

Luminosity Estimates For The Lighter Ions: Equal Mass Collisions C+C, S+S, Cu+Cu, I+I

G. R. Young

December 1983

Collider Accelerator Department
Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC02-76CH00016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

LUMINOSITY ESTIMATES FOR THE LIGHTER IONS:
EQUAL MASS COLLISIONS
C+C, S+S, Cu+Cu, I+I
(see RHIC - PG-10)

G. R. YOUNG

(BNL, December 14, 1983)

Luminosity Estimates for
the lighter Ions;
Equal Mass Collisions
C+C, S+S, Cu+Cu, I+I
(see RHIC-PG-10)

G.R. Young

(BNL, December 14, 1983)

12/12/83

Luminosity for Light Beams: Equal Mass Collisions

We can have $3 \times (6 \times 10^8) = 1.8 \times 10^9$ ions/bunch for $^{197}\text{Au}^{79+}$ ions in the collider, according to Messrs. Ruggiero, Paryen & Lee. Beyond this, it evidently becomes difficult to handle intrabeam scattering for the bunch lengths we are considering. As intrabeam scattering is a small angle Coulomb scattering problem, it must scale as $(Z^4/A^2)^{-1}$. We can thus handle the following # ions/bunch for our other ions, assuming this scaling:

Ion	Ions/bunch in collider
$^{197}\text{Au}^{79+}$	1.8×10^9
$^{127}\text{I}^{53+}$	3.7×10^9
$^{63}\text{Cu}^{29+}$	1.0×10^{10}
$^{32}\text{S}^{16+}$	2.8×10^{10}
$^{12}\text{C}^{6+}$	2.0×10^{11}
$^1\text{H}^{1+}$	1.8×10^{12}

Compare these to the values calculated for a tune depression $\Delta v = 0.1$ in booster.
 (use $N_B/\epsilon_N = \beta \gamma^2 \frac{\pi \beta_F \Delta v}{2 r_0 F} \frac{A}{Q^2}$; set $\beta_F = 0.5$, $F=1$, $\Delta v = 0.1$; $r_0 = 1.535 \times 10^{-18} \text{ m}$)

Ion	T/A	β	γ	$N_B/\epsilon_N (\pi^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2})$	$\epsilon_N (\text{mm mrad})$ ($\epsilon_N = \beta \gamma \cdot 40 \text{ mm mrad}$)	N_B
$^{197}\text{Au}^{79+}$	5	.1032	1.00537	7.68×10^{14}	4.15	3.19×10^9
$^{127}\text{I}^{53+}$	7.8	.1286	1.00837	8.30×10^{14}	5.17	4.31×10^9
$^{63}\text{Cu}^{29+}$	11.6	.1564	1.01245	1.07×10^{15}	6.33	6.77×10^9
$^{32}\text{S}^{16+}$	14.1	.1720	1.01514	1.29×10^{15}	6.98	9.00×10^9
$^{12}\text{C}^{6+}$	16.5	.1858	1.01771	3.28×10^{15}	7.56	2.48×10^{10}

We took charge states for 2 stage tandem operation at 15 MV and assumed addition of the Heidelberg lines (see Fig 5, M Grieser et al IEEE NS- , (1983))

Before calculating the number of turns that would have to be injected into the booster from the tandem to reach these currents, we first estimate tandem performance for all ions in the standard set.

The tandem ion source can inject 200 μA (particles, as it makes 1^- ions for injection) for all our beams. Roy Middleton (U. Penn) has seen instances of 800 μA operation, but conditions for this are not yet reproducible, or even understood. Thus, we design for now with the 200 μA value.

We calculate based on 2 stage tandem operation @ 15 MV.

Ion	Terminal Charge State	% Abundance	High Energy End Final Energy	Exit Charge State	% Abundance	(200 μA injected) Current
^{12}C	6^+	21.8	105	6^+	100%	32.7
^{32}S	9^+	34.3	150	15^+	32.4	16.7
^{63}Cu	11^+	26.6	180	22^+	28.4	11.3
^{127}I	13^+	20.8	210	32^+	19.0	5.9
^{197}Au	14^+	17.8	225	37^+	16.0	4.3

The values in the last column are particle μA and include 75% transmission through the tandem. We can now calculate the number of turns that must be injected to reach the booster surge depression limit (assuming we have the line in place)

