

# Calibration of the internal hall probes in A1D5 & A1D6 (Beam spectrometer magnets)

H. N. Brown

July 1978

Collider Accelerator Department  
**Brookhaven National Laboratory**

**U.S. Department of Energy**

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No.EY-76-C-02-0016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

## **DISCLAIMER**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

H. Brown  
 July 26, 1978

BNL USE ONLY

CALIBRATION OF THE INTERNAL HALL PROBES IN A1D5 & A1D6  
(BEAM SPECTROMETER MAGNETS)

During the FEB run of early 1978, Hall probes were installed in the HEUB magnets A1D5 and A1D6. The probe for each magnet is located at the longitudinal center,  $2\frac{1}{2}$ " below the axis, and is therefore outside the regions covered by the adjacent PWC's ( $\pm 2$ " vertically). It is felt that, when calibrated, these internal Hall probes will give a reliable indication of the field on the axis of the magnet, largely independent of magnet history.

The initial calibrations were carried out with both magnets operating in "B" polarity (for a negative beam), using an NMR probe ( $D_2O$ ) located on the magnet axis about 18" from the magnet center. (The 6 and 10 pole correcting coils were not energized.) The resonant frequency was measured by means of a Hewlett-Packard frequency counter. When compared with another precision frequency counter, the two agreed to within  $\pm 0.01$  kHz. Assuming that this represents the accuracy of the frequency measurements, the contribution of this error is negligible in comparison to those of other sources. The following table summarizes the estimated errors in these calibration measurements.  $\{B = (1.5299 \text{ G/kHz})f\}$

<u>Source</u>	<u>A1D5</u>	<u>A1D6</u>
Freq. Counter	$\pm 0.01$ kHz	$\pm 0.01$ kHz
$E_H$ (DVM Reading Accuracy)	$\pm 0.000015$ V	$\pm 0.000015$ V
$I_H$ (From variations during run)	$\pm 0.000015$ A	$\pm 0.000018$ A
Resonance Position	$\pm 0.30$ kHz	$\pm 0.30$ kHz
Field Stability (During probe readout)	$\pm 0.40$ kHz	$\pm 0.19$ kHz
Overall RMS: $I_H \cong 0.200$ A		
@ $E_H = .220$ V, $B \cong 6.6$ kG	$\pm 0.016\%$	$\pm 0.014\%$
@ $E_H = .915$ V, $B \cong 25.2$ kG	$\pm 0.008\%$	$\pm 0.010\%$

During this calibration, the internal Hall probe current ( $I_H$ ) and output voltage ( $E_H$ ) were measured and recorded via the cross-bar scanner-DVM system in the MPS control room. (All available magneto-resistive (MR) probes in each magnet were also monitored through this system.) Hence, the "reading" accuracies of the Hall probes will be very good, depending only on the stability of making relative voltage and current measurements, assuming that the same Hall current generator, with its internal current measuring shunt, is always used.

The most important factors, then, in using these Hall probes will be the temperature stability achieved by the Hall generator temperature control, and the long term radiation and aging effects of the Hall crystals themselves. Of course, we have no experience yet with the new Hall probes, but calibrations done on other Hall probes indicate that reproducibilities of about 0.1% can be expected over a period of a year.

Figure 1 illustrates the dependence of field on the magnet axis ( $B_{axis}$ ) on the Hall voltage output. The encircled points represent measurements taken while raising the field continuously from the lowest circle to the highest. The crossed points were then measured while lowering the field continuously from the highest circle. Time was allowed at each point for the magnetic field to come to equilibrium (usually 12-15 minutes) before reading the probes. The resonant frequency was recorded before and after the probe readings, which were repeated if the field had drifted too much.

The curves of Fig. 1 indicate that there is very little relative hysteresis between the field on axis and that at the Hall probe, at least for the one up-down cycle done for each magnet. The data for AlD5

are quite smooth and a 4th degree polynomial fits them with an RMS deviation of 0.007%, the maximum deviation being 0.014%. For ALD6, one or two points at the high end seem to be a bit out of place, but no reasons for this can be found in looking back over the raw data. The 4th degree polynomial fits these points to within 0.014% RMS, with a maximum deviation of 0.038%. Overall, it appears that these internal Hall probes should make good field monitors if their long term stability proves to be good.

Table I lists the coefficients of the polynomial fits of  $B_{axis}$  vs.  $E_H$ , while Table II contains the coefficients for  $L_{eff}$  vs.  $B$ . (See EP&S Tech Note #83.) Figure 2 illustrates the dependence of  $L_{eff}$  on  $B_{axis}$ .

