

BNL-104055-2014-TECH AGS.SN178;BNL-104055-2014-IR

Injection Orbit Correction for Polarized Proton Acceleration

L. Ahrens

April 1985

Collider Accelerator Department Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No.DE-AC02-76CH00016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Number	178	

AGS Studies Report

- - <u>.</u>

. 9

Date(s) <u>April</u>	18, 1985	Time(s)	1330-2000
Experimenter(s)	L. Ahrens, L. I	Ratner, J. Skelly	
Reported by	L. Ahrens		
Subject -	Injection Orbit	Correction for	Polarized Proton
-	Acceleration		

Objective

Replace the normal vertical injection equilibrium orbit correction system (96 independently controlled dc power supplies and magnets, ± 2 Amp current range 2 mA (10 bit) adjustment precision) with the system used for polarized proton (PP) acceleration (same 96 magnets, different power supplies, these pulsed, ± 8 Amp current range, 80 mA (7 bit) adjustment precision). Adjust the pulsed system such that it contains an injection pulse which adequately reproduces the field normally generated-namely that which allows the AGS to continue accelerating high intensity beam. As a confirmation, the measured vertical orbit should be approximately unchanged. This procedure if successful yields two important gains: (1) the vertical dipoles can be used during polarized proton running to correct the vertical orbit rather than going in with no control as we have in the past; and (2) the possibility will exist for exercising the polarized proton system for extended periods prior to commissioning period.

Procedure

The problem with using the PP dipole system in this mode at injection is associated with the required precision. Adjustment of the 9th harmonic is occasionally done to the least count (2 mA) level with the normal system. In order to increase the precision of the PP system fewer dipoles were powered - 12 (= 1/8) in this study improving the effective precision from 80 mA to 10 mA.

In addition to tuning the 9th harmonic (cancelling a horizontal dipole field with azimuthal dependence A₉ cos $9\theta + B_9 \sin 9\theta$, $\theta = s/R$, s = azimuthal distance around ring, R = average radius, s = 0 at the beginning of the "A" superperiod) the 8th was tuned, and $3/2 \lambda$ bumps were applied around a few of the polarized proton quadrupoles just as they are with the usual system. Table 1 gives the magnetic corrections in effect with the normal system, the predicted corrections with the PP system, and the "tuned" values giving the greatest intensity. With no

changes in any other AGS parameters, these dipole settings resulted in a reduction in accelerated intensity from 1.4 x 10^{13} to 1.3×10^{13} . The machine was less stable than with the normal system, and problems with noise in the AlO house were aggravated - presumably due to beam losses in the injection region. Figure 1 gives the vertical equilibrium orbit with the normal dipole system, Figure 2 is the orbit using the PP system as optimized for intensity, and Figure 3 the difference between these orbits.

From Figure 3 (note the full scale is 2 mm), the 8th is seen to be essentially identical between the two systems, the 9th different by .05 cm in the sine component and -.03 cm in the cosine component. The 3/2 λ bumps at the 15 straight sections were not extensively tuned due to the increase in noise in the A10 house which interacts with the RLRM ring radiation system which is the diagnostic most useful for bump tuning. This may explain why slightly different harmonic yielded maximum intensity, and why the intensity was a bit lower. It was also true, as expected, that the precision was not quite fine enough, single count changes in command affected the beam, which may explain also the reduced machine stability.

Conclusions and Plans

The harmonic values required to recover intensity were approximately those expected without taking into account the particular spacing of the dipoles in the calculation. The machine behaved in a predictable manner.

Noise problems of two sorts affected the effort. The AlO house equipment and the RLRM showed typical noise related problems. Also the rf system displayed a noise problem known and temporarily corrected during the last polarized proton run related to grounds between MCR and the rf building and the ElO house. The old fix will be re-installed.

A further reduction of the number of dipoles used from twelve to six may be possible, increasing the sensitivity but also creating a richer mix of unwanted harmonics. This will be tried.

The real objective for doing all of this - to allow the PP system to be exercised, pulsing through its 40 odd resonances, while HEP continued - was achieved. The system was exercised and the above mentioned noise problems and some diagnostic problems were uncovered. The PP system appeared to shift its overall calibration at one point during the exercise. This is not understood, the numbers quoted were taken in the second and apparently stable state.

Special thanks to G. Murdock who kept the dipole hardware working, and to A. Abola who managed to bring up the software needed to learn the harmonic state of the normal dipole system.

