

Guidelines for Injection Dipole Multipole

G. Parzen

May 2002

Collider Accelerator Department
Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No.DE-AC02-98CH10886 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.



Guidelines for injection dipole multipole

BNL/SNS TECHNICAL NOTE

NO. 111

G. Parzen

May 24, 2002

COLLIDER-ACCELERATOR DEPARTMENT
BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY
UPTON, NEW YORK 11973

Guidelines for the injection dipole multipoles

George Parzen

May 24, 2002

This note proposes guidelines for the field multipoles introduced by the four dipole magnets in the injection chicane.

To establish guidelines for the injection chicane multipoles, the stopband widths of the resonances excited by the injection chicane multipoles are compared with the stopband widths due to the random error multipoles in the dipoles and quadrupoles in the SNS ring.

The field multipoles in the four magnets that make up the chicane are defined by

$$B_y = B_j[1 + b_{1,j}(x/R) + b_{2,j}(x/R)^2 + b_{3,j}(x/R)^3 + \dots] \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4 \quad (1)$$

$R=8$ cms. B_j is the field in the j th magnet. $b_{k,j}$ is the k th multipole in the j th magnet. These multipoles will drive the following resonances which are close to the assumed working point, $\nu_x = 6.23$, $\nu_y = 6.20$.

$$b_{1,j} \rightarrow 2\nu_x = 12, 2\nu_y = 12$$

$$b_{2,j} \rightarrow 3\nu_x = 18, \nu_x + 2\nu_y = 18, 3\nu_y = 18$$

$$b_{3,j} \rightarrow 4\nu_x = 24, 2\nu_x + 2\nu_y = 24, 4\nu_y = 24$$

The b_k multipole in the four dipoles in the chicane will drive several resonances whose stopband widths will be indicated by $\Delta\nu_{n,m}$, $n + m = k + 1$. In comparing the stopband widths of the resonances excited by the injection chicane multipoles with the stopband widths due to the random error multipoles in the dipoles and quadrupoles in the SNS ring, the stopband $\Delta\nu_{k+1,0}$ was used. This stopband is given by

$$\Delta\nu_{k+1,0} = \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int ds \frac{B}{B\rho} \frac{b_k}{R^k} \beta_x (\beta_x \epsilon_x)^{(k-1)/2} \exp[(k+1)\psi_x] \quad (2)$$

The stopband due to the 4 injection dipoles in the chicane can be written as

$$\Delta\nu_{k+1,0} = \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{j=1}^4 \frac{B_j}{B\rho} \frac{b_{k,j}}{R^k} \beta_{x,j} (\beta_{x,j} \epsilon_x)^{(k-1)/2} \exp[(k+1)\psi_{x,j}] L_j \quad (3)$$

The guidelines probably should not be interpreted rigidly. It is not clear that having the injection chicane stopbands larger than the ring dipole-quad stopbands is harmful. Also to make the guidelines useful, it may be desirable to make them as simple as possible. With this in mind, the following approximations will be made. β_x varies from 10.43m to 11.707m and will be replaced by its average value, $\beta_{x,av} = 11.07m$. In the same way, L_j , and B_j will be replaced by their average values, $L_{av} = .904m$ and $B_{av} = 2.785kg$. ϵ_x is assumed to be 120 mm.rad. Eq.3 then becomes

$$\Delta\nu_{k+1,0} = \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{B_{av}}{B\rho} \beta_{x,av} (\beta_{x,av} \epsilon_x)^{(k-1)/2} L_{av} \sum_{j=1}^4 \frac{b_{k,j}}{R^k} \exp[(k+1)\psi_{x,j}] \quad (4)$$

The phase term $\exp[(k+1)\psi_{x,j}]$ can vary quite a bit over the four injection dipoles. $\psi_{x,j}$ varies from 0 to .336. For the sake of simplicity in the final result, the inequality

$$\sum_{j=1}^4 \frac{b_{k,j}}{R^k} \exp[(k+1)\psi_{x,j}] \leq \sum_{j=1}^4 \frac{|b_{k,j}|}{R^k}$$

will be used to obtain the result

$$\Delta\nu_{k+1,0} = \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{B_{av}}{B\rho} \beta_{x,av} (\beta_{x,av} \epsilon_x)^{(k-1)/2} L_{av} \sum_{j=1}^4 |b_{k,j}| \quad (5)$$

One can see from Eq.5 that the guidelines will suggest limits on the size of $\sum_{j=1}^4 |b_{k,j}|$. I do not think that one should try to rely on possible cancellations that might occur in the sum over the four magnets, according to Eq.4.