Ion	Rotation T (μsec)	N_B/turn	no. turns	Tandem Pulse length (μsec)
^{12}C	3.62	$7.4 \cdot 10^8$	33.5	121.3
^{32}S	3.91	$4.1 \cdot 10^8$	22.0	86.0
^{63}Cu	4.30	$3.0 \cdot 10^8$	22.6	97.2
^{127}I	5.23	$1.9 \cdot 10^8$	22.7	118.7
^{197}Au	6.52	$1.5 \cdot 10^8$	21.3	138.9

All of these pulse lengths are well under the "standard" 250 μ sec pulses being tried on the Tandem. The number of turns to be injected is large ($\sim 20-30$) but this largely arises from assuming we can handle 1.8×10^9 Au ions/bunch in the collider from the standpoint of intra-beam scattering. If we have to drop back to 6×10^8 ions/bunch, then all the values for number of turns decrease by $\times 3$ to be in the range of $\sim 7-11$ turns, which should be feasible. We are using a booster acceptance of 70π mm mrad, and early emittance measurements on the Tandem only yielded values of (unnormalized)

$$\epsilon = 1.8 \pi \text{ mm mrad} \quad \text{for } 100 \text{ MeV } ^{16}\text{O}$$

$$= 0.51 \pi \text{ mm mrad} \quad \text{for } 140 \text{ MeV } ^{32}\text{S}$$

(See P Thueberger, M M Kozlov & H Wagner IEEE NS-30 #4, p 2746 (1983))

The linac would only improve this, assuming no dilution. The number of turns to be injected would have to be then less than $\frac{40}{1.8} = 22.2$ for ^{16}O and less than $\frac{40}{0.51} = 78.4$ for ^{32}S . However, if we take into account the increase in $\beta\sigma$ through the linac, $\frac{(\beta\sigma)_{\text{out}}}{(\beta\sigma)_{\text{in}}} = 1.13$ for ^{16}O and $\frac{(\beta\sigma)_{\text{out}}}{(\beta\sigma)_{\text{in}}} = 1.8$ for ^{32}S , these values become 25.1 turns for ^{16}O and 141.1 turns for ^{32}S , which are in the range needed. Clearly, plenty of attention has to be paid to careful injection stacking into the booster to be sure the betatron phase space is loaded as efficiently as possible. One should also remark that the emittance measurements for the Tandem beams are "1st pass" numbers and may well improve with further experience in setting source parameters.

To get an idea of luminosity that might be obtained with lighter beams, we calculate for the common magnet case on page 3 of note RHIC-PG-10. We use formulae (same ion in each beam)

$$L = \frac{N^2 B \text{frev}}{4\pi \sigma_v^* \sigma_H^* f}, \quad \Delta \nu_{\text{beam-beam}} = \frac{Nr_0 \beta_0^* Z^2}{4\pi \sigma_v^* \sigma_H^* f \delta A}$$

$N = \# \text{ ions/bunch}$, $\text{frev} = 78.194 \text{ kHz}$, $\epsilon_N = 10\pi \text{ mm-mrad}$, $\beta^* = 1 \text{ m}$,
 $\sigma_{\text{vanderH}}^* = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_N \beta^*}{\delta \pi}} = 0.013 \text{ cm}$, $f = \sqrt{1 + \frac{\alpha \sigma_v}{2\sigma_H^*}}$ is 1 for $\alpha = 0$,
 head on collisions. Using N from 1st page, $B = 57$ bunches, we find

I on	N	L ($\text{cm}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1}$)	$\Delta \nu_{BB}$	($\alpha = 0^\circ$)
^{12}C	$2 \cdot 10^{11}$	$8.4 \cdot 10^{31}$.040	
^{32}S	$2.8 \cdot 10^{10}$	$1.6 \cdot 10^{30}$.017	
^{63}Cu	$1.0 \cdot 10^{10}$	$2.1 \cdot 10^{29}$.0089	
^{127}I	$3.7 \cdot 10^9$	$2.9 \cdot 10^{28}$.0055	
^{197}Au	$1.8 \cdot 10^9$	$6.8 \cdot 10^{27}$.0038	

where $\delta = 108.35$ (100 GeV/A, $1 \text{ amu} = 931.5 \text{ MeV}$)

So even though the luminosities look stupendous, the beam-beam tune shifts are too large. We expected this trouble because we scaled the ions/bunch from intrabeam scattering by Z^4/A^2 (Coulomb law Z, A dependence) while $\Delta \nu_{BB}$ only scales as Z^2/A .

