

Some Notes on the Placement of Correctors

C. J. Gardner

December 1997

Collider Accelerator Department
Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No.DE-AC02-76CH00016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

SOME NOTES ON THE PLACEMENT OF CORRECTORS

BNL/SNS TECHNICAL NOTE

NO. 040

C. J. Gardner

December 29, 1997

ALTERNATING GRADIENT SYNCHROTRON DEPARTMENT
BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY
UPTON, NEW YORK 11973

Some Notes on the Placement of Correctors

C.J. Gardner

December 29, 1997

Following are some notes pertaining to the placement of correction elements in the SNS Ring.

1 SNS Lattice and Correction Elements

The SNS ring lattice [1–4] consists of four superperiods, each containing a 90° arc and a long straight section. The four superperiods are labeled A, B, C, D and run sequentially along the beam direction from the beginning of one arc to the next. The order of magnets in each superperiod X is DHX1, QVX1, DHX2, QHX2, ..., DHX8, QHX8, QVX9, QHX10, QVX11, QHX12, where D and Q denote dipoles and quadrupoles, and H and V refer to the horizontal and vertical planes. The long straight section in each superperiod runs from QHX8 through QHX12.

To compensate for the small unavoidable field imperfections and magnet alignment errors that can lead to resonance excitation, the ring will have a set of correction elements consisting of horizontal and vertical dipoles, skew quadrupoles, sextupoles, skew sextupoles and octupoles. Trim windings on the lattice quadrupoles will allow for any necessary quadrupole corrections. Correction dipoles and skew quadrupoles will be mounted downstream of the position monitor at each quadrupole with the dipole and skew quadrupole windings wound on the same core. We shall use QSX1, QSX2, ..., QSX11, QSX12 to label the skew quadrupoles downstream of quadrupoles QVX1, QHX2, ..., QVX11, QHX12 respectively. Sextupoles called SHX8 and SVX9 will be placed downstream of quadrupoles QHX8 and QVX9 in each superperiod; similarly, skew sextupoles (SSVX11 and SSHX12) will be placed downstream of QVX11 and QHX12.

2 Resonance Excitation

Transverse resonances [5] are defined by the equation

$$mQ_x + nQ_y = N \quad (1)$$

where Q_x and Q_y are the horizontal and vertical tunes, and m , n , and N are integers. If m and n have opposite signs, the resonance is called a difference resonance; otherwise it is called a sum resonance. The order, l , of the resonance is

$$l = |m| + |n|. \quad (2)$$

The resonance condition (1) arises from the first-order perturbation treatment of the vector potential terms $x^{|m|}y^{|n|}$ associated with the $2l$ -pole magnetic field. Resonance excitation occurs when the tunes are sufficiently close to the resonance and the excitation coefficient,

$$\kappa = \int_0^{2\pi r} \beta_x^{|m/2|} \beta_y^{|n/2|} K(s) e^{i\psi} ds, \quad (3)$$

is nonzero. Here

$$\psi(s) = m(\mu_x - Q_x \theta) + n(\mu_y - Q_y \theta) + N\theta, \quad \theta = s/r, \quad (4)$$

$$\mu_x(s) = \int_0^s \frac{ds'}{\beta_x(s')}, \quad \mu_y(s) = \int_0^s \frac{ds'}{\beta_y(s')}, \quad K(s) = \frac{e}{cp} \left(\frac{\partial^{(l-1)} B}{\partial \mathbf{x}^{(l-1)}} \right), \quad (5)$$

and $B = B_y$ (B_x) if n is even (odd). Since

$$\psi(s) \approx m\mu_x + n\mu_y \approx N\theta, \quad (6)$$

when the tunes are near the resonance, we see that the excitation coefficient is essentially the N th harmonic, in azimuth θ , of the $2l$ -pole strength $K(s)$. Thus if the tunes are sufficiently close to the resonance and if n is even (odd), then the resonance will be excited by the N th harmonic of the normal (skew) $2l$ -pole fields present in the machine.

3 Resonance Correction

For the correction of the $mQ_x + nQ_y = N$ resonance we require

$$\kappa_0 + \kappa = 0 \quad (7)$$

where κ_0 is the excitation coefficient due to imperfections in the machine, and κ is that produced by the resonance correction scheme. Note that the excitation coefficient is in general complex, so correction of a given resonance requires cancellation of both the real and imaginary parts of κ_0 . For a set of identical thin correction elements we have

$$\kappa = K \sum_j I_j \beta_{xj}^{|m/2|} \beta_{yj}^{|n/2|} e^{i\psi_j}, \quad (8)$$

where K is the integrated strength per unit current of each correction element, I_j is the current in the j th element, and

$$\beta_{xj} = \beta_x(s_j), \quad \beta_{yj} = \beta_y(s_j), \quad \psi_j = \psi(s_j). \quad (9)$$

4 Corrector Phases

Effective correction of a given resonance requires careful consideration of the betatron phase advances between correctors. Suppose we have two identical correctors located at s_1 and s_2 in a ring consisting of M superperiods. Then for each corrector we have

$$\psi_i = m(\mu_{xi} - Q_x \theta_i) + n(\mu_{yi} - Q_y \theta_i) + N \theta_i \quad (10)$$

or

$$\psi_i = m\mu_{xi} + n\mu_{yi} + (N - mQ_x - nQ_y)\theta_i \quad (11)$$

where

$$\psi_i = \psi(s_i), \quad \mu_{xi} = \mu_x(s_i), \quad \mu_{yi} = \mu_y(s_i), \quad \theta_i = s_i/r. \quad (12)$$

