



BNL-104035-2014-TECH

AGS.SN158;BNL-104035-2014-IR

Comparison of June and September Operation of SBE

I. H. Chiang

June 1983

Collider Accelerator Department
Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC02-76CH00016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

AGS STUDIES REPORTDate 6/9/83 and 9/30/83Time 0615 and 2300Experimenters I-H Chiang, J.W. Glenn, J. Ryan, A. Soukas and W-T WengReported by J.W. GlennSubject Comparison of June and September Operation of SBEOBSERVATIONS AND CONCLUSION

The June 9 SBE run was monitored and documented by programs CLYDE and GRITTY, while the September 13 run was with program GRYDE. Comparison of the performance requires some conversion, as some numbers used different calibration constants or methods of calculation. The following is a tabulation of the two runs and the ratios of the performances.

	<u>6/9/83 - 0615</u>		<u>9/30/83 - 2300</u>	<u>Ratio</u>
	<u>Output</u>	<u>Converted</u>	<u>Output</u>	<u>9/30 6/9</u>
<u>FEB (GRITTY)</u>				
LCBM	3.75 TP		1.64 TP	0.4
Ring Loss	3.0%		6.5%	2
XTEFF	105.1%		108.3%	1.0
Shave	8.7%		8.9%	1.0
H5 Loss	1.3%		3.8%	3
H10 Loss	0.4%		2.4%	6
<u>FEB Loss Monitors¹</u>				
U015	12 Counts	5.4 Counts	8 Counts	1.5
U116	15 "	6.7 "	6 "	0.9
U135	18 "	8.1 "	8 "	1.0
U157	45 "	20.2 "	19 "	0.9

	<u>6/9/83 - 0615</u>		<u>9/30/83 - 2300</u>	<u>Ratio</u>
	<u>Output</u>	<u>Converted</u>	<u>Output</u>	<u>$\frac{9/30}{6/9}$</u>
<u>SBE (CLYDE)</u>				
XEFF	11.44%	137.3%	124.2 %	0.9
XINEF	2.51%		2.4 %	1.0
F5	0.88%	2.0%	0.86%	0.4
F10	0.44%	1.1%	1.58%	1.4
D Transport ³		90 %	88 %	1.0
<u>SEB Loss Monitors²</u>				
CL03L	34 Counts	17 Counts	0 Counts	0.5
CL06L	88 "	44 "	-1 "	---
CL09L	208 "	104 "	8 "	0.08
CL13L	126 "	63 "	33 "	0.5
CL16L	210 "	105 "	49 "	0.5
DL17L	107 "	54 "	45 "	0.8
DL20L	63 "	32 "	449 "	14
DL24L	116 "	58 "	85 "	1.5
DL27L	213 "	107 "	103 "	1.0
DL30L	154 "	77 "	67 "	0.9
DL33L	231 "	116 "	86 "	0.7
DL36L	300 "	150 "	214 "	1.4

¹Counts scaled to lower LCBM reading.

²Counts scaled to lower SBE extracted beam.

³D line transport efficiency quoted for 6/9 from data for plot of transport efficiency vs intensity.

Conclusions

1. Fast extraction losses appear a factor of two worse in September. Transport losses are similar. Both should have been lower in September as the internal beam was one-half of the June intensity.
2. SBE extraction probably was of similar efficiency. Due to the lower intensity it should also have been lower. Transport efficiency is similar for June and September at the same intensity. The recorded losses are generally lower.

mvh

Distribution: AD S&P Staff