



BNL-101659-2014-TECH

RHIC/AP/3;BNL-101659-2013-IR

## Coarse Aperture Study for the Window Frame Dipoles in RHIC

A. Antillon

August 1984

Collider Accelerator Department  
**Brookhaven National Laboratory**

**U.S. Department of Energy**

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC02-76CH00016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

## **DISCLAIMER**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Coarse Aperture Study  
for the  
Window Frame Dipoles in RHIC

Armando Antillon  
BNL, August 3, 1984

ABSTRACT

We use PATRICIA to study the quality of the multipolar contribution in the Window Frame Dipoles (WFD). In order to avoid possible noise with insertions, we only use 81 characteristic RHIC cell. As we want to make a comparison with available information on the Dedicated RHIC dipoles (DRD), we only determine the aperture of WFD for some values of  $\Delta p/p$ .

This exercise is made in order to provide information, from the dynamical point of view, of possible magnets to be used in RHIC. This study has already been made for the dedicated RHIC dipoles<sup>1</sup>, and we will take it as reference to compare the WFD results. The structure of the cells are of the FODO type with a dipole (10.7m) in between. Two families of sextupoles (with zero length) have been used to correct the natural chromaticity to a zero value. One family is in the center of the focusing quadrupole and the other in the center of the defocusing quadrupole. The multipolar contribution to ideal dipoles is simulated with zero length multipoles in the edges of dipoles. This is a rough approach, and work to take a better integration along dipoles is under progress.

Usually people in magnet design use coefficients ( $b'_n$ ) that give directly the deviation of the field, respect  $B$ , in a certain reference point, i.e.

$$\frac{B}{B_0} = \sum_n b'_n \left(\frac{x}{x_0}\right)^n, \text{ where } x_0 \text{ is the reference point,}$$

while Patricia use as input coefficients  $b_n$  given by

$$\frac{B}{B_0} = \sum_n b_n x^n$$

The obvious relation between them is

$$b_n = \frac{b'_n}{x_0^n}$$

The coefficients  $b'_n$  have been provided by J.Jackson<sup>2</sup>, and for low field dipoles (<1.9T) they are as follows (others which are not shown are zero for  $n \leq 22$ )

n	$b'_n \times 10^4$ (at 2.54 cm)	$b_n$
4	-.08	-19.2201
6	.08	$2.9791 \times 10^4$
8	.02	$1.15441 \times 10^7$
18	.01	$5.16408 \times 10^{22}$
20	-.01	$-8.00434 \times 10^{25}$

The quality of the dipole field is measured making a comparison between maximum beam size for ideal magnets (no multipoles) and maximum beam size when multipoles are included. The beam size in one direction is determined with the

emittance and the  $\beta$  function as  $u(s) = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_u \beta_u(s)}{\pi}}$

For a given aperture of the elements in the ring, we count the number of turns that a particle can give in the ring without exceeding in amplitude the aperture imposed.

This will give information about the physical aperture. When

this physical barrier is made too large (1000 mm), we will have information about the dynamical stability of the beam, i.e. the dynamical aperture.

We chose in PATRICIA a reference emittance of  $\epsilon_0 = 0.5\pi \times 10^{-6}$  m-rad in terms of its units, emittance is controlled by a parameter A in the way

$$\epsilon = \left(\frac{A}{10}\right)^2 \epsilon_0$$

Changing A we can see the number of turns a particle can give in the ring.

### 1.- Dynamical Aperture of Dipoles.

We will present results in the same way as in 1).

A has the same meaning as before.

B is the number of turns the particle will give if its amplitude does not exceed the aperture given.

C is the number of turns the particle gives in the ring.

D=beam size= $(x^2+y^2)^{1/2}$ . With  $\epsilon = \epsilon_x = \epsilon_y$ ,  $D = \left[ \frac{\epsilon}{\pi} (\beta_x + \beta_y) \right]^{1/2}$

At the end of dipoles  $\beta_x + \beta_y \sim 52.7$  m

$\frac{\Delta p}{p}$ (%)	A(B)	D (mm)
+0.2	80 (100)	>41.0
0.0	115 (100)	>59.0
-0.2	80 (100)	>41.0

Table I.- Dipoles with no multipoles have larger dynamical aperture than that shown (taken from reference 1)

$\Delta p/p$ (%)	A(B), A(C)	D (mm)
+0.2	99(100), 101(62)	50.8 $\leq$ D $\leq$ 51.8
0.0	109(100), 111(93)	56.0 $\leq$ D $\leq$ 56.9
-0.2	107(100), 109(100)	54.9 $\leq$ D $\leq$ 56.0

Table II.- Dipoles with Window Frame multipoles. Dynamical aperture.

