

# Computer study of harmonic orbit correction in the AGS Booster

A. U. Luccio

October 1988

Collider Accelerator Department  
**Brookhaven National Laboratory**

**U.S. Department of Energy**

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No.DE-AC02-76CH00016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

## **DISCLAIMER**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

*COMPUTER STUDY OF HARMONIC ORBIT CORRECTION  
IN THE AGS BOOSTER*

**AD  
BOOSTER TECHNICAL NOTE  
NO. 131**

**A. U. LUCCIO  
OCTOBER 3, 1988**

**ACCELERATOR DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT  
BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY  
UPTON, NEW YORK 11973**

# COMPUTER STUDY OF HARMONIC ORBIT CORRECTION IN THE AGS BOOSTER

*A.U. LUCCIO*

## I. Introduction

As a contribution to the more general problem of model based control of the AGS Booster, in this note we discuss the correction of a distorted closed orbit in the machine.

There are many possible schemes to correct a distorted closed orbit in a circular accelerator. The harmonic correction procedure is particularly attractive since it is tailored to the periodic structure of the machine and moreover makes use of well optimized fast computer routines.<sup>[1]</sup> To correct a distorted orbit, one could physically displace some magnets or use the angular kick on the trajectory provided by correcting coils. The former method is only suitable for day-one adjustments, while the latter can be used at any time during the life of the accelerator. This note discusses the use of correcting or steering magnets.

The problem of correction is particularly easy to solve in cases like the AGS Booster, where the number of PUE's or beam monitors coincides with the number of correctors and where monitors and correctors are located in the same position and are equally spaced (!).

## II. Theoy of harmonic orbit correction

The equation of motion in curvilinear coordinates of a particle in a cyclic accelerator can be written as follows

$$\frac{d^2 y}{dy^2} + K(s)y = F(s), \quad (1)$$

where  $y(s)$  is the transverse displacement (horizontal or vertical) of the trajectory from the

ideal orbit and  $s$  is the distance along the circumference. Using the Floquet transformation

$$\phi(s) = \int^s \frac{ds'}{\nu\beta}, \quad (2a)$$

$$y[s(y)] = \sqrt{\beta} \eta(\phi), \quad (2b)$$

Equation (1) becomes

$$\frac{d^2\eta}{d\phi^2} + \nu^2\eta = \nu^2\beta^{3/2}F[s(\phi)] = \nu^2f(\phi). \quad (3)$$

Here,  $\eta$  is a function of  $\phi$  and the function  $f$  on the r.h.s of Equation (3) is in effect the sum of an error function  $f^e$  and a correction function  $f^c$

$$f(\phi) = f^e + f^c. \quad (4)$$

A special solution  $\eta(\phi)$  of Equation (3) with periodic boundary conditions determines the closed orbit  $y = \sqrt{\beta} \eta$ .

In practice, the orbit  $y(\phi)$  is sampled at fixed angles  $\phi_k$ , where the PUE's are located. Therefore, the input to the correction calculation is a set of measured data  $y$  or of  $\eta$ , i.e. orbit weighted by the local values of  $\beta_k$ . This input can be expanded in a discrete Fourier sum, with coefficients  $E_n$  to be calculated by a Fast Fourier Transform

$$\eta_k \equiv \eta(\phi_k) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N E_n e^{-i(n-1)\phi_k}, \quad (5)$$

where  $N$  is the number of sample points (monitors and correctors), and

$$\phi_k = \frac{1}{\nu} \int^{s_k} \frac{ds'}{\beta} \approx \frac{2\pi k}{N}. \quad (6)$$

Note that, because of the symmetries of the  $\beta$  functions, the locations of monitors and correctors are approximatively equidistant also in Floquet's angles.

