



Brookhaven  
National Laboratory

BNL-101574-2014-TECH

RHIC/PG/31;BNL-101574-2013-IR

## A Study Of Luminosity Parameters

L. E. Roberts

February 1984

Collider Accelerator Department  
**Brookhaven National Laboratory**

**U.S. Department of Energy**

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC02-76CH00016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

## **DISCLAIMER**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

A STUDY OF LUMINOSITY PARAMETERS

L. E. Roberts

(BNL, February 2, 1984)

- I Purpose of This Study.
- II Definitions of Quantities Associated With the Luminosity.
- III Various Limits of Luminosity formulae.
- IV The Overlap Integral.
- V Some Results Are Listed.

## I Purpose Of This Study

Essentially the purpose of this study is twofold: a) We want to see how the various parameters that are essential to the luminosity, (i.e. that the luminosity has a strong dependence on), can be varied so as to give one fixed value of the luminosity; (b) We want to "see" how the luminosity can reasonably be expected to behave with respect to distance from the interaction region.

Intrabeam scattering is also a very important factor that must be (and is by several other people) taken into account. The papers by Piwinski<sup>1)</sup>, Broken and Mtingwa<sup>2)</sup> are in depth theoretical studies of this phenomena. However in the paper of Broken and Mtingwa exchange terms have not been included in the Coulomb scattering amplitude. The exchange terms may well make a significant contribution for

non-zero crossing angle and therefore should be investigated. In this work I don't investigate intrabeam scattering. Rather I will look at points a) and b) above.

## II Definitions Of Quantities Associated With The Luminosity

Firstly the theory of the luminosity has been worked on by many people and is fairly well understood. The basic theory that can be used here can be found in the paper by Lloyd Smith<sup>3)</sup> and in a note by A.G. Ruggiero<sup>4)</sup>.

In this study we concern ourselves with function beams, beginning by defining various quantities that the luminosity is dependent on.

Circumference  $\equiv 2\pi R$

$f_{rev} \equiv$  frequency of revolution of beam

$\alpha \equiv$  crossing angle of beams

$\sigma_L \equiv$  rms Bunch length

$B \equiv$  Number of Bunches

$N \equiv$  Number of IONS/BUNCH

$\epsilon^* \equiv$  Normalized Emittance  $\equiv \epsilon \beta \gamma$

$\epsilon \equiv$  Emittance at 100 GeV/AMU

for 95% of beam with gaussian distribution, such that

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{\epsilon \beta(s)}{6\pi} \quad \text{where } \beta_s = \beta^* + \frac{s^2}{\beta^*}$$

$L \equiv$  Luminosity



### III - Various Limits of Luminosity Formula

Reminder - We are using Gold at 100 GeV/A as a reference case.

bunches  
For Short  $t^{\vee}$  Head-ON - COLLISIONS

$$L = N^2 B f_{\text{rev}} / 4\pi \sigma_H \sigma_V \quad \text{III-1}$$

For LONG Bunches + COLLISIONS AT  
A Non-Zero Angle

$$L = N^2 B f_{\text{rev}} / 2\pi \alpha \sigma_x \sigma_y \quad \text{III-2}$$

FOR HEAD-ON COLLISIONS III-1 can be rewritten as  
(in terms of the normalized emittance)

$$L = \frac{3N^2 B f_{\text{rev}}}{2\beta^*(\beta\gamma)\epsilon^*} \sim \frac{N^2}{\epsilon^*} \quad \text{III-3} \quad 6$$

here  $\epsilon^*$  is the normalized emittance and we are assuming that beams 1 & 2 contain equal numbers of particles per bunch.

$\frac{N}{\epsilon^*} \equiv$  An invariant depending on:

a) The brilliance of the source

b) The space charge limitation

at the "bottleneck" point somewhere between the source and the collider.

Here the bottleneck is at the injection into the booster - between the Tandem and AGS and

$$\frac{N}{\epsilon^*} = (\beta\gamma^2) \frac{\pi B_f \Delta V}{2 \epsilon_0 E_0} \frac{A}{\phi^2}$$

so that the luminosity is given by

$$L \sim \frac{N^2}{\epsilon^*} = (\beta\gamma^2) \frac{\pi B_f \Delta V}{2 r_0 F_0} \frac{A}{\varphi^2} N \quad \text{III-5}$$

where

$A \equiv$  Atomic Number

$B_f \equiv$  bunching factor

$\varphi \equiv$  Charge Status

$\Delta V \equiv$   $\beta$ -tune dispersion  
(tune shift)

$r_0 \equiv 1.535 \times 10^{-6}$  cm the classical proton radius

$F_0 \equiv 1$  for non-relativistic beams ( $\beta \ll 1, \gamma \sim 1$ )

Note the dependence of the luminosity on the tune shift  $\Delta V$ . Although this is quite important it will not be the focus of this note.

