



BNL-104622-2014-TECH

AGS/AD/Tech Note No. 195;BNL-104622-2014-IR

LOW FIELD DIPOLES FOR POLARIZED PROTON ACCELERATION

L. Ahrens

February 1984

Collider Accelerator Department
Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No.DE-AC02-76CH00016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Accelerator Department
Brookhaven National Laboratory
Associated Universities Inc.
Upton, NY 11973

AGS Division Technical Note No. 195

LOW FIELD DIPOLES FOR POLARIZED PROTON ACCELERATION

L.A. Ahrens

February 1, 1984

This note makes two comments with respect to the use of the vertical Low Field Dipole array in the AGS in correcting the imperfection resonances encountered while accelerating polarized protons.

First an estimate of the correcting strength required is made using the known amount of correction required at injection for harmonics in the vicinity of the vertical tune ($\nu_{8.9}$). The equilibrium orbit in the machine is relatively sensitive to these harmonics and hence the measurement of the orbit allows a measurement of the magnetic component.

Secondly the implications of using a particular subset of the correcting dipoles is investigated.

As part of normal injection tuning at the AGS, the 9th harmonic of the ring horizontal (and vertical) dipole field - namely a horizontal field component given by $B_x(\theta) = B_9 \cos(9\theta + \phi)$ where θ varies over 2π in making one revolution around the ring, and B_x is the field component in the horizontal plane perpendicular to the particle motion - is minimized; this to minimize the stop band around $\nu_v = 9$, and to gain aperture in the machine. One standard method for making this correction is to intentionally cause beam loss by moving the tune very close to 9, and then vary the 9th harmonic content of the low field dipole correctors to minimize the beam loss. This is usually done in a series of small

adjustments. Another way to make the correction is to use the equilibrium orbit measurement provided by the 72 element PUE array, and minimize the 9th harmonic present there. The 9th seen in the orbit is proportional to that present in the magnetic field through a factor including, in addition to constants, a term $(1/(n^2-v^2))$ or in this case $1/(9^2-v^2)$. The two methods for estimating and eliminating the 9th component agree.

The PUE based method can be used for eliminating other magnetic harmonics - with a sensitivity decreasing as (9^2-v^2) grows - and hence can be used to estimate these harmonics. This has been done for harmonics 7 through 12 which results are given below. These are the imperfection fields at these harmonics present at injection. To the extent that these result from magnet placement errors, they will scale in strength proportionally with the main ring field and hence the correction needed at some momentum P will be given by

$$(I_{\text{corr}})_{\text{inj}} \times (P/P_{\text{inj}}).$$

The table lists the number of command counts needed to correct the component, 4000 counts = 2 amps, and the current applied by a magnet located at position θ is given by $I_{\text{corr}} (\cos n\theta + \phi)$, where I_{corr} and ϕ are tuned to minimize the component in the PUE orbit. Errors in the PUE system itself will add in quadrature with the orbit errors; these will generally increase the estimated current required. The nulling procedure fails to be reproducible shot to shot at the level of approximately ± 1 mm which may reflect the reproducibility of the orbit measuring system.

<u>Harominc</u>	<u>Correction @ injection (.65 GeV/c)</u>		<u>P_n = Momentum @E= n(M_p/G)=(.525n)</u>	<u>Correction @P_n (96 dipoles)</u>	<u>Correction @P_n (24 dipoles)</u>
	<u>counts</u>	<u>ma</u>	<u>GeV/c</u>	<u>Amps</u>	<u>Amps</u>
7	450	225	3.55	1.2	4.8
8	510	255	4.1	1.6	6.4
9	210	105	4.6	.7	2.8
10	320	160	5.2	1.3	5.2
11	580	290	5.7	2.5	10
12	700	350	6.2	3.3	13.2

From this one can also make an estimate of the correction needed at any n; using 7-11, the average correction is 200 ma at injection. So in the approximation P = E, the correction required at resonance "n" is

$$I_{\text{corr}}(n) = (.2A) \times \frac{P(n)}{.65} \approx n \times (.162) \text{ amps}$$

with 6 amps and 96 dipoles $P_{\text{max}} \approx 20 \text{ GeV/c}$

with 6 amps and 48 dipoles $P_{\text{max}} \approx 10 \text{ GeV/c}$

As a check, an attempt was made to null the orbit at $2 \times P_{\text{inj}}$. If the error being corrected were proportional to momentum, as assumed above, the correction required should double. The results were: for the 8th, an increase by the factor (2.1); for the 9th (1.5); and for the 10th (1.6).

The second subject, closely related to the first, is the effect of using a smaller array of dipoles to do the correction, in particular using only dipoles at #4 and #16 straight sections in each super period. Assume one has N correction dipoles located at θ_i , $i = 1, N$. Assume the cosine part of the nth harmonic correction is applied by powering each dipole to a current given by $I_{\text{max}}(\cos(n\theta_i))$. Define $\theta = 0$ at the L-20 straight section. Then the harmonics the beam will see are proportional to

$$C_m = \sum_{i=1}^N \cos m\theta_i \cos n\theta_i \text{ for the } m\text{th cosine harmonic}$$

or

$$S_m = \sum_{i=1}^N \sin m\theta_i \cos n\theta_i \text{ for the } m\text{th sine harmonic}$$

For reasonably uniform correction magnet distributions, and harmonics whose phase is not a multiple of the distribution structure these terms are approximately given by

$$C_m = \begin{cases} 0 & m \neq n \\ N/2 & m = n \end{cases}$$

$$S_m = 0 \quad \forall m$$

which of course gives the obvious conclusion that in this limit the correction derived is proportional to the number of magnets powered. To look into the particular case of the AGS with 4's and 16's powered, these sums were evaluated. The result for most harmonics is as listed above with serious deviations when correction periodicity matches magnet periodicity. The table below gives a sample of these unusual strengths if the normal formula for powering the magnet array is applied, where 1 is the normal result.

<u>harmonic</u>	<u>normal terms sine strength</u>	<u>cosine strength</u>
6	.7	1.3
12	1.8	.2
18	1.8	.2
24	.7	1.3
30	0	2
36	.7	1.3
	<u>cross terms</u>	
(12-n)	0	.3
(12+n)	.3	.3
24-n	.8	0
36-n	.8	0
48-n	0	.3

Notice for example that in this approximation a sine term at $n = 30$ ($P \approx 15.7$ GeV/c) cannot be generated. This occurs since $m = 30$ corresponds to a wave length of $(240 \text{ AGS ring magnets})/30 = 8$ magnets, which crosses zero at 4, 8, 12, 16, 20 and hence at both of our correctors. Incidentally going to 96 correctors means this problem doesn't occur at this total cancellation level until $n = 60$ and hence is not a problem. One could also reduce it with 24 correctors by removing the superperiod periodicity.

In conclusion then, the 24 magnet situation and correction technique has two implications for resonance correction. Firstly measurements at injection suggest that the current available may well be insufficient by 6 GeV/c. Secondly if this proves overly pessimistic, certain resonances will be hard to correct just because of the periodicity of the array - for example the cosine component at $n = 12$, $p = 6.2$ GeV/c will require five times the normal current to have the normal effect.

WPC

Distribution: Dept. S&P