}\sigma_x = 2.5\sigma_x$$

contains 95% of the beam.

The safety surface may now be proposed as the surface

$$E_T(x, x', y, y') = 18\bar{E} = 36\sigma_x^2/\beta_x.$$

Particles whose initial coordinates are inside this surface are required to be stable.

Note that the safety surface is considerably outside the 90% surface $E_T = 4\bar{E}$.

On this surface the maximum x is given by

$$E_x = 18\bar{E} = 36\sigma_x^2/\beta_x = (6\sigma_x)^2/\beta_x$$

$$\text{or } x_{\max} = 6\sigma_x.$$

If one starts at x, x', y, y' where $E_x = E_y$, then the maximum x is given by

$$E_x = 9\bar{E} = 18\sigma_x^2/\beta_x = (6\sigma_x/\sqrt{2})^2/\beta_x$$

$$\text{or } x_{\max} = 6\sigma_x/\sqrt{2} = 4.3\sigma_x$$

It may be noted, that, in the same spirit, the dynamic aperture is not just a number but is also a

4-dimensional surface in x, x', y, y' , such that any particle, whose initial coordinates are inside this surface, is stable, and particles outside the surface are unstable.