The function  $f^e$  of Equation (4) representing the errors in the machine is a periodic function of the Floquet angle  $\phi$  with periodicity 1 (the whole machine) and its values at the observation points form a discrete set that can be represented by a Fourier expansion with coefficients  $F_n^e$ , i.e.

$$f_k^e \equiv f^e(\phi_k) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N F_n^e e^{-i(n-1)\phi_k}. \quad (7)$$

The relationship between the Fourier coefficients of the machine error function  $f^e$  and the coefficients of the distorted closed orbit function  $\eta$  can be found by inserting the expansions of Equations (5) and (7) into the differential Equation (3). It is found

$$F_n^e = \frac{\nu^2}{\nu^2 - (n-1)^2} E_n. \quad (8)$$

Now, to correct the distorted orbit the correction must cancel the driving term of the errors:  $f^c = -f^e$ , or

$$F_n^c = -F_n^e$$

for each  $n$ . The correction is achieved by local deflections  $\delta\theta_k$  of the trajectory produced by steering coils. The relation between deflections and correcting function values is found immediately by rewriting Equation (1) as follows

$$\frac{d\theta}{ds} + Ky = F = F^e + F^c, \quad (9)$$

that shows how  $F$  has to be interpreted as a deflecting force. The deflection produced by a steering magnet can be written

$$\delta\theta = \int F_c ds = \nu \int_{\delta\phi} \beta^{-1/2} f^c d\phi, \quad (10)$$

the integrals being extended to the azimuthal length of the correctors. For short correctors

$$\delta\theta \approx \nu\beta^{-1/2} f^c \delta\phi, \quad (11)$$

In terms of the field integral  $B\delta s$  in each corrector, the local deflections is expressed as

$$\delta\theta = \frac{B\delta s}{\bar{B}\rho}, \quad (12)$$

with  $\bar{B}\rho$  the magnetic rigidity of the particle beam. For short correctors, the set of discrete deflections used to achieve orbit correction is

$$\delta\theta_k \approx \nu\beta^{-1/2} f^c(\phi_k) \delta\phi_k. \quad (13)$$

If we write the Fourier expansion for  $f^c$ , analogous to Equation (5) and invert it, then use Equations (8) and (10), the following relations between the deflection Fourier coefficients to correct the orbit and the orbit coefficients are finally found

$$-\frac{\nu^2}{\nu^2 - (1 - n)^2} E_n = \sum_{k=1}^N \sqrt{\beta_k} \frac{\delta\theta_k}{\nu\delta\phi_k} e^{i(k-1)\phi_k}. \quad (14)$$

This set of linear equations can be solved by Fourier anti-Transform to give the values of needed deflections  $\delta\theta_k$ . From these and Equation (14) the field integral in the steering coils can be calculated.

### III. Computer solution. Examples

A computer code to calculate the harmonic correction of a distorted closed orbit in the present assumption, valid for the AGS Booster, of equal number of monitors and correctors does the following.

The input is: a set  $y_k$ , ( $k=1,N$ ) of observed beam positions; the values of the relevant  $\beta$  function and of the tune  $\nu$  at the same points; the value of  $B\rho$ ; the corrector strengths  $K_k^c$ .

The code weights the numbers  $y_k$  with the values of  $\sqrt{\beta_k}$ , calculates the coefficients  $E_n$ , ( $n=1,N$ ), by Fast Fourier Transform and then the l.h.s. of Equation (14).

The next step is to perform a Fast Fourier anti-Transform of the  $E_n$  set to reconstruct the orbit and an anti-Transform to calculate the coefficients of the r.h.s. of Equation (14). From these, using the set of  $K_k^c$  and Equation (12) the required field integrals in the correcting magnets are calculated.

The AGS Booster has 24 monitors and correctors for each transverse plane. They are located at the entrance of each quadrupole and are equally spaced. In the present calculation we have studied the horizontal orbit correction, with monitors and correctors at the entrance of the focusing quads. Lacking a real machine, the orbit readings were simulated by random numbers corresponding to a closed orbit with up to 5 mm distortions and average value zero. To check the Fourier Transform and to simulate an hypothetical periodicity in the errors, a sine modulation with frequency 6 (the number of periods in the lattice) with variable amplitude and random overall phase was added to the pure white noise random values for the orbit.

$\beta$  and  $\nu$  values were calculated using the machine design code MAD<sup>[2]</sup>, running interactively on the Apollo Workstation, with standard data for the Booster, with results shown in Figures 1 and 2.

