

## RHIC Magnetic Design Study

H. Hahn

November 1983

Collider Accelerator Department  
**Brookhaven National Laboratory**

**U.S. Department of Energy**  
USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC02-76CH00016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

## **DISCLAIMER**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

RHIC MAGNET DESIGN STUDY

H. HAHN

(BNL, November 23, 1983)

H. Hahn

Nov. 22, 1983

## RHIC MAGNET DESIGN STUDY

OBJECTIVES:        Reduce Cost

Establish Dependence of cost on aperture, field and unit length  
(discontinuities?)

Dipoles and quadrupoles

Decision in March (R&D and construction cost)

New Magnet    12M\$ and 18 months delay    (?)

2-in-1 CBA     6M\$ and    6 months delay    (?)

METHOD:           Fill tunnel, lower field

Reduce aperture, bunched beams

Use other concepts

FNAL magnet

window frame (super ferric),

SSC

Conventional magnets

Different construction (BBC, HERA magnet)

Single layer CBA quadrupole

FUTURE MEETINGS: R. P. Shutt

H. Hahn  
Nov. 22, 1983

TENTATIVE GUIDELINES FOR RHIC MAGNET

- 2-in-1 physical configuration
- Separate magnetic excitation; operate at 2.5:1 ratio
- Horizontal beam crossing; spacing 24 cm
- Magnet Length (CBA) 4.4 m effective
- Field @ 100 GeV 33 kG
  - (higher if lumped trim coils or
  - more quadrupoles for higher transition energy)
- Field range 20:1
- Coil id. in arc 3 in.
  - Beam size @ 5 GeV  $\sigma \sim 6\text{mm}$
  - "7  $\sigma$ " rule, sagitta, momentum spread
  - Intra-beam scattering
- Operating temperature 4.6 K
- Probably cold bore in arc (insertions?)
- Straight magnets
  - (gain in aperture if curved)
- Field quality
  - $1 \times 10^{-4}$  rms at 2/3 aperture and low field
  - $10^{-3}$  rms at 1/3 aperture and high field
- Trim Coils (lumped or distributed?)
  - dipole correctors
  - quadrupole - separate bus for horizontal and vertical quads
  - sextupole
  - $b_0, b_2$ : if distributed - single layer enough
  - |                       |   |                      |
|-----------------------|---|----------------------|
| Skew Quad<br>Octupole | } | lumped in insertions |
|-----------------------|---|----------------------|
- Q1, Q2 non standard aperture
  - approximately present size