



Brookhaven  
National Laboratory

BNL-104027-2014-TECH

AGS.SN149;BNL-104027-2014-IR

## AGS horizontal and vertical emittance vs energy

L. Ahrens

March 1983

Collider Accelerator Department  
**Brookhaven National Laboratory**

**U.S. Department of Energy**

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC02-76CH00016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

## **DISCLAIMER**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

AGS STUDIES REPORTDate March 6, 1983Time 1200Experimenters L. Ahrens, E. GillReported by H. WeisbergSubject AGS Horizontal and Vertical Emittance vs EnergyOBSERVATIONS AND CONCLUSION

The Ionization Profile Monitor (IPM) has been used extensively to study the horizontal and vertical beam size vs energy in the AGS. Typical results from early in the present running cycle are reported here. Measurements were made both in normal operation at high intensity ( $10 \times 10^{12}$  protons per pulse) and in a studies run at low intensity ( $2.5 \times 10^{12}$ ). Report BNL-32007 describes the method used to calculate the beam size (standard deviation) and the corresponding normalized emittance at each energy. For the horizontal measurements, a correction for momentum spread is made, based on measured longitudinal parameters. This correction is given in Eq. (3) of the report.

The measured horizontal normalized emittances (Figures 1 and 2) show a substantially constant value throughout the acceleration cycle, as was already discussed in BNL-32007. There is some variation at the beginning of the acceleration cycle, and some fluctuation around transition, where the momentum spread correction is large. Nonetheless the agreement with adiabatic shrinkage is impressive.

With the installation of the second IPM unit, vertical data have become available (Figures 3 and 4). The surprising feature is that, unlike the horizontal case, the agreement with adiabatic shrinkage is poor. The beam does not shrink as fast as it should, and so the normalized emittance grows with energy. This growth is observed both at high and at low intensity.

An alternative way to view the results is to look at the measured beam sizes vs energy (Figures 5-8). Here the measured sizes (points) are compared with curves showing what is expected for constant normalized emittance. Figures 5 and 6 show quite clearly that there is good agreement with constant normalized emittance in the horizontal plane. Figures 7 and 8 show that the vertical size fails to shrink as fast as expected for constant normalized emittance.

Two areas for future investigation are suggested. First, it should be possible by improving the transverse matching at injection to achieve smaller emittances than reported here. Second, the cause of the vertical emittance growth needs to be determined.

NORMALIZED HORIZONTAL EMITTANCE vs TIME




Figure 1 - LOW INTENSITY

EMITTANCE (MI-MRAD)




Figure 2 - HIGH INTENSITY

NORMALIZED VERTICAL EMITTANCE vs TIME




Figure 3 - LOW INTENSITY




Figure 4 - HIGH INTENSITY




FIGURE 5 - Horizontal beam size vs time in accelerating cycle for high intensity.




FIGURE 6 - Horizontal beam size vs time in accelerating cycle for low intensity.




FIGURE 7 - Vertical beam size vs time in accelerating cycle for high intensity.




FIGURE 8 - Vertical beam size vs time in accelerating cycle for low intensity.