Fourier Transforms, forward and backwards, were executed using Fast FT routines of the package SLATEC on the Apollo. A Fast FT produces a spectrum of amplitudes extending only to a frequency equal to half of the sampled points (here, only 12 frequency values). FFT was chosen because of its speed, since it is planned to repeat these calculations for control of the real machine in quasi-real time. Before executing the antitransform, we tried some low-pass filtering of the spectrum, by cutting frequencies above some thresh-



old. We found, as expected that harmonic correction is more effective on sine modulated distorted orbit data than on the random part, that in general contains high frequencies.

Figure 3 shows the results of the calculation for a pure random orbit simulation. The lower graph pair represents the distorted orbit before and after correction. In the calculation we assumed that the corrector strength parameters were all the same, that the correction itself was affected by a 5% error and that the corrected orbit could not be read better than  $\pm 0.5mm$ . The upper curve represents the correction  $B\delta s$  needed at each corrector location along the ring in [gauss-cm]. Figure 4 shows the Fourier spectrum for this case (amplitude and phase).

Figure 5 shows what happens when a sinusoidal variation with frequency  $f = 6\omega_o$  of the same amplitude is added to the random values. The orbit is being corrected with about half the corrector strengths needed for the previous case, since this is a kind of correction that Fourier seems to enjoy. Figure 6 shows the spectrum for this case.

## REFERENCES

- [1] E.Gianfelice, R.Giannini and J.Jäger *Methods of Closed-Orbit Correction in Lear .....*  
Report CERN/PS/LEA/Note 83-4. July 1983.
- [2] F.Ch.Iselin and J.Niederer, *The MAD Program Version 6.*  
Report CERN/LEP-TH/87-33. April 1987.

## Appendix. List of the Fortran code

program ORBIT

C 23-Sep-1988

C random orbit for correction

```

parameter (m=48)
integer    rand_c,seed
real       x(m),y(m),u(m),beta_x(m),
&          eta(m),work(2*m+15),c(m),phi(m),
&          p(m),dy(m),b_ds(m)

data AA,bias,ds,pi /.005,10.,.2286,3.141592654/
c-----
open       (10, file='orbit_beta.dat', status='unknown')
read       (10,*) NN
N6         = NN/6
do 100 i   = 1,N6
100 read   (10,*,err=120) x(i),beta_x(i),dummy      {read beta
120 read   (10,*) qx                                     {read Qx
read       (10,*) b_rho
close      (10)

dx         = x(2) -x(1)
do 130 i   = N6+1,NN
x(i)       = x(i-1) +dx
beta_x(i)  = beta_x(i-N6*((i-1)/N6))
130 continue
c-----

6000 write  (6,6000)
format     ('enter i_filtr, mod_depth : ', $)
read       (5,*) i_filtr,depth

c          call srand_c(seed)

y_ave      = 0
rr2        = 2*(rand_c()+1)/32768. -1                {random number
do 200 i   = 1,NN
rr1        = 2*(rand_c()+1)/32768. -1                {simulated orbit
y(i)       = AA*(rr1+depth*sin(12*i*pi/NN+rr2))/(1+depth)
y_ave      = y_ave+y(i)
200 continue
y_ave      = y_ave/NN
y_2ave     = 0
do 210 i   = 1,NN
y(i)       = y(i) -y_ave
eta(i)     = y(i)/sqrt(beta_x(i))
u(i)       = eta(i)
y_2ave     = y_2ave+y(i)*y(i)
210 continue
y_2ave     = sqrt(y_2ave)/NN
c-----

call rffti(NN,work)                                {FFT initial.
call rfftf(NN,eta,work)                            {FFT transform

do 300 i   = 1,NN/2-1
b          = eta(2*i)                                {spectrum
a          = eta(2*i+1)                                {
c(i)       = sqrt(a*a+b*b)                            {amplitude
if (a.ne.0 .or. b.ne.0) phi(i) = atan2(a,b)           {phase
300 continue

do 320 i   = i_filtr,NN
eta(i)     = 0.

do 330 i   = 1,NN                                    {FFT coeff