The MR probe readings recorded at this time are compared to the earlier (1977) MR probe calibrations (EP&S Technical Note #83) as shown in Figures 3-9. For ALD5, the previous data are systematically higher than the new measurements. Even the previous NMR data on MR2 is higher (by  $\sim 0.1\%$ ). On the other hand, the new data on the ALD6 MR probes agrees, within the measurement accuracy, with the previous data except for the mid-range of MR3 and for the 2nd and 14th points, which clearly picked up some pathological noise. This suggests that the field measurements for the previous and present calibrations are probably correct and that there has been some systematic change in the ALD5 MR probe response. However, nothing definite on this question can be said at present.

The user should remember that the internal Hall probe calibration described is for "B" polarity (Negative Beam) only; an "A" polarity calibration must be made in the future, probably during the early fall shutdown of 1978.

Distr.: MPS Users

TABLE I

$$B(\text{kG}) = \sum_{k=0}^4 a_k E_H^k \quad \{E_H \text{ in Volts}\}$$

Coeff.	A1D5	A1D6
$a_0$	.37218301 E-1	-.13864450 E-1
$a_1$	.32844722 E+2	.32606400 E+2
$a_2$	-.10708287 E+2	-.10351194 E+2
$a_3$	.82434243 E+1	.79937429 E+1
$a_4$	-.23476081 E+1	-.22813475 E+1

TABLE II

$$L_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{k=0}^5 c_k B^k \quad \{B \text{ in kG}\}$$

Coeff.	A1D5	A1D6
$c_0$	90.150420	90.31457
$c_1$	-.82048386 E-2	-.52849413 E-2
$c_2$	.20079110 E-3	.13692339 E-2
$c_3$	0.	-.11733735 E-3
$c_4$	0.	.38463944 E-5
$c_5$	0.	-.39420306 E-7

# B<sub>Axis</sub> VS INTERNAL HALL PROBE

## "B" POLARITY (NEG. BEAM)

o o Measured: I<sub>Mag</sub> increasing

x x Measured: I<sub>Mag</sub> decreasing

— 4<sup>th</sup> Degree Polynomial Fit

Estimated measurement errors less than sizes of plotted points.  
(±.008% to ±.016%)




Figure 1

E<sub>H</sub> (Volts)

GRAPHIC PAPER  
 GRAPHIC CONTROLS CORPORATION  
 Buffalo, New York Printed in U.S.A.  
 SQUARE 10 X 10 TO THE HALF INCH AS-0813-60

$$\left( \frac{B_{Axis}}{E_H + 0.02875} \right) \left( \frac{KG}{VOLT} \right)$$

A1D5: I<sub>H</sub> = .200070 Amp  
±.000015

A1D6: I<sub>H</sub> = .199993 Amp  
±.000018

±0.1%

# Effective Magnetic Lengths

(From Parby & Jackson Measurements)

$L_{eff} \equiv \int Ddk/D$  (inches)




Figure 2

B (kG)



AID5

B vs MR1 CORRECTED




Figure 3

20 APR 78

30 SQUARE 10 X 10 TO THE HALF INCH AS-0013-60

GRAPHIC CONTROLS CORPORATION Buffalo, New York Printed in U.S.A.

GRAPH PAPER

# AID5 B VS MR2 CORRECTED




Figure 4. (MR2-30.) (mV)

20 APR 78

SQUARE 10 X 10 TO THE HALF INCH AS-0013-60

GRAPHIC CONTROLS CORPORATION Buffalo, New York Printed in U.S.A.

# A1D5 B vs MR3 CORRECTED




Figure 5. (MR3 - 30.) (mV)

GRAPHIC CONTROLS CORPORATION Buffalo, New York Printed in U.S.A. SQUARE 10 X 10 TO THE HALF INCH AS-8813-GD

# A1D5 B vs MR4 CORRECTED




Figure 6. (MR4-30.) (mV)

B/(MR4-30) .6954

SQUARE 10 X 10 TO THE HALF INCH AS 0813-60

GRAPHIC CONTROLS CORPORATION  
Buffalo, New York Printed in U.S.A.

GRAPH PAPER

# AID6 B vs MRI CORRECTED





Figure 7. 5 (MRI-30.) (mV)



20 Apr 78



20 APR 78

SQUARE 10 X 10 TO THE HALF INCH AS-0813-60

GRAPHIC CONTROLS CORPORATION Buffalo, New York Printed in U.S.A.

GRAPH PAPER

# A1D6 B vs MR3 CORRECTED




Figure 9. (MR3-30.) (mV)