## IV THE OVERLAP INTEGRAL

For bunched beams the luminosity is, in general, given by

$$L = N_1 N_2 f_{\text{encounter}} F_b \quad \text{IV-1}$$

where the overlap integral

$$F_b \equiv \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{3/2} (\sigma_{L1}^2 + \sigma_{L2}^2)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{ds \exp \left\{ -2s^2 \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_{L1}^2 + \sigma_{L2}^2} + \frac{\alpha^2/4}{\sigma_{X1}^2 + \sigma_{X2}^2} \right) \right\}}{(\sigma_{X1}^2 + \sigma_{X2}^2)^{1/2} (\sigma_{Z1}^2 + \sigma_{Z2}^2)^{1/2}}$$

IV-2

and  $f_{\text{encounter}} = B f_{\text{rev}}$ .

We want to evaluate this integral in general over the interaction region

$$-10 \text{ m} \leq s \leq 10 \text{ m}$$

UPON Consideration IV-2 becomes

$$F_b = \frac{4K_a}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dS}{\left[ \frac{\epsilon_{x1}}{6\pi} \left( \beta_{x1}^* + \frac{S^2}{\beta_{x1}^*} \right) + \frac{\epsilon_{x2}}{6\pi} \left( \beta_{x2}^* + \frac{S^2}{\beta_{x2}^*} \right) \right]^{1/2} \left[ \frac{\epsilon_{z1}}{6\pi} \left( \beta_{z1}^* + \frac{S^2}{\beta_{z1}^*} \right) + \frac{\epsilon_{z2}}{6\pi} \left( \beta_{z2}^* + \frac{S^2}{\beta_{z2}^*} \right) \right]^{1/2}}$$

$$\times \exp \left\{ -2S^2 \left( K_a^2 + \frac{\alpha^2/4}{\frac{\epsilon_{x1}}{6\pi} \left( \beta_{x1}^* + \frac{S^2}{\beta_{x1}^*} \right) + \frac{\epsilon_{x2}}{6\pi} \left( \beta_{x2}^* + \frac{S^2}{\beta_{x2}^*} \right)} \right) \right\}$$

IV-3

where

$$K_a = \frac{1}{(\sigma_{l1}^2 + \sigma_{l2}^2)^{1/2}}$$

IV-3'

IV-3 can readily be written in the following form :

$$F_b = \sqrt{\frac{C_2 K_a^2}{\pi^3 B_1 B_2}} \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\sec^2 \theta d\theta \exp \left\{ -C_2 \tan^2 \theta \left( K_a^2 + \frac{\alpha^2/4}{A_1 + \frac{B_1 C_2}{z} \tan^2 \theta} \right) \right\}}{\left[ \left( \frac{C_2}{z} \right)^2 \sec^4 \theta + P \right]^{1/2}}$$

IV-4

where

$$A_1 = \frac{\epsilon_{x1} \beta_{x1}^* + \epsilon_{x2} \beta_{x2}^*}{6\pi}, \quad A_2 = \frac{\epsilon_{z1} \beta_{z1}^* + \epsilon_{z2} \beta_{z2}^*}{6\pi}$$

$$B_1 = \frac{1}{6\pi} \left( \frac{\epsilon_{x1}}{\beta_{x1}^*} + \frac{\epsilon_{x2}}{\beta_{x2}^*} \right), \quad B_2 = \frac{1}{6\pi} \left( \frac{\epsilon_{z1}}{\beta_{z1}^*} + \frac{\epsilon_{z2}}{\beta_{z2}^*} \right)$$

$$C_1 = \frac{A_1 A_2}{B_1 B_2}, \quad C_2 = \frac{A_1 B_2 + B_1 A_2}{B_1 B_2}$$

$$P = C_1 - \left( \frac{C_2}{2} \right)^2$$

For arbitrary  $s$  then

Upper limit is  $\theta = \tan^{-1} \left( \sqrt{\frac{2s^2}{C_2}} \right)$ .

However for this study we will

use formula IV-2, plotting the integrand vs distance from the center of the interaction region.

## V Results

Numerous results were obtained for studies a) and b). These results may be obtained upon request from myself.

In the following pages I give the results for one simple case for a)\* and several cases for b).

## References

- 1) A. Piwinski, Proc. 9<sup>th</sup> Int. Conf. On High Energy Accelerators.
- 2) J. D. Bjorken + S. K. Mtingwa, Fermilab-Pub-82/47-Thy, July, 1982.
- 3) L. Smith, LBL-PEP NOTE-20, April, 1972
- 4) A.G. Ruggiero, RHIC-PG-4, BNL, November, 1983.

