BNL-105130-2014-TECH

Booster Technical Note No. 83;BNL-105130-2014-IR

The effect of sextupole fields on the space charge limit

G. Parzen

July 1987

Collider Accelerator Department

Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No.DE-AC02-76CH00016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

THE EFFECT OF SEXTUPOLE FIELDS ON THE SPACE CHARGE LIMIT

AD
Booster Technical Note
No.83

G. PARZEN

JULY 13, 1987

ACCELERATOR DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
Brookhaven National Laboratory
Upton, N.Y. 11973

I. INTRODUCTION

The presence of sextupole fields in the AGS Booster appears to have a considerable effect on the intrinsic space charge limit. The sextupole fields can lower the space charge limit by about a factor of 2.5. Overall, sextupole arrangements with a periodicity of 6 and a periodicity of 24 have about the same effect and reduce the intrinsic space charge limit by about a factor of 2.5. The optimum space charge limit is reduced by the sextupoles from 4×10^{13} protons/bunch ts 1.5×10^{13} protons/bunch.

II. RESULTS OF SIMULATION STUDIES OF THE SPACE CHARGE LIMIT

The simulation studies reported here compute the beam growth and the space charge limit in the absence of external resonances, which is called the intrinsic space charge limit.

Figure 1 shows the computed space charge limit for various arrangements of sextupole fields. In Figure 1, the space charge limit $N_{b,L}$ protons/bunch, is plotted against the initial horizontal half-size of the beam, XBM, when the beam is injected using only a horizontal bump. The largest space charge limit is found when no sextupole fields are present. Results are shown for the following sextupole arrangements:

1) Sextupoles with Periodicity = 24, $C_x = C_y = -5$
2) Sextupoles with Periodicity = 6, $C_x = C_y = -5$
3) No sextupole fields.

Both sextupole distributions give about the same results for the space charge limit, and considerably reduce the optimum space charge limit from $N_{b,L} = 4.0 \times 10^{13}$ protons/bunch to $N_{b,L} = 1.5 \times 10^{13}$ protons/bunch.

In some respects the periodicity = 24 sextupole arrangement is better and this is shown in the beam growth plot in Figure 2.

Figure 2 shows the beam growth for various sextupole arrangements. In Figure 2, the maximum vertical emittance achieved by any particle in the beam is plotted against N_b, the number of protons/bunch, when the beam is injected as a flat beam with a maximum horizontal emittance if $\varepsilon_x = 53 \times 10^{-6}$ m Rad and an initial maximum vertical emittance of $\varepsilon_y = 5 \times 10^{-6}$ m Rad. One sees that the growth is smaller for the case of no sextupole fields. The results for the periodicity = 24 sextupole arrangement oscillate with N_b, with some values of N_b giving large beam growth, similar to that of the periodicity = 6 arrangement, and with some values of N_b giving less beam growth similar to that of the no sextupole field case. This seems to indicate that the beam may be unstable and strike the vacuum chamber at some lower value of N_b and become more stable and have less growth as some higher value of N_b. In computing $N_{b,L}$, the space charge limit the smallest N_b that makes the beam hit the vacuum chamber was used, even though some larger N_b appear to be stable.

The results for the space charge limit when the sextupoles are present may be considered uncomfortably low. The largest space charge limit found in this case is 1.5×10^{13} protons/bunch. These results do not include the effects of external resonances due to magnet field errors and there are other factors that add to the uncertainty in the results.


Space Charge Limit
Versus
Initial Horizontal Beam Size
No Sextupole fields
Period=24 and Period=6 Sextupoles $C_x=C_y=-5$
$N_{b,L}/10^{13}$ Protons/bunch
1
2
3
4
10
20
30
40
50
XBM (mm)

Fig. 1


Vertical Emittance Growth
vs. N_b
200
100
$\varepsilon_{y,max}/10^{-6}$ (m)
Period = 6
Sextupoles
No
Sextupole
Fields
Period = 24
Sextupoles
1
2
3
4
$N_b/10^{13}$ protons/bunch

Fig. 2