

BNL-99389-2013-TECH C-A/AP/239;BNL-99389-2013-IR

RF Considerations for the dEDM Ring

M. Blaskiewicz

June 2006

Collider Accelerator Department

Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No.DE-AC02-98CH10886 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.


DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

C-A/AP/#239 June 2006

RF Considerations for the dEDM Ring

M. Blaskiewicz



Collider-Accelerator Department Brookhaven National Laboratory Upton, NY 11973

RF considerations for the dEDM ring

M. Blaskiewicz* BNL, Upton NY 11973, USA

A storage ring to measure the deuteron electric dipole moment (dEDM) has been proposed [1]. The bunches execute large amplitude coherent synchrotron oscillations in phase lock with coherent spin oscillations leading to a secular change in the spin direction that is proportional to the dEDM. This note addresses relevant RF issues

INTRODUCTION AND THEORY

Typical parameters for the dEDM ring are shown in Table I. The RF system must bunch the beam and then excite coherent longitudinal oscillations with coherent tune $Q_c = \gamma |a| = 0.178\dots$ Such a large tune implies a superconducting RF system. Since such systems are narrow band the drive for the phase oscillations will be provided by an additional RF cavity. Suppose there are h bunches in the ring. If all the bunches are to oscillate in phase then the frequency of the driving RF could be $f_d = (h + Q_c) f_0$ or $f_d = (h - Q_c) f_0$. For a 180° phase shift between bunches $f_d = (h/2 \pm Q_c) f_0$. The relative phase of the coherent longitudinal oscillations must remain phase locked to the coherent spin oscillations over the course of a 20 minute store so a feedback system based on spin measurements is needed. This note outlines a possible system.

II. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

Let θ denote machine azimuth, which increases by 2π each turn. The RF is confined to a single straight section and the entire system is modeled by a thin lens at $\theta = 0$. The intrinsic error associated with this approximation is determined by the fractional velocity modulation, which is significant enough to require additional work but should not influence the underlying principles.

Consider a single particle and let its arrival time at the RF on turn n be $nT_0 + \tau_n$. Let E_0 be the synchronous energy and $\epsilon_n = E_n - E_0$ be the energy deviation of the particle just before it reaches the RF cavity. The single particle update equations are

$$\epsilon_{n+1} = \epsilon_n + qV_{RF}(nT_0 + \tau_n) \tag{1}$$

$$\epsilon_{n+1} = \epsilon_n + qV_{RF}(nT_0 + \tau_n)$$

$$\tau_{n+1} = \tau_n + \eta T_0 \frac{\epsilon_{n+1}}{\beta^2 E_0}$$

$$(1)$$

where $\eta = \alpha_p - 1/\gamma^2$ is the frequency slip factor and $V_{RF}(t)$ is the total RF voltage at time t. The voltage consists of the primary RF with amplitude V_1 and frequency hf_0 and a driving term V_d at $f_0(h_d + Q_c)$, where h_d is an integer.

TABLE I: dEDM ring parameters

parameter	value
circumference	$C=25\mathrm{m}$
Lorentz factor	$\gamma=1.25$
revolution period and frequency	$T_0 = 138.98 \text{ ns} = 1/f_0$
momentum compaction factor	$\alpha_p = 1$
anomolous magnetic moment	a = -0.142988
number of ions in the ring	$N_t \sim 10^{12}$
nuclear mass and charge	$M = 1.876 \text{GeV/c}^2, Z=1$

^{*}Electronic address: blaskiewicz@bnl.gov

Then

$$V_{RF}(nT_0 + \tau_n) = V_1 \sin(h\omega_0 \tau_n) + V_d \sin(\omega_0 h_d + Q_c) \tau_n + 2\pi n Q_c,$$
(3)

$$\approx V_1 \sin(h\omega_0 \tau_n) + V_d \sin(2\pi n Q_c + \omega_0 [h_d + Q_c] \tau_0)$$
(4)

where $\omega_0 = 2\pi f_0$, τ_0 corresponds to the stable fixed phase for the particle, and the approximation of equation (4) will be used only for analytic work. While equations (1), (2) and (3) are used in simulations the author prefers the continuum limit to develop understanding. Toward this end let θ be the time-like variable, and $\phi = h\omega_0\tau$ be the position variable so that

$$\frac{d^2\phi}{d\theta^2} = -Q_s^2 \left\{ \sin\phi + \frac{V_d}{V_1} \sin(Q_c\theta) \right\} \tag{5}$$

where the stable fixed point is at $\phi = 0$, the phase shift $\omega_0[h_d + Q_c]\tau_0$ has been absorbed in θ and the small amplitude synchrotron tune is

$$Q_s = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi q |\eta| h V_1}{\beta^2 E_0}}.$$

For $r \equiv V_d/V_1 \ll 1$, $\sin \phi + r \sin(Q_s \theta) \approx \sin(\phi + r \sin[Q_s \theta])$ and the dynamics of the two harmonic system are similar to the dynamics of a phase modulated single harmonic system. The latter has been studied in detail [2]. Setting the momentum coordinate to

$$p = \frac{1}{Q_s} \frac{d\phi}{d\theta},$$

equation (5) can be derived from the hamiltonian

$$H(\phi, p, \theta) = Q_s p^2 / 2 + Q_s \{ 1 - \cos \phi + \phi r \sin(Q_c \theta) \}.$$
(6)