\* I give a plot of  $\sigma$  vs  $E$  for  $\alpha = 0$ .


Results

of

Study

a)






Results

of

Study

b)



CASE # 1

$\alpha$	$\sigma_{x1}$	$\sigma_{x2}$	$\epsilon_{x1}$	$\epsilon_{x2}$	$\beta_{x1}^*$	$\beta_{x2}^*$	$\epsilon_{z1}$	$\epsilon_{z2}$	$\beta_{z1}^*$	$\beta_{z2}^*$
.002	$\frac{10}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{10}{\sqrt{2}}$	.1	.1	2	2	.1	.1	40	40

DATA FOR GRAPH

S	F <sub>b</sub>
0.0000	.2650E+03
10.0000	.6820E+01
20.0000	.7908E-02
30.0000	.2147E-06
40.0000	.1184E-12
50.0000	.1276E-20
60.0000	.2633E-30
70.0000	.1032E-41
80.0000	.7615E-55
90.0000	.1054E-69
100.0000	.2722E-86
110.0000	.1309E-104


CASE # 6

$\alpha$	$\sigma_{x1}$	$\sigma_{x2}$	$\epsilon_{x1}$	$\epsilon_{x2}$	$\beta_{x1}^*$	$\beta_{x2}^*$	$\epsilon_{z1}$	$\epsilon_{z2}$	$\beta_{z1}^*$	$\beta_{z2}^*$
.004	$\frac{10}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{10}{\sqrt{2}}$	.1	.1	2	2	.1	.1	40	40


DATA FOR GRAPH

S	F <sub>b</sub>
0.0000	.2650E+03
10.0000	.6813E+01
20.0000	.7899E-02
30.0000	.2144E-06
40.0000	.1183E-12
50.0000	.1274E-20
60.0000	.2630E-30
70.0000	.1030E-41
80.0000	.7606E-55
90.0000	.1052E-69
100.0000	.2719E-86
110.0000	.1308-104


OVERLAP INTEGRAND



$\alpha$	$\sigma_{l1}$	$\sigma_{l2}$	$\epsilon_{x1}$	$\epsilon_{x2}$	$\beta_{x1}^*$	$\beta_{x2}^*$	$\epsilon_{z1}$	$\epsilon_{z2}$	$\beta_{z1}^*$	$\beta_{z2}^*$	Case #
0	$\frac{10}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{10}{\sqrt{2}}$	.2	.2	2	2	.2	.2	2	2	91
"	"	"	.1	.1	4	4	.1	.1	4	4	92




$\alpha$	$\sigma_{L1}$	$\sigma_{L2}$	$\epsilon_{x1}$	$\epsilon_{x2}$	$\beta_{x1}^*$	$\beta_{x2}^*$	$\epsilon_{z1}$	$\epsilon_{z2}$	$\beta_{z1}^*$	$\beta_{z2}^*$	Case #
0	$\frac{20}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{20}{\sqrt{2}}$	.2	.2	2	2	.2	.2	2	2	93
			.1	.1	4	4	.1	.1	4	4	94




$\alpha$	$\sigma_{L1}$	$\sigma_{L2}$	$\epsilon_{x1}$	$\epsilon_{x2}$	$\beta_{x1}^*$	$\beta_{x2}^*$	$\epsilon_{z1}$	$\epsilon_{z2}$	$\beta_{z1}^*$	$\beta_{z2}^*$	Case #
0	$\frac{30}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{30}{\sqrt{2}}$	.2	.2	2	2	.2	.2	2	2	95
			.1	.1	4	4	.1	.1	4	4	96





$\alpha$	$\sigma_{x1}$	$\sigma_{x2}$	$\epsilon_{x1}$	$\epsilon_{x2}$	$\beta_{x1}^*$	$\beta_{x2}^*$	$\epsilon_{z1}$	$\epsilon_{z2}$	$\beta_{z1}^*$	$\beta_{z2}^*$	Case #
0	$\frac{40}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{40}{\sqrt{2}}$	.2	.2	2	2	.2	.2	2	2	97
			.1	.1	4	4	.1	.1	4	4	98



$\alpha$	$\sigma_{x1}$	$\sigma_{x2}$	$\epsilon_{x1}$	$\epsilon_{x2}$	$\beta_{x1}^*$	$\beta_{x2}^*$	$\epsilon_{z1}$	$\epsilon_{z2}$	$\beta_{z1}^*$	$\beta_{z2}^*$	Case #
0	$\frac{50}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{50}{\sqrt{2}}$	0.2	0.2	2	2	0.2	0.2	2	2	99
			0.1	0.1	4	4	0.1	0.1	4	4	100