BNL-101689-2014-TECH

RHIC/AP/33;BNL-101689-2013-IR

Proton Performance in RHIC

G. Parzen

September 1986

Collider Accelerator Department

Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No.DE-AC02-76CH00016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

RHIC-AP-33

Proton Performance in RHIC

G. Parzen

BNL

September 17, 1986

RHIC-AP-33

Proton Performance in RHIC

George Parzen

This note considers various effects that limit the performance of RHIC with proton beams, particularly at high energy $\gamma \simeq 320$ and high intensity, $N_b \simeq 10^{12}$/bunch. These effects include intrabeam scattering, collective instabilities, and the beam-beam interaction.

The intrabeam scattering after t = 10 hours leads to certain requirements for the dynamic aperture. The limit due to the beam-beam interaction appears to give the most trouble. This limit can be avoided by using large enough beam crossing angles. Ways of getting around the limits due to the beam-beam interaction have been further refined and extended by Harald Hahn.

Aperture Requirements

and

Proton Perfomance in RHIC [redacted]

G. Parzen, ~~10/3/84~~ 9/17/86

Important Limitations

1) Intra beam scattering
2) Beam – Beam interaction
3) Instabilities, $Z_{\parallel}/n$, $Z_{\perp}/n$
4) Aperture [redacted]
5) Space charge at $\gamma = 30$.

Intra beam Scattering, $N_b = 10^{11}$/bunch

1) Longitudinal growth
2) transvers growth [redacted]


Protons
2.5 δ vs γ.
$N_b = 1 \times 10^{11}$
A_{rf} = .3 ev-sec
V = 1.2 MV
h = 6 × 57
ΔP/p bucket
Bunch Energy growth due to Intrabeam Scattering
t=50
t=10
t=0
2.5δ/10⁻³
γ
0
1
2
3
4
50
100
150
200
250
300


Protons
ε_N vs γ
$N_b = 1\times10^{11}$
Transverse Growth
due to
Intrabeam Scattering
$\varepsilon_N/10^{-6}$
90
80
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
0
γ
50
100
150
200
250
300
t=50 hrs
t=10 hrs
t=0

Average Luminosity vs γ

Protons, $N_b = 1\times10^{11}$




σℓ vs γ
Protons, $N_b = 1\times10^{11}$
Bunch Length Growth due to Intrabeam Scattering
σℓ (cms)
140
120
100
80
60
40
20
γ
100
200
300
t=50
t=10
t=0

Aperture Requirments, $N_b = 10^{11}$/bunch

$\gamma = 30$ $\sigma_H = 2.67$ mm, $6\sigma_H = 16$ mm, t=10 hrs.

$A_{SL} = 16$ mm at $\Delta p/p = 0$

$\Delta p/p = \pm .0033$, $X_p \frac{\Delta p}{p} = \pm 5.$ mm

$\sigma_\ell = 30$ cms,

Maximun X = 26 mm at $\Delta p/p = \pm .0033$

a, b, effects corrected by shuffling and Correctors if necessary.

Closed orbit effect $\lesssim 1$ mm after correction.

$\gamma = 320$, $N_b = 10^{11}$

$\sigma_H = .78$ mm, $6\sigma_H = 4.7$ mm, $\sigma_\ell = 39$ cms

$A_{SL} = 4.7$ mm at $\Delta p/p = 0$,

$\Delta p/p = = \pm 6.3 \times 10^{-4}$, $X_p \frac{\Delta p}{p} = \pm .98$ mm

Maximun X = 7.2 mm at $\Delta p/p = \pm 6.3 \times 10^{-4}$

Add 1 mm for closed ORBit

Add 1 mm for a, b, effects.

Maximun X $\simeq$ 9 mm.

Beam Beam Interaction ($N_b = 10^{11}$)

$N_b = 1 \times 10^{11}$, $\mathcal{E} = 20 \times 10^{-6}$

$\Delta \nu_{BB} =$ ~~.0052~~ .0037, head on collisions, $t = 0$ initial.

→ .0030 after 10 hrs.

$\Delta \nu \sim N_b / \mathcal{E}_N$ independent of energy

Collective Instabilities, $N_b = 10^{11}$

$\gamma = 320$, $\sigma_{\ell o} = 15.8$ cms, $\delta_o = .102 \times 10^{-3}$ (initial)

$A_{rf} = .3$ ev-sec, $I_{PK} = 12$ A, $I_{av} = .43$ A

$Z/n = 10$ ohms

$N_{b,T} = .25 \times 10^{11}$ (Threshold)

$N_{b,T} \sim \delta^3$

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} I_p < 2\frac{\pi E}{e} |\eta| \frac{\delta^2}{(Z_{\parallel}/n)} \\ I_p = N_b e \beta c / \sqrt{2\pi}\, \sigma_\ell \end{array} \right)$$

$\delta = .1 \times 10^{-3} \rightarrow .16 \times 10^{-3}$

takes 1/3 hour due to IBS.