Thus

$$\psi_2 - \psi_1 = m(\mu_{x2} - \mu_{x1}) + n(\mu_{y2} - \mu_{y1}) + (N - mQ_x - nQ_y)(\theta_2 - \theta_1). \quad (13)$$

Now, if s_1 and s_2 are separated by k superperiods, then

$$\mu_{x2} - \mu_{x1} = 2\pi Q_x k/M = Q_x(\theta_2 - \theta_1) \quad (14)$$

$$\mu_{y2} - \mu_{y1} = 2\pi Q_y k/M = Q_y(\theta_2 - \theta_1) \quad (15)$$

and (13) becomes

$$\psi_2 - \psi_1 = N(\theta_2 - \theta_1) = 2\pi kN/M. \quad (16)$$

Here we see that $\psi_2 - \psi_1$ is independent of μ_x, μ_y and the machine tunes; it depends only on the azimuthal separation $\theta_2 - \theta_1$. Thus, by taking advantage of the superperiod symmetry we can produce azimuthal harmonics that are independent of the machine tunes. Moreover, we can use the symmetry to restrict which harmonics are produced. The simplest example of this is the placement of two correctors such that $\theta_2 - \theta_1 = \pi$ in a ring with an *even* number of superperiods; if the correctors are excited with equal currents of the same (opposite) polarity then only even (odd) harmonics are produced. If we add more correctors, we can further restrict the harmonics. For example, if the number of superperiods is divisible by 4 (as it is for the SNS ring), then 4 equally spaced correctors separated by $\pi/2$ in azimuth and excited with equal currents of the same polarity will produce only harmonics 0, 4, 8, 12, and so on. If this same set is excited with equal currents of alternating polarities, then it will produce only harmonics 2, 6, 10, 14, and so on. In general, the more superperiods one has in a lattice, the more one can restrict the harmonics by adding correctors; in this way one can create a relatively pure harmonic for the desired resonance correction.

From (16) we also see that if $2\pi kN/M$ is an odd multiple of $\pi/2$, then the two correctors will provide orthogonal control of the real and imaginary parts of the resonance excitation coefficient; this will again be independent of the machine tunes. In the SNS ring we have $M = 4$ and correctors placed one superperiod apart will therefore provide orthogonal control of any resonance for which N is odd.

The lattice may have other symmetries or approximate symmetries that one can exploit. In a FODO lattice with a betatron phase advance of approximately $\pi/2$ per cell, the phase advance between two correctors placed l cells apart is approximately $l\pi/2$:

$$\mu_{x2} - \mu_{x1} = l\pi/2, \quad \mu_{y2} - \mu_{y1} = l\pi/2. \quad (17)$$

Then (13) becomes

$$\psi_2 - \psi_1 = m(l\pi/2) + n(l\pi/2) + (N - mQ_x - nQ_y)(\theta_2 - \theta_1), \quad (18)$$

and for $N - mQ_x - nQ_y = 0$ we have

$$\psi_2 - \psi_1 = m(l\pi/2) + n(l\pi/2). \quad (19)$$

Here we get a phase difference that is some multiple of $\pi/2$ provided the tunes satisfy (or nearly satisfy) the resonance condition. If the phase

difference is an even (odd) multiple of π , then the two correctors will affect the resonance only if $I_2 \neq -I_1$ ($I_2 \neq I_1$). If the phase difference is an odd multiple of $\pi/2$, then the two correctors will again provide orthogonal control of the real and imaginary parts of the resonance excitation coefficient.

5 Beta Function Considerations

Effective correction of a given resonance also requires that the correctors be placed as close as possible to locations where the beta function is large. This follows directly from (8) which shows that the correction due to the j th element in a correction scheme is proportional to $\beta_{xj}^{|m/2|} \beta_{yj}^{|n/2|}$. Simultaneous correction of two resonances excited by the same magnetic multipole generally requires one set of correction elements placed where β_x is large and another set placed where β_y is large. This is the case for the $2Q_x = N$ and $2Q_y = N$ resonances, the $3Q_x = N$ and $Q_x + 2Q_y = N$ resonances, and the $3Q_y = N$ and $Q_y + 2Q_x = N$ resonances.

6 References

1. W.T. Weng, et. al., "Accumulator Ring Design for the NSNS Project", Proceedings of the 1997 Particle Accelerator Conf., Vancouver, B.C., Canada, May 12-16, 1997.
2. Y.Y. Lee, "The 4 Fold Symmetric Lattice for the NSNS Accumulator Ring", BNL/NSNS Tech. Note No. 026, February 19, 1997.
3. C.J. Gardner, Y.Y. Lee, and A.U. Luccio, "Accumulator Ring Lattice for the National Spallation Neutron Source", Proceedings of the 1997 Particle Accelerator Conf., Vancouver, B.C., Canada, May 12-16, 1997.
4. C.J. Gardner, "Some Notes on Tuning the NSNS Ring Lattice", BNL/NSNS Tech. Note No. 037, August 12, 1997.
5. G. Guignard, "The General Theory of all Sum and Difference Resonances in a Three-Dimensional Magnetic Field in a Synchrotron", CERN 76-06, 23 March 1976; "A General Treatment of Resonances in Accelerators" CERN 78-11, 10 November 1978.