# BEAM MEASUREMENT WITH THE FAST KICKER 

A. R. Watts<br>June 1966<br>\section*{Collider Accelerator Department}<br>Brookhaven National Laboratory

## U.S. Department of Energy <br> USDOE Office of Science (SC)

[^0]
## DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

# AGS DIVISION TECHNICAL NOTE 

No. 22
A.R. Watts

June 16, 1966

BEAM MEASUREMENT WITH THE FAST KICKER

To use the fast kicker as a beam measuring tool it is necessary to know the beam transport characteristics of the magnets and vacuum piping located downstream from this magnet. Knowledge of the beam trajectories and oscillations, both when "Kicked" and undisturbed, is also needed so that partial beam stoppers and detection devices may be positioned to advantage. This report describes how the information was obtained using the "Beam" computer program.

## The Undisturbed Beam

The undisturbed beam was considered to be limited in horizontal phase space by the $53 / 4$-in. straight sections following the number 5 magnets in each of the superperiods. Vertical phase space was taken to be limited by the $21 / 2-i n$. vertical opening of the fast kicker scheduled for installation at L10. Using the "Beam" program (EDC-36), as modified by M. Barton and H. Reich (Memorandum November 24, 1965), an injected particle momentum of $.4040 \times 10^{6}$. gauss inches was found to have its equilibrium orbit passing very near the center of the No. 5 straight sections. Horizontal betatron oscillations are then allowed to have their greatest amplitudes at this momentum, and this was the momentum used for computations.

The transformation matrices calculated and printed out by "Beam" when searching for equilibrium orbits were used to obtain values for $\alpha$ and $\beta$ at the straight section locations. These values were in turn used to construct beam ellipses about the equilibrium orbit positions at each of the straight sections. When the results in the horizontal plane were tested with four test particles in the "Beam" program there was close agreement in the resulits. This indicates, along with computational consistency, that the machine may be considered linear for the dimensions involved.

## The Deflected Beam

The kicked beam was taken as at present where we have the old injection system piping fitted in at LIO to L12. The centerline of the deflected beam pipe is approximately 8 in. from the undisturbed beam pipe at 112 . The deflected beam pipe is not quite 4 in . in diameter and extends from the center of the L12 magnet to the straight section after L13.

As the beam size is a maximum right at injection before betatron oscillation amplitudes are reduced with increasing energy, this is the condition for which to plan. Using "Beam" an angular deflection of .02496 radians when added to the equilibrium orbit at L 10 was found to trace a suitable path down the pipe. Making use of the transformation matrices produced by the program $\alpha$ and $\beta$ were transformed to L11, L12, and to L13. Again ellipses were constructed about the midpoint path. This time, when test particles were launched through the program to check results, the agreement was good through L12 straight section. At L13 the non-linearity of the fringe field is evident but there is still good agreement for particles with small radial deviation from the central path.

## $\underline{v}$ Value

For these calculations the "Beam" program was used without quadrupole or sextupole fields. This yields a mathematically "Natural" machine with a $v H$ of 8.65 and $v V$ of 8.73. Actual machine operation is generally with quadrupole fields such that $v H$ is about 8.3 and $v v$ about 8.7.

The effect of this reduction in horizontal $v$ value is to decrease the machine acceptance by an amount approximately equal to the decrease in $v$ value.

From EDC-28

$$
\beta \cong \beta n \frac{v n}{v}
$$

then $W=\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\beta} \approx \frac{\alpha^{2}}{\beta n} \frac{v}{v n}=\operatorname{Wn} \frac{v}{v n}$
The actual beam envelope is then somewhat smallex than described. All beam clearances should certainly still be valid and transformation matrices from L10 to L13 are unchanged.

## Observations

Referring to the beam ellipses:
The horizontal beam envelope at L12-L13 is greater than the 4 in. allowed by the vacuum pipe. To obtain complete transmission at injection energy through to L 13 the pipe would have to be widened to 7 in.

The L11 straight section is a good location for a beam collimating device. The undisturbed beam has a maximum horizontal betatron oscillation amplitude of but 1.8 in. As the deflected beam is displaced 4 in. from the undisturbed beam, there is more than $1 / 4$ in. free for a support. A. support so positioned would not incerfere with the beam before, or after, the "Kick".