Table 1

	8th harmonic		9th harmonic	
	cosine	sine	cosine	sine
Normal System Command 4000 = 2 Amps	-222	-114	-220	-191
Corresponding Current (96 dipoles)	-111 mA	- 57 mA	-110 mA	- 96 mA
Approximate Current if 12 dipoles	-888 mA	-456 mA	-880 mA	-768 mA
PP Predicted Command 127 = 10 Amps	- 11	- 6	- 11	- 10
Observed Cmd for max intensity	- 17	- 11	- 13	- 14

T 0 0 13 0 0 19 10 10 00 07 -0 10 -0 04 1 00 0 15 1-0.02 -0.	OS AMP 03 0.029 00 0.019 01 0.038 06 0.056 02 0.100 00 0.008 06 0.064 11 0.134 04 0.070 00 0.020 04 0.051 03 0.059
1.00 A B C D E F G H I J J 0.50	K L UP
+ CM X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	Att
$\begin{array}{c} a \ 50 \ \hline \\ A \ \hline \\ I \ B \ \hline \\ I \ C \ \hline \\ I \ B \ \hline \\ I \ B \ \hline \\ \end{array}$	K.L
Figure 1 Original Vert. equil. orb 4 ms after ins	it

18-APR-85 19 42 VER ORBIT CMPTR NAVE 18 HARMONIC ANALYSIS 57 **8**: COS AMP NORMAL 1656 H SIN 280 0.00 -0.045 5 0.06 0.056 20LF -. 03 0.22 -0.06 16 12 -0 6 0.01 9.064 0.14 . 80 33 0 02 12 -0 9 Ø -0.01 Ø. 8 08 Ø 16 -0. .23 -0.01 0.03 8 -98 0.035 0.00 - 0.8 18 9 -0.02 13 -0 0.14 Ø. 142 0 (Ò 0.03 0 03 -9 Й 37 31 0.01 -0.04 0.038 ÉŤ -0 -00 0.02 0 Ø . 05 08 - A 0.053 -0.39 -0.12 -0.07 -0 24 -0 2 0.07 08 0.107 09 G -9 -0 0.069 0 37 Ø 07 2 13 -0.05 -0 . 04 0.04 0.06 -0.15-0 Ø . 07 0 14 -0 01 Ø 01 0.019 -0.18 15 16 0.13 -0 11 -8 .02 -0 0 . 63 0.040 0.08 -0.10 0.18 0.06 Ø -0.050.03 0.057 ĪŹ -0.11 -0.20 0:28 -0.09 -0.00 0.00 0.002 12 dipoles used for Narmonics 1.00 À B n E UP G 0.50 +0.00 CM 0.50 Å E B n F G K 1.09 2000 Vert Orbit Proton dipole Polovized Sastem Using

ANALYSIS HARMONIC 18-APR-85 CMPTR NAUE:10 UER ORBIT 2 行19:45 57 AMP COS SIN DATA USING EINJ F **** F 0.034 0.02 8.03 5 6 L20CT = 1910REFER 1655 BY 28CT -0.05 0.046 Ø 91 POS= -0.047 ERAGE 8.13 8.07 0.04 0.12 0 . 08 -0 . 91 Ø. . 080 . 07 Ø . 08 Ø 0. -0.00 -A 92 025 17 8 Ø -0.07 B -0.11 .02 .08 .10 .03 ĝ 05 -9 .03 0 Ø 062 9 08 C 09 0.08 Ø -0 10 Ö Ø er Ø : 02 -0.03 Ø. .037 D 00 -0.00 0 .01 **A1** Ø .02 0.026 Ē 0 01 -0.11 -0 -0. 0 10 0.07 -0.01 -0.13 -0 Ø 23 Ø .03 0.030 -0.03 5 F 0.01 Ò 00 -0.01 Ø. . 009 000 -8 88 -0.16 .01 ſ, 0.10 -0.08 0.02 0.11 -0.09 -0.03 -0.11 -0.19 Ĥ 14 15 16 0.00 0 . 01 0 .03 . 009 H Ø 14 0.05 0.16 0.09 -0.09 0.00 Ø .01 0 007 -0 -0.08 12 0.00 -0.00 Ø. 60 Ø 004 -0.01 04 - 0 17 -0.00 9.005 0.07 . 02 0 0.14 0.17 CM 0.20 UP N E G H +0.10 0.00 CM 0.10 F G Ē A B n 0.20 Coriginal orbit

σ