$N_b = 10^{11}$ use

$N_{b,T}$ (Transverse) $= 4.7 \times 10^{11}$ for $\delta = .16 \times 10^{-3}$

Problem if $N_b > N_{b,T}$ (Transverse)

Transverse $I_p < \frac{10\ E}{e} \ |\eta| \ \frac{\delta}{\beta} \ \frac{1}{(Z_\perp / n)}$

$N_{b,T} \sim \delta^2$

May require δ increase by other means.

$N_b = 10^{12}$/bunch, $\gamma = 320$ operation

Intrabeam Scattering ($t = 10$ hrs)

$\sigma_H = .98$ mm $\quad 6\sigma_H = 6$ mm

$A_{SL} = 6$ mm at $\Delta p/p = 0$, $\sigma_\ell = 88$ cm

$\frac{\Delta p}{p} \pm 1.4\times10^{-3}$, $(\Delta p/p)_{bucket} = 2.3\times10^{-3}$

X_p $\Delta p/p$ = ± 2.2 mm

Maximum X = 10 mm

Beam Beam Interaction

$\Delta\nu_0 \simeq \frac{N_b}{\varepsilon_N}$, $L_0 \sim \frac{N_b^2}{\varepsilon_N \beta^*}$, Head-on Collisions

$\Delta\nu_0$ too large by factor 10, $\Delta\nu_0 \simeq .04$

Use ε_N to reduce $\Delta\nu_0$

$\varepsilon_N = 10\times20 = 200$

$\sigma_H = 2.3$ mm, $6\sigma_H = 13.7$ mm

$A_{SL} = 13.7$ mm at $\Delta p/p = 0$

X_p $\Delta p/p$ = 2.2 mm

Maximum X = 20.4 mm at $\Delta p/p = \pm1.4\times10^{-3}$

$N_b = 10^{12}$ /bunch (continued)

Use α to reduce $\Delta\nu$

$$\Delta\nu = \frac{\Delta\nu_0 \, 2}{1+\sqrt{1+p^2}} \quad , \quad L = \frac{L_0}{\sqrt{1+p^2}}$$

$$p = \frac{\alpha \, \sigma_e}{2 \, \sigma_H^*}$$

$p =$ ~~20~~ 11.5 needed to reduce $\Delta\nu$ by factor 10

L increases by 5 when $N_b = 10^{11} \rightarrow 10^{12}$

$\alpha =$ ~~10.8~~ 6 $\times 10^{-3}$ required

Note initial p is smaller by factor 3.2

initial $\Delta\nu \simeq .004 \times 2.8 \simeq .011$

$N_b = 10^{12}$, Instabilities

$Z/n = 10$, $N_{b,T} = .25 \times 10^{11}$

$\delta = .1 \times 10^{-3} \rightarrow .34 \times 10^{-3}$

$\sigma_{bucket} = .9 \times 10^{-3}$

$N_{b,T}$ (transverse) $= 2.1 \times 10^{12}$ for $\delta = .34 \times 10^{-3}$

Luminosity, $N_b = 10^{12}$, $\delta = 320$

$L = 1.3 \times 10^{32}$ … $= 1.3 \times 10^{32}$ … end.

$L \approx 2 \times 10^{32}$

Aperture Required, … $\delta = 15\sigma$ ([illegible])

$= 16$ mm

Space Charge $\Delta\nu$

Protons $\gamma = 30$, $N_b = 10^{12}$/bunch, I_{peak} = [illegible] A

$\Delta\nu_x \simeq \Delta\nu_y \simeq .27$

Space Charge $\Delta\nu$, $\gamma = 30$, $N_b = 10^{12}$/bunch

Protons, $\gamma = 30$, $N_b = 10^{12}$/bunch

$$I_{peak} = 97\,A$$

$$\Delta\nu_x \simeq \Delta\nu_y \simeq -.27$$

which is close to space charge limit.

Note

RHIC ; $\sigma_\ell = 20$ cms, $\gamma = 30$

AGS $\sigma_\ell \sim 8$ m $= 800$ cms at injection

$\sigma_\ell \sim 1$ m at $\gamma = 30$

Space charge $\Delta\nu$ can be reduced by keeping σ_ℓ large, $\sigma_\ell \sim 1$m, at $\gamma = 30$