Detection equipment can be located anywhere up to the L 12 straight section before beam is lost on the vacuum chamber walls.

Linear transformation of beam characteristics should certainly be adequate for measurements made up to L12. To so transform measurements made at L13 would introduce an error depending on the particles' radial position.
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Transformation Matrices Srom "BEAM" May ${ }^{\text {Go }}$
Injection field $\quad P=.4040 \times 10^{6}$ gausiuches $Z V Y=8.726$

$$
\binom{x_{2}}{x_{2}^{\prime}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11} & a_{12} \\
a_{21} & a_{22}
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}}{x_{1}^{\prime}}
$$

Frown To
Howzontal
dir unitless
aiz Killoineh
221 Millirad/inch
a22 unitless


With Kick at Lio

| LiO | $L_{11}$ | 1.13170 .16280 | 2.58421 .25538 | .87339 | $.13978-2.4205 .75755$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $L_{11}$ | $L_{12}$ | 1.11635 .10773 | 2.163871 .10460 | 88748 | $.098754-2.04813 .84987$ |
| $L_{12}$ | $L_{13}$ | 1.17244 .1433031 .946101 .09078 | $.634046 .124964-1.83973 .41224$ |  |  |

Undist urbed Beam


Kicleed Beam (beemeenter path)

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
.066890 & .024599 \\
4.092789 & .031290 \\
7.998246 & .043691 \\
15.864964 & .068029
\end{array}
$$




Computations
From EDC-10

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T((s+c) / s)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \mu+\alpha \sin \mu & \beta \sin \mu \\
-\gamma \sin \mu & \cos \mu-\alpha \sin \mu
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11} & a_{12} \\
a_{21} & a_{22}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \omega+h \beta \gamma=1+\alpha^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu=\cos ^{-1} \frac{1}{2}\left(a_{11}+a_{22}\right) \\
& \alpha=\left(a_{11}-a_{22}\right) / 2 \sin \mu \\
& \beta=a_{12} / \sin \mu \\
& \gamma=-a_{21} / \sin \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

Again EDC-10

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T\left(s_{2} / s_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
b_{11} & b_{12} \\
b_{21} & b_{22}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \alpha_{2}=\left(b_{11} b_{22}+b_{12} b_{21}\right) \alpha_{1}-b_{21} b_{11} \beta_{1}-b_{12} b_{22} \gamma_{1} \\
& \beta_{2}=-2 b_{11} b_{12} \alpha_{1}+b_{11}^{2} \beta_{1}+b_{12}^{2} \gamma_{1} \\
& \gamma_{2}=-2 b_{22} b_{21} \alpha_{1}+b_{21}^{2} \beta_{1}+b_{22}^{2} \gamma_{1} \\
& W=\text { admittance } / \pi=d^{2} / \beta_{\text {max }} \\
& W_{H}=(2.86)^{2} / \beta_{N}(5)=.0074848 \text { inibes radians } \\
& W=\frac{(1.25)^{2}}{\beta_{V}(10)}=.002505 \text { inches radians }
\end{aligned}
$$

after KGSteffen


Ill ports on the ellypo must satisig an equation of the Som

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=X_{0}(\sin (\omega t+\phi))+X\left(e q u l_{i b i v i n)}\right. \\
& Y=Y_{0}(\sin \omega t)+Y(e q)
\end{aligned}
$$

at $\omega t=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=X_{0} \sin \phi+X(e q)=\sqrt{W}(r+X e q \\
& Y=0+Y(e q)=Y\left(e c_{h}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

at $\omega t=\pi / 2 \quad x=\left(x_{0} \sin (\pi / 2+\phi)=x_{0} \cos \phi\right)+x_{e q}=-\alpha \sqrt{\frac{N / \gamma}{\gamma}}+x_{\epsilon_{q}}$

$$
Y=Y_{0}=\sqrt{W r}
$$

$$
\frac{x_{0} \sin \phi}{x_{0} \cos \phi}=\frac{\sqrt{\omega / r}}{-\alpha \sqrt{w / r}}=\frac{-1}{\alpha}=\operatorname{Ton} \phi
$$

$$
p=\operatorname{Tan}^{-1}-\frac{1}{x}
$$

The ellipse man be generated data, wit yo from o to 2TT.
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