Consider a canonical transformation of Goldstein's [3] first type with generator

$$F_1 = \frac{\phi^2}{2} \cot(\psi + Q_c \theta),$$

where ψ is the new position coordinate. The old momentum coordinate is

$$p = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \phi} = \phi \cot(\psi + Q_c \theta),$$

and the new momentum coordinate is

$$J = -\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \psi} = \frac{\phi^2}{2\sin^2(\psi + Q_c\theta)},$$

so that

$$\phi = \sqrt{2J}\sin(\psi + Q_c\theta), \qquad p = \sqrt{2J}\cos(\psi + Q_c\theta).$$

The new hamiltonian is given by

$$H_2(\psi, J, \theta) = H + \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \theta} \tag{7}$$

$$\approx (Q_s - Q_c)J - Q_s J^2 / 16 + Q_s \frac{r}{4} \sqrt{2J} \cos \psi, \tag{8}$$

where equation (8) is obtained by dropping terms with frequency $2Q_s$ and higher and by dropping terms $O(J^4)$. A final canonical transformation with position variable $x = \sqrt{2J}\cos\psi$ and momentum $v = -\sqrt{2J}\sin\psi$ yields the final hamiltonian

$$K(x,v) = \frac{Q_s - Q_c}{2}(x^2 + v^2) - \frac{Q_s}{64}(x^2 + v^2)^2 + Q_s \frac{r}{4}x.$$
(9)




FIG. 1: $K_x(x, p = 0)$ with r = 0.10, $Q_c = 0.18$, and various values of Q_s . For $Q_s = 0.10$ there is one stable fixed point near x = 0. As Q_s grows this fixed point smoothly migrates to large values of x.




FIG. 2: Synchrotron tune versus action for the cases of Figure 1. The drive tune is Q_c (black line) and the beam evolves from small tunes (red curve) to large tunes.

The fixed points of K satisfy $\partial K/\partial x \equiv K_x = K_y = 0$, and the fixed point is stable if $K_{xx}K_{yy} > 0$. Figure 1 shows a plot of K_x for various values of Q_s with r and Q_c fixed. By studying this figure a fully adiabatic technique for producing large amplitude oscillations can be obtained. First consider the red curve with $Q_s = 0.1$. This curve crosses zero only once, near x = 0.05. In the lab frame all the particles will oscillate in phase with this small amplitude. Now consider what happens as the main RF voltage is slowly raised and Q_s increases. The stable fixed point smoothly moves to larger values of x and, if the beam emittance is small enough, all the particles will remain near the stable fixed point. In the lab frame this corresponds to a smooth increase in the synchrotron oscillation amplitude. For a somewhat more intuitive approach consider Figure 2.

The initial state in Figure 2 corresponds to the red curve where all the particles have a tune less than the drive tune. As the main RF voltage is raised the tune distribution rises toward Q_c . Particles at small amplitude are excited first, since they have the largest Q_s , and their amplitude increases. By the time the tune distribution at J=0 reaches Q_c , no particles have J=0. As the crossing point, defined by $Q_s(J)=Q_c$, moves to larger J the entire distribution of particles is continually pushed to the right (large J side) of the crossing point. The next section presents some initial computer simulations used to flesh out these ideas.

III. SIMULATIONS

Simulations are based on equations (1) and (2). The RF voltage has 3 components,

$$V_{RF}(t) = V_1(t)\sin(h_1\omega_0 t) + V_2(t)\sin(2h_1\omega_0 t) + V_d(t)\sin([h_d + Q_c]\omega_0 t), \tag{10}$$

where h_1 is the primary harmonic number, h_d is the coherent drive harmonic, and the three voltage amplitudes V_1, V_2, V_d vary slowly. The second harmonic voltage V_2 has been included to increase control over the tune variation




FIG. 3: Voltage amplitudes versus time with $h_1 = 50$ and $h_d + Q_c = 25.1788$.




FIG. 4: Phase space plots on a single turn for two adjacent bunches.

with amplitude. Assume that the AGS injects a single bunch at t=0 which subsequently debunches in the dEDM ring. Typical lots of the voltage amplitudes versus time are shown in Figure 3. Note that the millisecond time axis is not to be taken literally. With our adiabatic technique one could stretch out the time axis to several seconds without changing the results. A plot of two adjacent bunches near the end of the simulation are shown in Figure 2. Note that the primary RF frequency sets the spacing between bunches. The 3 nanosecond bunch spacing corresponds to a 360 MHz fundamental RF which is the lower range of available klystron frequencies.

${\bf Acknowledgements}$

Thanks to Yuri Orlov, Bill Morse, and Yannis Semertzidis for useful discussions and encouragement.

^[1] Y. Orlov, W. Morse, Y. Semertzidis Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 214802 (2006).

^[2] H. Huang et. al. Phys Rev E, 48 # 6, p4678, (1993).

^[3] H. Goldstein, Classical Mechanics Addison-Wesley, 1981.