



Brookhaven
National Laboratory

BNL-104810-2014-TECH

AGS/AD/Tech Note No. 394;BNL-104810-2014-IR

IMPROVEMENT OF THE AGS AGC LOOP

E. Onillon

April 1994

Collider Accelerator Department
Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC02-76CH00016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Accelerator Division
Alternating Gradient Synchrotron Department
BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY
Upton, New York 11973

Accelerator Division
Technical Note

AGS/AD/Tech. Note No. 394

IMPROVEMENT OF THE AGS AGC LOOP

E. Onillon and J.M. Brennan

April 8, 1994

Improvement of the AGS AGC loop

E. Onillon - M. Brennan

1. Introduction

The rf feedback system of the new power amplifier for the AGS cavities has an important effect on the amplitude modulation frequency response of the cavities. This changes the open loop response of the AGC system for the cavities. The loop compensator for the AGC system was first set up without rf feedback operating. This report describes how the loop compensator was modified to accomodate the presence of the rf feedback. A practical solution has been obtained that is compatible with and without rf feedback.

2. The AGC loop

The cavity voltage is measured with capacitive dividers attached to the accelerating gaps. The magnitude measured voltage is determined through an rf magnitude calculating circuit. This signal is compared to the reference voltage V_{ref} . The difference is then amplified before being modulating the rf voltage. The resulting signal is then sent to the cavity power amplifier (fig .1). The loop amplifier contains a circuit which scales is gain according to $G(s) = \frac{G_0(s)}{V_{ref}}$.




Fig. 1 AGC loop principle

2.1 The open loop

The open loop transfer function, fitting the system response has been determined with and without rf feedback on the cavity. This excludes the loop amplifier. When there is no feedback, the loop behaves like a low pass filter at 24.45 kHz, with a 5 μ s delay:

$$H(s) = \frac{153.6 \cdot 10^3}{s + 153.6 \cdot 10^3} e^{-5 \cdot 10^{-6} s}$$

The effect of the rf feedback is to increase the frequency of this pole. The system acts has a pure delay of 5 μ s up to 100 kHz:

$$H(s) = e^{-5 \cdot 10^{-6} s}$$

The Bode diagrams of these open loops are given on fig. 2 and fig. 3. The loop should have a good behavior in both cases.




Fig. 2 Open loop without cavity feedback




Fig. 3 Open loop with cavity feedback

2.2 The actual loop amplifier

The actual loop amplifier is a PI compensator given by:

$$G(s) = K \left(1 + \frac{1}{T_i s} \right)$$

The parameters are: $\begin{cases} T_i = 4.7 \mu s \\ K_{pot} = 100 \end{cases}$

K_{pot} is the proportional constant read on the potentiometer. It is proportional to K .

The Bode plot of the open loop is given fig. 4. The phase margin is 25° and the amplitude margin 1.8 dB. This corresponds to a 60% overshoot.

The close loop shows a peak which proves that the system is not stable enough (fig. 5).




Fig. 4 Open loop




Fig. 5 Close loop

2.3 Improvement of the loop

The use of a PI for the loop amplifier can provide a good solution, whether there is a cavity feedback or not.

The general behavior of the open loop $F(s)$ is given fig. 6.

At low frequency, the PI provides gain. The phase is then equal to -90° . The amplitude of the open loop is 0 dB for $f \approx \frac{K}{2\pi T_i}$. At high frequency, if the cavity feedback is on, the magnitude is constant and is equal to $20\log(K)$. If there is no feedback, the pole of the system prevails.

The magnitude is translated by $20\log(K)$. The phase is unchanged at high frequency.

The K parameter is determined by the amplitude margin one wants when the feedback is on. The phase margin and the gain at low frequency is then fixed by adjusting the T_i parameter.




Fig. 6 Open loop with a PI compensator

A simulation (fig. 7) has been performed under MatlabTM with $\begin{cases} K = 0.11 \\ T_i = 2.2 \mu s \end{cases}$.

When the cavity feedback is on, the phase margin is 77° and the amplitude margin 17 dB. If it is off, the phase margin is 61° and the amplitude margin 14 dB. The amplitude is zero in both cases for 8 kHz.




Fig. 7 Open loop with and without cavity feedback

2.4 Practical study

Starting with the case where $\begin{cases} T_i = 4.7\mu s \\ K_{pot} = 100 \end{cases}$, to increase the amplitude margin, the gain is decreased by 60%. The integrator constant is unchanged:

$$\begin{cases} T_i = 4.7\mu s \\ K_{pot} = 40 \end{cases}$$

The resulting Bode plot is given fig. 8.




Fig. 8 Open loop, increased amplitude margin

The amplitude margin is now 10 dB and the phase margin 83°.

To increase the gain at low frequency, the integrator constant is decreased: $\begin{cases} T_i = 2.3 \mu s \\ K_{pot} = 40 \end{cases}$

The result is drawn on fig. 9.




Fig. 9 Open loop, increased phase margin

The amplitude margin is 7 dB and the phase margin 70° . The gain at 6 kHz ($2\omega_s$) is 11 dB, and the amplitude is 0 dB at 23.7 kHz.

With these settings, the overshoot will be 4%. The Bode diagram of the close loop is drawn on fig. 10. The peak which appeared at the beginning has now disappeared.




Fig. 10 Close loop, final case

3. Conclusion

The AGC loop has now better phase and amplitude margins, which provides a good stability. Moreover, it has 10 dB gain at twice the synchrotron frequency, which is important for suppressing coherent quadrupole oscillations. Furthermore, the quadrupole mode damper requires good response of the AGC system at twice the synchrotron frequency.