

Measure Vertical Emittance of FEB in Test Beam

G. W. Bennett

May 1973

Collider Accelerator Department
Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No.AT(30-1)-16 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

FEB Commissioning Study

Wed. May 16, 1973

Bennett, Blumberg, Gutty, Keane

Scheduled: 0001-0800 Actual: 0001-0820

Objective: Measure the vertical emittance of the bunched, high intensity FEB

Result: Success. We obtained numerous (~ 20) profiles from the array of 24 insulated plates in the test beam instrument box for each of about 15 settings of the external quadrupole UQ2. The late CBM was about $3.5 \cdot 10^{12}$ ppp and we were extracting about 20% of it. The U16 current transformer indicated that we were extracting parts of 6 bunches, although there was no clear evidence that we were extracting any one bunch completely — in contrast to previous observations that we could extract 4 complete bunches from a $1.5 \cdot 10^{12}$ internal beam.

The radial instability, previously noted at $1.5 \cdot 10^{12}$, re-appeared intermittently. The symptom is a radial inward excursion immediately after FEB, with complete loss of the beam on the inside of the ring at about 3 msec after t_{FEB} . At lower CBM we previously suspected that removal of entire beam from $\sim 50\%$ of azimuth left too little beam in machine for adequate signal for radial position servo. Tonight's result puts that explanation in question. We were able to eliminate loss by a compensating outward radius shift. The effect will therefore probably not be an operational problem. We should, however, study the radial signal after t_{FEB} and also investigate accuracy of the CBM after multi-bunch extraction.

EXTRACTED BEAM VS. H10 MAGNET POSITION

NORMALIZED TO CBM CBM $\sim 4.5 \times 10^{12}$ PPA
MAGNET POSITION IS UPSTREAM END OF SEPTUM
TO BEAM CODE AXIS
SKEW = -3 MRAD

S
4.8
4.6
4.4
4.2
4
3.8
3.6
3.4
3
2.8
2.6
2.4
2.2
2
1.8
1.6
1.4

U16 Ins. Plate / CBM →

CLEARANCE
 $\sim 2''$

2 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 2.6

KEUFFEL & ESSER CO.

