

Intrabeam Scattering In RHIC

G. Parzen

December 1983

Collider Accelerator Department
Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC02-76CH00016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

RHIC-PG-18

INTRABEAM SCATTERING IN RHIC

G. PARZEN

(BNL, December 8, 1983)

RHIC- PG- 18

Intra beam Scattering in RHIC

G. Parzen

BNL , December 8, 1983

(0)

Table of Contents

1) Tentative Scenario

2) $\gamma = 100$ operation

3) $\gamma = 12$ operation

a) minutes lifetime

b) hours lifetime

4) Confidence in Theory and Results

a) Computer code accuracy

b) Theory accuracy

$\gamma = 100$ (1)
Scenario

$$N_b = 6.2 \times 10^8 / \text{bunch}$$

$$B = 57 \text{ bunches}$$

$$\sigma_z = 10 \text{ cm}$$

$$BF = 189$$

$$I_{\text{peak}} = 120 \text{ mA}$$

$$I_{\text{av}} = .45 \text{ mA}$$

$$\beta_y^* = 2$$

$$\beta_x^* = 40$$

$$\alpha = 4 \times 10^{-3}$$

$$\sigma_y = .115 \text{ mm}$$

$$\sigma_H = .51 \text{ mm}$$

$$\Delta V_{\text{beam-beam}} = .003$$

$$L = 2.2 \times 10^{26}$$

$$\beta_x^* \rightarrow 2, L \rightarrow 6 \times 10^{26}$$

$$L = \frac{N_b^2 B f_{\text{rev}}}{4\pi \sigma_y \left(\sigma_H^2 + \left(\alpha \sigma_z / 2 \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}}$$

$$r = 2$$

$$\delta = 100$$

$$\delta = 100$$

$$I_{peak} = 120 \text{ m.u.}$$

$$EPXN = EPYN = 4\pi \times 10^{-6} \quad (95\% \text{ emittance})$$

$$V = 22.6, \quad \beta_x = R/V = 26.5, \quad X_p = R/V^2 = 1.2$$

Vary δ , emittance Constant

$\delta/10^{-3}$.4	.8	1.2	1.6	2.0	
GH/hr	15.7	8.89	6.11	4.66	3.76	} Const, β_x, X_p
GS	12.9	1.83	.56	.24	.12	
GV	-.41	-.23	-.16	-.12	-.098	
GH			9.2			} Lattice (CBA)
GS			.41			
GV			-.0017			

Vary $EPXN$, $EPZN = 4\pi \times 10^{-6}$, $\delta = 1.2 \times 10^{-3}$

$EPXN/10^{-6}$	4	8	16	40	
GH/hr	7.97	2.98	1.07	.26	} Const, β_x, X_p
GS	.72	.55	.40	.25	
GV	-.21	-.083	-.01	-.046	
GH/hr	11.5	4.2	1.5	.37	} Lattice (CBA)
GS	.42	.35	.27	.18	
GV	.015	.087	.11	.10	

Vary $EPXN = EPZN$, $\delta = 1.2 \times 10^{-3}$

$EPXN/10^{-6}$	4	8	12	16	
GH	7.97	1.6	.71	.39	} Const β_x, X_p
GS	.72	.29	.19	.15	
GV	-.21	-.041	-.018	-.01	
GH			.94	.51	} Lattice (CBA)
GS			.29	.23	
GV			-.014	-.009	

$$\delta = 100$$

(3)

Time integration, $\delta_0 = 1.2 \times 10^{-3}$, $EPXN_0 = EPZN_0 = 4 \times 10^{-6}$

T (hrs)	0	.031	.0876	.191	.386	.765	1.53	2.53	Lum.
* EPXN/ 10^{-6}	2.67		6.0		13.5		30.4	39.7	
* EPZN	2.67		2.6		2.5		2.7	2.97	
$\delta/10^{-3}$	1.2		1.27		1.41		1.7	1.85	
GH/hr	7.97		4.44		11.28		1.15		
GS	.72		.58		.33		.083		
GV	-.21		-.13		-.011		.040		
Lum	1		.76		.48		.34	.21	.21
σ_H^* (mm)	.51		.77		.62		.47		
F			.0225		.007		.007		
								.17	
* 90% emittance									$L_{av} \approx 1 \times 10^{26}$ over 2 hrs

Time integration, $\delta_0 = .4 \times 10^{-3}$, $EPXN_0 = EPZN_0 = 4 \times 10^{-6}$

T (hrs)	0	.029	.133	.514	1.51	2.51
EPXN/ 10^{-6}	2.67	5.33	8.3	21.3	35.7	44.1
EPZN	2.67	2.59	2.51	2.51	2.7	2.94
$\delta/10^{-3}$.4	.56	1.1	1.1	1.46	1.63
GH/hr	16.9	4.81		13.4	.117	
GS	13.9	4.02		1.29	.101	
GV	-1.444	-.14		1.043	1.04	

2.13

(4)

 $\gamma = 12$ $\gamma = 12$, minutes operationGrowth $\approx 10\%/min$ goal $I_{peak} = 12 \text{ ma}$, $\sigma_z = 100 \text{ cm}$, $BF = 20$ $EP \times N = EP \times ZN = 4\pi \times 10^{-6}$ (95% emittance)

$S/10^{-3}$.2	.4	.8	1.2	1.6	2.0
GH/hr	-3.4	-1.2	.34	.67	.69	.65
GS	121	10	-.75	-.65	-.38	-.22
GV	-8	-2.8	.79	1.54	1.6	1.5
GH	.12	2.8	4.6	4.9	4.8	4.6
GS	120	13	.075	-.40	-.32	-.22
GV	-8.3	-1.6	3.1	4.3	4.5	4.4

} B_x, X_p constant

} Lattice (CBA)

 $\gamma = 12$, hours operationGrowth $\approx 1-2 \text{ hrs}$ goal, $EP \times N = EP \times ZN = 8\pi \times 10^{-6}$ $I_{peak} = 4 \text{ ma}$, $\sigma_z = 300 \text{ cm}$, $BF = 7$

$S/10^{-3}$.4	.8	1.2	2.0	4.0
GH/hr	-.19	-.023	.033	.054	.040
GS	3.0	.094	-.059	-.035	-.0066
GV	-.402	-.0498	.071	.118	.088
GH/hr	.235	.38	.41	.39	.30
GS	2.2	.012	-.067	-.035	-.0079
GV	-.13	.261	.36	.37	.29

} B_x, X_p constant

} Lattice (CBA)

 $\sigma_y = 3 \times 1.5 \text{ mm}$, $\sigma_H = 3 \times .51 \text{ mm}$, $2\sigma_z/2 = 6 \text{ mm}$

$$L = \frac{2.2 \times 10^{26}}{3 \times 12} = .6 \times 10^{25}$$

Computer Code Accuracy

- 1) Old Piwinski Theory (G. Parzen) - Constant β, x_p
- 2) Bjorken-Mtingwa Theory (G. Parzen) - Variable β, x_p
- 3) New Piwinski Theory (Ruggiero-CERN) - Variable β, x_p

① and ② agree within 10%

①, ② and ③ disagree, factor 1.3 in $1/\Gamma_E$
factor 2.6 in $1/\Gamma_H$

Theory Accuracy

error of factor 2 or 3 not unlikely?

Assumptions:

- 1) Gaussian distributions
- 3) particle force interaction,
and method of solution