Last table shows that at  $\frac{\Delta p}{p} = 0$  the dynamical aperture of WFD is  $\sim 20$  mm bigger than the corresponding DRD aperture.

### 2.- Physical Aperture of Dipoles.

To determine how stable is the beam near the operation emittance, a physi-

cal aperture of 30 mm has been taken in the elements of the lattice. Next table shows these results.

$\Delta\varphi/\rho$ (%)	A(B), A(C)	D(mm)
+0.2	48(100), 50(6)	$24.6 \leq D \leq 25.7$
0.0	50(100), 52(13)	$25.7 \leq D \leq 26.7$
-0.2	44(100), 46(25)	$22.6 \leq D \leq 23.6$


Table III.- Dipoles with Window Frame multipoles. Physical aperture.

If we consider that the physical aperture for ideal dipoles (without multipoles) is of the same magnitude as for DRD, ( $26.7 \leq D \leq 27.7$ ), then for the WFD, the physical aperture is  $\sim 1$  mm smaller. Finally we must to point out that this is not the real physical aperture. In order to determine it is necessary, as we already mentioned, to integrate the effects of multipoles in smaller pieces in each dipole.

#### References.

- 1.- G.F. Dell, Aperture Dependence of RHIC cell on multipole fields in the Dedicated RHIC Dipoles. Internal Report.
- 2.- J. Jackson, Private communication,


In this annex we will show the dynamical and physical apertures when we split the dipoles in three pieces, as we see in the next figure:



where  $l = 10.7$  m, MB and MN have lengths  $l/6$  and  $l/3$  respectively.

The program PATRICIA has been changed<sup>3</sup> to avoid the focusing forces at the edges of the dipole where MN is.

The tune, for which the aperture studies were made, is shown in the following tune diagram



The dynamical aperture is now:

$\Delta p/p(\%)$	A(B) , A(C)	D(mm)
1.0	148(100), 150(18)	$76.0 \leq D \leq 77.0$
0.8	152(100), 154(13)	$78.0 \leq D \leq 79.1$
0.6	150(100), 152(34)	$77.0 \leq D \leq 78.0$
0.4	144(100), 146(63)	$73.9 \leq D \leq 74.9$
0.2	144(100), 146(80)	$73.9 \leq D \leq 74.9$
0.0	138(100), 140(35)	$70.8 \leq D \leq 71.9$
-0.2	144(100), 146(55)	$73.9 \leq D \leq 74.9$
-0.4	140(100), 142(83)	$71.9 \leq D \leq 72.9$
-0.6	142(100), 144(39)	$72.9 \leq D \leq 73.9$
-0.8	136(100), 138(54)	$69.8 \leq D \leq 70.8$
-1.0	130(100), 132(99)	$66.7 \leq D \leq 67.8$




Table A-II.  $D_0 = 71.35$  mm

and the physical aperture is:

$\Delta p/p(\%)$	A(B) , A(C)	D(mm)
1.0	27(100), 29(17)	$13.9 \leq D \leq 14.9$
0.8	35(100), 36(1)	$18.0 \leq D \leq 18.5$
0.6	40(100), 42(1)	$20.5 \leq D \leq 21.6$
0.4	44(100), 46(1)	$22.6 \leq D \leq 23.6$
0.2	48(100), 50(5)	$24.6 \leq D \leq 25.7$
0.0	50(100), 52(19)	$25.7 \leq D \leq 26.7$
-0.2	46(100), 48(14)	$23.6 \leq D \leq 24.6$
-0.4	40(100), 42(29)	$20.5 \leq D \leq 21.6$
-0.6	34(100), 36(44)	$17.5 \leq D \leq 18.5$
-0.8	27(100), 29(73)	$13.9 \leq D \leq 14.9$
-1.0	23(100), 25(65)	$11.8 \leq D \leq 12.8$




Table A-III.  $D_0 = 26.2$  mm