```

```

330      p(i)      = -eta(i)*qx*qx/(qx*qx-(1-i)**2)      {of correction
continue

      call rfftb(NN,eta,work)      {FFT anti-
      call rfftb(NN,p,work)      {transform

      dy_2av      = 0
      b_ds_2av    = 0
do 400 i = 1,NN
      deta      = u(i) -eta(i)/NN
      rr        = 2*(rand_c()+1)/32768. -1
      dy(i)     = deta*sqrt(beta_x(i)) +0.10*rr*AA      {orb. correction
      rr        = 2*(rand_c()+1)/32768. -1
      ds        = ds*(1 +0.05*rr)
      p(i)      = p(i)/NN      {corr. strength
      dtheta    = ds/(beta_x(i)*sqrt(beta_x(i)))*p(i)
      b_ds(i)   = b_rho*dtheta
      dy_2av    = dy_2av +dy(i)*dy(i)
      b_ds_2av  = b_ds_2av +b_ds(i)*b_ds(i)
400 continue
      dy_2av    = sqrt(dy_2av)/NN
      b_ds_2av  = 1.e6*sqrt(b_ds_2av)/NN      {gauss-cm
c-----
      open      (20, file='orbit.dat', status='unknown')
      open      (22, file='orbit.cor', status='unknown')
      x_prior   = x(1) -N6*dx
do 410 i = 1,N6-1
      j        = NN-(N6-1)+i
      x_prior   = x_prior +dx
      y_mm      = 1.e3*y(j)
      dy_mm     = 1.e3*dy(j)
410 write      (20,' (3g13.5)')
&      x_prior,y_mm,dy_mm
do 420 i = 1,NN
      b_ds_gdm  = 1.e5*b_ds(i) +bias
      write     (22,' (3g13.5)') x(i),b_ds_gdm,bias      {write correction
      y_mm      = 1.e3*y(i)
      dy_mm     = 1.e3*dy(i)
420 write     (20,' (3g13.5)') x(i),y_mm,dy_mm      {write orbit
&      x_next   = x(NN)      {to file
do 430 i = 1,N6
      x_next    = x_next +dx
      y_mm      = 1.e3*y(i)
      dy_mm     = 1.e3*dy(i)
430 write     (20,' (3g13.5)') x_next,y_mm,dy_mm
      write     (20,*) i_filtr-1,' harmonics; mod_depth=',depth
      write     (20,*)
&      ' <y2>=',y_2ave,'; <B_ds>=',b_ds_2av,'; <dy>=',dy_2av
      write     (6,*)
&      ' <y2>=',y_2ave,'; <B_ds>=',b_ds_2av,'; <dy>=',dy_2av
      write     (22,*) 'eod'
      write     (20,*) 'eod'
      close     (22)
      close     (20)
c-----
      open      (21, file='orbit.spc', status='unknown')
do 500 i = 1,NN/2-1
      ri       = i
      c1000    = 1.e3*c(i)
500 write     (21,' (6g13.5)') ri,c1000,phi(i),dummy1      {write spectrum
      write     (21,*) 'eod: spectrum'      {to file
      close     (21)
c-----
      stop      'files ** orbit.dat ; orbit.cor ; orbit.spc ** written'
end

```






Fig. 2. Resonance chart for the AGS Booster. The calculated tune working point ( $\nu_x, \nu_y$ ) is also shown.

# BNL AGS BOOSTER (30-SEP-88)




Fig. 3. Complete layout of the AGS Booster. The lower pair of curves represent a distorted closed orbit generated by random number extraction, before and after harmonic correction. The upper curve represent the corrector strength needed to achieve this result, in arbitrary units.




Fig. 4. Fourier spectrum, amplitude and phase, for the random orbit of Figure 3.



# BNL AGS BOOSTER (30-SEP-88)




Fig. 5. Same as Figure 3, but with a sinusoidal modulation of equal amplitude added to the random numbers to generate the distorted orbit.




Fig. 6. Fourier spectrum for Figure 5. A peak at harmonic 6 is showing.