



BNL-105052-2014-TECH

Booster Technical Note No. 4;BNL-105052-2014-IR

Calculation of Eddy Currents in the Beam Tube

G. Morgan

January 1986

Collider Accelerator Department
Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No.DE-AC02-76CH00016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

CALCULATION OF EDDY CURRENTS IN THE BEAM TUBE

Booster Technical Note

No. 4, Rev. A

G. Morgan and S. Kahn

January 28, 1986

*HIGH ENERGY FACILITIES
Brookhaven National Laboratory
Upton, N.Y. 11973*

Booster Tech Note No. 4, rev. A

Calculation of Eddy Currents in the Beam Tube
G. Morgan and S. Kahn

PE2D, a saturable-iron 2D program was used to calculate the fields due to eddy currents in the beam tube shown in Fig. 1, which has a vertical outer half-height of 1.5 inch, a horizontal outer half-width of 3.25 inch and a wall thickness of 1.5 mm. The arc has a centerline radius of 7.387 inch and the half-height of the side wall is 0.75 inch. The magnet iron has the configuration shown in Fig II-5 of the Conceptual Design Report, vol. 1 (April 1985). The beam tube material was assumed to be 304L stainless steel, with a resistivity of 0.809×10^{-6} ohm-meter at 100°C .

The eddy current density is given by $j = x \dot{B}_y / \rho$, where x is distance from the center. This continuous distribution was piecewise approximated by dividing the circular segment into four and one-half parts of constant current density with centers at $x=0, .746, 1.485, 2.209,$ and 2.910 inch; the position of the right side is $x = 3.220$ inch. The area of the 4 equal segments is 28.37 mm^2 and of the side is 27.45 mm^2 .

The \dot{B}_y assumed is $(0.4-0.15)/.05 = 5 \text{ T/sec}$, with injection at 0.156 T , or 1.2 msec after field reversal. The j for the 5 segments is $0.117, .233, .347, .457,$ and $.505 \text{ A/mm}^2$, respectively, for a total current per quadrant of 46.61 A . The dissipation per unit length is $\Sigma I^2 \rho / A = 14.79 \text{ W/quadrant}$ or 59.16 W/m for the whole tube, assuming 100% duty cycle.

The computer run was made with a total current of 32.06 A . The field plot in gauss on the median plane for this run is shown in Fig. 2, where the dimensions are in cm; the beam tube horizontal half-width is 8.105 cm .

The Fourier expansion of the field in gauss at 1 cm , corrected to 46.61 A , relative to -1560 G is given in Table 1.

Table 1

$$0.78 \times 10^{-4} \quad 2.4 \times 10^{-7} \quad -1.6 \times 10^{-9}$$

Table 2 lists B_y as a function of position in cm, corrected to 46.61 A .

Table 2

x	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
B_y	13.53	13.41	13.05	12.46	11.67	10.71	9.65	8.68

The stored energy in the magnetic field, when the applied field reaches zero, is $7.12 \times 10^{-3} \text{ J/m}$; this implies a time constant for the induced currents of 0.241 msec . The field change at reversal is 27 G ; the time required for this transient to damp to 10^{-4} of the injection field is 1.24 msec .

The current and dissipation scale as \dot{B}/ρ and \dot{B}^2/ρ ,

respectively. If a peak field of 8 kG is assumed, $\dot{B} = 13$ T/sec, so the induced field is 35.1 G, $b_2 = 2.5 \times 10^{-4}$, and the dissipation (100% duty cycle) is 606 W/m; since the field change is 3.2 times as large, an additional 0.28 msec should be allowed for damping. A more resistive beam tube material would reduce these numbers. The best material for the purpose would be a titanium alloy such as Ti-6Al-4V which has a resistivity of $1.756 \mu\Omega\text{m}$ at 100°C , 2.2 times that of 304L ss. Differences in strength of the material are not very important, since the buckling strength increases as the cube of the thickness.