In order to compare stopbands due to the $b_{k,j}$ in the injection chicane with the stopbands due to the random field errors in the quads and dipoles of the ring, one needs an expression for the rms stopband, $\Delta\nu_{k+1,0,rms}$, due to the random errors in the ring magnets as given by $b_{k,rms}$ for each magnet. Using Eq. 2, one finds

$$\Delta\nu_{k+1,0,n} = \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{B_j}{B\rho} \frac{b_{k,n,rms}}{R^k} \beta_{x,n} (\beta_{x,n} \epsilon_x)^{(k-1)/2} \exp[(k+1)\psi_{x,n}] L_n \quad (6)$$

$$\Delta\nu_{k+1,0,rms} = \left[\sum_n^{ring} |\Delta\nu_{k+1,0,n}|^2 \right]^{.5} \quad (7)$$

where $\Delta\nu_{k+1,0,n}$ is the contribution of the n th magnet in the ring which has random multipoles with the rms value of $b_{k,n,rms}$.

The guidelines for $\sum_{j=1}^4 |b_{k,j}|$ will be found by requiring that

$$\Delta\nu_{k+1,0} = \Delta\nu_{k+1,0,rms}$$

It may seem desirable to make $\Delta\nu_{k+1,0}$ much smaller than $\Delta\nu_{k+1,0,rms}$. However, this does not appear likely to be achievable [1]. The guidelines for $\sum_{j=1}^4 |b_{k,j}|$ are given in Table 1 for $k=1,2,3$. Also given in Table 1, are the computed stopbands due to the quads in the ring, the stopbands due to the dipoles in the ring, the rms multipoles, $b_{k,rms}$ for the ring magnets that were used in computing the stopbands. The results are given for the SNS lattice with $\nu_x = 6.23$, $\nu_y = 6.20$.

	k=1	k=2	k=3	
guidelines for $\sum_{j=1}^4 b_{k,j} $	7.05	14.9	3.7	$\times 10^{-4}$ at R=8 cms
$\Delta\nu_{k+1,0,rms}$ dipoles	.98	.52	.033	$\times 10^{-4}$
$\Delta\nu_{k+1,0,rms}$ quads	3.31	1.58	.090	$\times 10^{-4}$
$b_{k,rms}$ dipoles	.149	.485	.19	$\times 10^{-4}$ at R=8.5 cm
$b_{k,rms}$ quads	1.0	2.67	.83	$\times 10^{-4}$ at quad iron radius

Table 1: Guidelines for the multipoles b_1, b_2, b_3 in the four dipoles in the injection chicane. The table also lists the rms stopbands due to the ring dipoles and the ring quads, and the rms b_k in the dipoles and quads that were used to compute the stopbands. The $b_{k,rms}$ in the dipoles came from J. Wei, ASAC review, 2/2/02, and in the quads from J. Wei, TN 76, 5/00

Comments on the results

The guidelines found above were described as the guidelines for the multipoles in the 4 dipoles in the injection chicane. There are eight additional injection dipoles, with time dependent excitations, whose multipoles should also be included in $\sum_{j=1}^4 |b_{k,j}|$. Some of the nearby resonances are also driven by the systematic error multipoles in the ring magnets. The contributions due to these systematic error multipoles, which do not appear to be known for

the ring quads, should also be included in computing the stopbands due to the ring magnets.

Acknowledgements

I thank Nicholas Tsoupas and Alexi Fedotov for discussions of the injection magnets.

References

1. N.Tsoupas, private communication