

Proton Performance in RHIC

G. Parzen

September 1986

Collider Accelerator Department
Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC02-76CH00016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

RHIC-AP-33

Proton Performance in RHIC

G. Parzen

BNL

September 17, 1986

Proton Performance in RHIC

George Parzen

This note considers various effects that limit the performance of RHIC with proton beams, particularly at high energy $\gamma \approx 320$ and high intensity, $N_b \approx 10^{12}$ /bunch. These effects include intrabeam scattering, collective instabilities, and the beam-beam interaction.

The intrabeam scattering after $t = 10$ hours leads to certain requirements for the dynamic aperture. The limit due to the beam-beam interaction appears to give the most trouble. This limit can be avoided by using large enough beam crossing angles. Ways of getting around the limits due to the beam-beam interaction have been further refined and extended by Harald Hahn.

Aperture Requirements and

Proton Performance in RHIC

①

G. Parzen, 10/3/84

9/17/86

Important Limitations

- 1) Intra beam scattering
- 2) Beam-Beam interaction
- 3) Instabilities, $Z_{||}/h$, Z_{\perp}/h
- 4) Aperture ~~requirements~~
- 5) Space charge at $\delta = 30$.

Intra beam Scattering, $N_b = 10^{11}$ /bunch

- 1) Longitudinal growth
- 2) Transverse growth

~~requirements~~

~~requirements~~

~~requirements~~

Protons


2.5 δ vs γ .

$N_b = 1 \times 10^{11}$

$A_{rf} = .3 \text{ ev-sec}$

$V = 1.2 \text{ MV}$


$h = 6 \times 57$




0 50 100 150 200 250 300

Protons
 ϵ_N vs δ
 $N_b = 1 \times 10^{11}$

Transverse Growth
due to
Intrabeam Scattering




Average Luminosity vs γ
Protons, $N_b = 1 \times 10^{11}$



σ_z vs γ
Protons, $N_b = 1 \times 10^{11}$

Bunch Length Growth
due to
Intra beam Scattering



Aper ture Requirements, $N_b = 10''$ / bunch

$$\underline{\gamma = 30}$$

$$\sigma_H = 2.67 \text{ mm}, \quad 6\sigma_H = 16 \text{ mm}, \quad t = 10 \text{ hrs.}$$

$$A_{SL} = 16 \text{ mm at } \Delta p/p = 0$$

$$\Delta p/p = \pm 0.0033, \quad X_p \frac{\Delta p}{p} = \pm 5. \text{ mm}$$

$$\sigma_z = 30 \text{ cms.}$$

$$\text{Maximum } X = 26 \text{ mm at } \Delta p/p = \pm 0.0033$$

a, b, effects corrected by shuffling
and correctors if necessary.

Closed orbit effect $\leq 1 \text{ mm}$ after correction.

$$\underline{\gamma = 320, \quad N_b = 10''}$$

$$\sigma_H = 7.8 \text{ mm}, \quad 6\sigma_H = 47 \text{ mm}, \quad \sigma_z = 39 \text{ cms}$$

$$A_{SL} = 4.7 \text{ mm at } \Delta p/p = 0,$$

$$\Delta p/p = \pm 6.3 \times 10^{-4}, \quad X_p \frac{\Delta p}{p} = \pm 0.98 \text{ mm}$$

$$\text{Maximum } X = 7.2 \text{ mm at } \Delta p/p = \pm 6.3 \times 10^{-4}$$

Add 1 mm for closed orbit

Add 1 mm for a, b, effects.

$$\text{Maximum } X \approx 9 \text{ mm.}$$

Beam Beam Interaction ($N_b = 10^{11}$)

$$N_b = 1 \times 10^{11}, \quad \epsilon = 2.0 \times 10^{-6}$$

$\Delta V_{BB} = \frac{10037}{10052}$, head on collisions, $t=0$ initial.
 $\rightarrow 10030$ after 10 hrs.

$\Delta V \sim N_b / \epsilon_N$ independent of energy

Collective

Instabilities, $N_b = 10^{11}$

$$\gamma = 320, \quad \sigma_{z0} = 15.8 \text{ cms}, \quad \delta_0 = .102 \times 10^{-3} \text{ (initial)}$$

$$A_{\text{eff}} = .3 \text{ ev-sec}, \quad I_{\text{pk}} = 12 \text{ A}, \quad I_{\text{av}} = .43 \text{ A}$$

$$Z/n = 10 \text{ ohms}$$

$$N_{b,T} = .25 \times 10^{11} \text{ (Threshold)}$$

$$N_{b,T} \sim \delta^3 \left(\begin{array}{l} I_p < \frac{2 \pi E}{e} \frac{|n|}{(Z_{\text{eff}}/n)} \delta^2 \\ I_p = N_b e \beta c / \sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_z \end{array} \right)$$

$$\delta = .1 \times 10^{-3} \rightarrow .16 \times 10^{-3}$$

takes 1/3 hour due to FBS.