A more sensible set of values is obtained by taking N determined from the booster space charge limit. Again using the common magnet scenario, we get the following

Ion	N	L (cm ⁻² sec ⁻¹)	ΔV_{BB}	($\alpha=0^\circ$)
¹² C	$2.5 \cdot 10^{10}$	$1.3 \cdot 10^{30}$.0050	
³² S	$9.0 \cdot 10^9$	$1.7 \cdot 10^{29}$.0048	
⁶³ Cu	$6.8 \cdot 10^9$	$9.7 \cdot 10^{28}$.0060	
¹²⁷ I	$3.4 \cdot 10^9$	$2.4 \cdot 10^{28}$.0050	
¹⁹⁷ Au	$1.6 \cdot 10^9$	$5.4 \cdot 10^{27}$.0033	

(We used stripping efficiencies of 50% for Au, 80% for I and 100% for Cu & S out of the booster into the A6S. C is already fully stripped in the booster)

These are getting closer. If one insists on $\Delta V_{BB} \leq 0.003$, then the following table for N, L, N_{booster} and # turns injected into the booster results,

Ion	ΔV_{BB}	N	L	N _{booster}	# turns
¹² C	.003	$1.50 \cdot 10^{10}$	$4.7 \cdot 10^{29}$	$1.50 \cdot 10^{10}$	20.1
³² S	.003	$5.63 \cdot 10^9$	$6.6 \cdot 10^{28}$	$5.63 \cdot 10^9$	13.8
⁶³ Cu	.003	$3.37 \cdot 10^9$	$2.4 \cdot 10^{28}$	$3.37 \cdot 10^9$	11.2
¹²⁷ I	.003	$2.04 \cdot 10^9$	$8.7 \cdot 10^{27}$	$2.55 \cdot 10^9$	13.4
¹⁹⁷ Au	.003	$1.42 \cdot 10^9$	$4.2 \cdot 10^{27}$	$2.84 \cdot 10^9$	19.0

(The number of turns for ¹²C has always been anomalously large due to selecting charge state 6⁺ in the tandem terminal. If 5⁺ were selected, which has a 64% abundance (foil stripper) compared to 6⁺ abundance of 21.8%, the number of turns injected into the booster could be relaxed by almost 3 - not ~~exactly~~ exactly, as the linear exit energy would drop slightly as the injection energy from the tandem would drop from 105 MeV to 90 MeV (15 MV tandem).)

These luminosities for lighter ions are probably still large enough to fry eggs or detectors, so it is not clear whether great effort needs be expended to increase them. Input from experimenters will help clarify this, as the number of secondary particles in a C+C collision is much smaller than for Au+Au, but the scaling with mass is not clear.

We can calculate another table based on the septum magnet solution in RHIC-PG-10 page 3. Now much shorter bunches are used, $\sigma_z = 15 \text{ cm}$ for better L, but the #ions/bunch then must decrease. Following PG-10, use 6×10^8 /bunch for Au. Now use $\beta^* = 2 \text{ m}$, $\gamma = 108.35$ (100 GeV/A), $E_N = 10\pi \text{ mm mrad}$, $\sigma_v^* = \sigma_u^* = 0.0175 \text{ cm}$, $\beta = \frac{\alpha \sigma_z}{2\sigma_u^*} = 0.857$, $f = \sqrt{1+p^2} = 1.317$, $f_{rev} = 78,194 \text{ kHz}$ and $B = 171$ (ie find a fast kicker magnet). For N, use the values from the booster or the scaled (by Z^4/A^2) value based on intrabeam scattering for Au in the collider. For Au, I and Cu, the intrabeam scattering limit is smaller; for S and C the booster limit is smaller.

$\alpha = 2 \text{ mrad} - \text{septum magnet}$			
Ion	N /bunch	L ($\text{cm}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1}$)	ΔV_{BB}
^{12}C	2.5×10^{10}	1.6×10^{30}	.0042
^{32}S	9.0×10^9	2.1×10^{29}	.0040
^{63}Cu	3.3×10^9	2.9×10^{28}	.0025
^{127}I	1.2×10^9	3.8×10^{27}	.0015
^{197}Au	6×10^8	9.5×10^{26}	.0011

← a little too big

The values for C and S are still a little large for Δv_{BB} .
Asking for $\Delta v_{BB} \leq .003$ gives

Ion	N	L	Δv_{BB}
C	$1.78 \cdot 10^{10}$	$8.2 \cdot 10^{29}$.003
S	$6.75 \cdot 10^9$	$1.2 \cdot 10^{29}$.003

still "OK" in terms of L.

