

Comment on RHIC-PG-52 "Electron Trappings In RHIC From A Debunched
Proton Beam"

G. R. Young

May 1984

Collider Accelerator Department
Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No.DE-AC02-76CH00016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

RHIC-PG-55

COMMENT ON RHIC-PG-52

"ELECTRON TRAPPING IN

RHIC FROM A DEBUNCHED

PROTON BEAM"

G. R. Young

B-N L

May 4, 1984

5/4/84

Comment on RHIC-PG-52

"Electron Trapping in RHIC from a Debunched Proton Beam"

The following drift distances are quoted in RHIC-PG-52 for electrons moving for a time $t = \eta \tau_e$, where η is the allowable charge neutralization coefficient for a betatron tune depression $\Delta \nu = 0.0025$ and τ_e is the production time for one electron per proton. Values are $\eta = 0.0005$ and $\tau_e = 1.21$ sec.

The drift velocities are

- free $v_e \sim 1 \times 10^7$ cm/sec
- dipole trapping $v_D = 3.5 \times 10^5$ cm/sec
- quadrupole trapping $v_Q = 6.8 \times 10^6$ cm/sec.

The drift lengths $v \eta \tau_e$ quoted were

$$l_D = 212 \text{ m}$$

$$l_Q = 4119 \text{ m}$$

These should be

$$l_D = 2.12 \text{ m}$$

$$l_Q = 41.19 \text{ m}$$

The dipole term dominates; it is less than the length of the proposed CBA dipoles. Thus these electrons cannot be cleared; one must use less beam or go to bunched proton operation.

In CBA there was even more current, but the vacuum was much better notably in the dipoles 10^{-11} torr, not cold. Half the problem at present comes from CO in the warm region at an assumed pressure of 10^{-9} torr.

A solution to the problem would be to drop the warm pressure to 10^{-11} torr and ensure ~~that~~ leakage of all gas except H_2 which is always there.

Then one gets the following gas composition (see RHIC-PG-51)

warm 25% of circumference
Equivalent Nitrogen pressure 10^{-11} torr
100% H_2 300°K

cold 75% of circumference
Equivalent Nitrogen pressure 10^{-11} torr
50% He, 50% H_2 , 4.2°K

Gauge efficiency 100% CO, 50% H_2 , 50% He

$$\text{in warm } (0.5) n_{H_2} = n_{N_2}$$

$$\text{in cold } (0.5) n_{H_2} + (0.5) n_{He} = n_{N_2}$$

$$\text{and } n_{H_2} = n_{He}$$

By Definition

$$n_{N_2} = 2.687 \cdot 10^{19} \frac{P_{\text{torr}}}{760} \cdot \frac{273.16}{T_{\text{°K}}}$$

so

$$n_{N_2} = 3.22 \cdot 10^5 / \text{cm}^3 \text{ warm}$$

$$2.30 \cdot 10^7 / \text{cm}^3 \text{ cold}$$

	warm (25%)	cold (75%)
Densities		
H_2	$6.44 \cdot 10^5 / \text{cm}^3$	$2.3 \cdot 10^7 / \text{cm}^3$
He	—	$2.3 \cdot 10^7 / \text{cm}^3$

Then the electron production rates are $\frac{dn}{dt} = \text{~~0.5~~ } 0.5n$

where $\sigma = 1.8 \times 10^{-19} Z_{\text{target}} \text{ cm}^2$ for relativistic protons ionizing residual gas

$\text{H}_2^+ \quad .188 \text{ /s /proton}$

$\text{He}^+ \quad .186$

total $e^- \quad .374 \text{ /s /proton}$

or a time between electron productions of 2.67 sec which is 2.2 times longer than before.

This gives a dipole drift length of $l_D = 4.68 \text{ m}$

which is tantalizingly close to the length of 5 m dipoles. Clearing electrodes could then handle the electron load.

Better cold region vacuum would solve this.