(8)

$N_b = 10^{11}$ use

$N_{b,T} \text{ (Transverse)} = 4.7 \times 10^{11}$ for $\delta = .16 \times 10^{-3}$

Problem if $N_b > N_{b,T} \text{ (Transverse)}$

Transverse $I_P < \frac{10 E}{\rho} \ln \left| \frac{\delta}{B} \right| \frac{1}{(Z_I/n)}$

$N_{b,T} \sim \delta^2$

May require δ increase by other means.

9

$$N_b = 10^{12} / \text{bunch}, \gamma = 320 \text{ operation}$$

Intra beam Scattering (t=10 hrs)

$$\sigma_H = .98 \text{ mm} \quad 6\sigma_H = 6 \text{ mm}$$

$$A_{SL} = 6 \text{ mm at } \Delta p/p = 0, \sigma_x = 88 \text{ cm}$$

$$\Delta p/p \pm 1.4 \times 10^{-3}, (\Delta p/p)_{\text{bucket}} = 2.3 \times 10^{-3}$$

$x_p \text{ or } p \pm \pm 2.2 \text{ mm}$

$$\text{Maximum } X = \underline{10 \text{ mm}}$$

Beam Beam Interaction

$$\Delta V_0 \approx \frac{N_b}{E_N}, \quad L_0 \sim \frac{N_b^2}{E_N \beta^{*2}} \text{ Head-on collisions}$$

$$\Delta V_0 \text{ too large by factor } 10, \Delta V_0 \approx .04$$

Use E_N to reduce ΔV_0 .

$$E_N = 10 \times 20 = 200$$

$$\sigma_H = 2.3 \text{ mm}, \quad 6\sigma_H = 13.7 \text{ mm}$$

$$A_{SL} = 13.7 \text{ mm at } \Delta p/p = 0$$

$$x_p \text{ or } p = 2.2 \text{ mm}$$

$$\text{Maximum } X = 20.4 \text{ mm at } \Delta p/p = \pm 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$$

$$N_b = 10^{12} \text{ / bunch (continued)}$$

Use α to reduce ΔV

$$\Delta V = \frac{\Delta V_0 \cdot 2}{1 + \sqrt{1+p^2}}, \quad L = \frac{L_0}{\sqrt{1+p^2}}$$

$$p = \frac{\alpha \sqrt{e}}{2 \sigma_H^*}$$

$p = \frac{11.5}{20}$ needed to reduce ΔV
by factor 10

L increases by 5 when $N_b = 10^{11} \rightarrow 10^{12}$

$$\alpha = \frac{6}{10.8} \times 10^{-3} \text{ required}$$

Note initial p is smaller
by factor 3.2

$$\text{initial } \Delta V = .004 \times 2.8 \approx .011$$


$N_b = 10^{12}$, Instabilities

$z/h = 10$, $N_{b,T} = .25 \times 10^{11}$

$\delta = .1 \times 10^{-3} \rightarrow .34 \times 10^{-3}$

$\sigma_{\text{bucket}} = .9 \times 10^{-3}$

$N_{b,T} (\text{transverse}) = 2.1 \times 10^{12}$ for $\delta = .34 \times 10^{-3}$



~~Beam size required for Au $\delta = 13\sigma$~~
~~at 10 mm~~

~~Space charge ΔV~~
~~Protons $z = 10$, $N_b = 10^{12}$ bunch, $I_{\text{peak}} = 57 \text{ A}$~~
 ~~$\Delta V_x \approx \Delta V_y \approx 27$~~

11.

Space Charge ΔV , $\gamma = 30$, $N_b = 10^{12}$ /bunch

Protons, $\gamma = 30$, $N_b = 10^{12}$ /bunch

$$I_{\text{peak}} = 97 \text{ A}$$

$$\Delta V_x \approx \Delta V_y \approx -0.27$$

Which is close to
Space charge limit.

Note

RHIC ; $\sigma_z = 20 \text{ cm}$, $\gamma = 30$

AGS

$\sigma_z \sim 8 \text{ m} = 800 \text{ cm}$
at injection

$\sigma_z \sim 1 \text{ m}$ at $\gamma = 30$

Space charge ΔV can be reduced
by keeping σ_z large, $\sigma_z \sim 1 \text{ m}$, at $\gamma = 30$