So, we conclude, based on the suggestions in RHIC-PG-10 for the bunched beams scenario, no RF stacking, that

a) for head-on collision the beam-beam tune shift will catch us before the intrabeam scattering for the light ions, and we can relax the requested booster performance for light ions from the space charge limit

b) for small α crossing (≈ 2 mrad), intrabeam scattering limits heavy beams (Cu, I, Au) performance and beam beam tune shift still limits light ion performance

c) quite healthy luminosities are possible for C+C and S+S ($> 10^{29} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1}$) and $L > 10^{28} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ is possible for Cu + Cu and I + I

It would be nice, once we settle on method of calculating intrabeam scattering, to make calculations for C, S, Cu and I to determine what Z and A scaling we should use.

To get some idea of luminosity at low energy, we calculate for 5 GeV/A ($\gamma = 6.368$) equal mass collisions. Now $\beta = .98759$, so for circumference 3833.8 m, $f_{rev} = 77.226$ kHz. Still use $\epsilon_N = 10\pi$ mm mrad, H and V.

For bunched beams head on, use $\beta^* = 1$ m, $\sigma_{V,H}^* = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_N / \beta \beta^*}{6\pi}} = .051$ cm. $f = 1$, $B = 57$ bunches. Using N /bunch from the table on page 5, we get

Ion	N/bunch	L ($\text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$)	Δx_{BB}
^{12}C	$1.50 \cdot 10^{10}$	$3.0 \cdot 10^{28}$.0033
^{32}S	$5.63 \cdot 10^9$	$4.3 \cdot 10^{27}$.0033
^{63}Cu	$3.37 \cdot 10^9$	$1.5 \cdot 10^{27}$.0033
^{127}I	$2.04 \cdot 10^9$	$5.6 \cdot 10^{26}$.0033
^{197}Au	$1.42 \cdot 10^9$	$2.7 \cdot 10^{26}$.0033

$\alpha = 0$
5 GeV/A

For crossing at $\alpha = 2$ mrad, $\rho = \frac{\alpha \sigma_H}{2\sigma_V} = .294$, $f = \sqrt{1+\rho^2} = 1.042$, $B=171$. $\beta^* = 2$ m so $\sigma_{H,V}^* = .0728$ cm.

Ion	N/bunch	L ($\text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$)	Δx_{BB}
^{12}C	$1.78 \cdot 10^{10}$	$6.0 \cdot 10^{28}$.0037
^{32}S	$6.75 \cdot 10^9$	$8.7 \cdot 10^{27}$.0037
^{63}Cu	$3.3 \cdot 10^9$	$2.1 \cdot 10^{27}$.0031
^{127}I	$1.2 \cdot 10^9$	$2.7 \cdot 10^{26}$.0018
^{197}Au	$6 \cdot 10^8$	$6.9 \cdot 10^{25}$.0013

$\alpha = 2$ mrad
5 GeV/A

It might seem odd that the crossed beam luminosity is higher than the head on luminosity for C and S, but this is partly an artifact of the conditions assumed. For fixed Z, A and γ , if $\beta_H^* = \beta_V^*$ then the β^* dependence of Δx_{BB} in the expression on page 4 divides out,

and if one is limited by Δv_{BB} then $N \propto f \Delta v_{BB}$ where $f = \sqrt{1+p^2}$. (Here, γ, z, A , and ϵ_N are fixed.) Then

$$L \propto \frac{N^2 B}{f} \propto f \frac{B \Delta v_{BB}}{\beta^*}, \quad \text{Since we have } f=1 \text{ for head on}$$

and 1.042 for $\alpha = 2 \text{ mrad}$ @ 5 GeV/A ; ~~$B=57$~~ $B=57$ head on, but $B=171$ for $\alpha = 2 \text{ mrad}$; and $\beta^* = 1 \text{ m}$ head on, 2 m crossing then $L_{\text{crossing}} \sim 1.56 L_{\text{head on}}$ evaluated at the same Δv_{BB} .

Fixing $\Delta v_{BB} = .003$ for ^{12}C , we get $L = 2.48 \times 10^{28}$ head on and $L = 3.94 \times 10^{28}$ crossing, or a ratio of 1.59 : 1.

For heavy beams the intrabeam scattering takes over and head on yields the highest L ; the head on case also has a larger beam-beam tune shift.