

#5 Attempt at H 10 Shaving Extraction. SUCCESS

G. Bagley

May 1973

Collider Accelerator Department
Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No.AT(30-1)-16 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Scheduled: 1800-0200 Actual: 2100-0230

From: Bagley, Bennett, Blumberg, Claus, Curtiss, Glasmann, Guthy, Keane, Raka, Schirmer, Soukas, Zguris.

Object: H10 BEAM EXTRACTION.

Result: Success

- ① We see external beam spot at H13 $\sim \frac{1}{3}$ " vertical \times $\sim .6$ " horizontal which is as expected with our usual assumption $E_H \approx E_V \approx .2\pi$ cm-mrad at 29 GeV/c. Spot 1700" downstream at test beam instr. box was harder to see but was $\sim .75$ " dia. with $UQ1 = 8.5$ kG/in HF, $UQ2 = 9$ kG/in VF as expected. $CBM \sim 1.7 \cdot 10^{12}$ ppp Gaussclock = 57850
- ② External current transformer at H13 shows 4 bunches completely extracted, 2 bunches at about 75% intensity and a 7th bunch at $\sim \frac{1}{3}$ intensity. This is in qualitative agreement with pick-up electrode in ring which shows 5 unperturbed bunches and a fraction of 2 more remaining in machine after extraction. We do not have quantitative result on efficiency yet. Above observations are with full strength of C15 + E15 beam kickers (~ 6000 A peak) and circulating beam parked about .050" from E10 septum before extraction. We previously estimated that kickers would give peak deflection of 1.2 cm at E10 so it's surprising that we can extract 4 bunches cleanly. Beam size must be < 1 cm on flattop. This is not inconsistent with J.C. Herrera 4/25/73 measurements showing high field vertical size of 1 cm at higher ($4-4.9 \cdot 10^{12}$) internal beam.
- ③ Turned off RF early to test shaving of de bunched beam. It works fine. Spill duration is ~ 1.5 μ sec with negligible rf structure.
- ④ Obtained an approximate idea of clearance at H10 by moving magnet and observing loss on insulated plate. We have at least .150" clearance at this (.09") septum.
- ⑤ Only difficulty is an intermittent loss of remaining AGS beam at 3 msec after FEB extraction. Symptom is rapid radial excursion to inside of ring. The loss was apparent at low ($\sim 1 \cdot 10^{12}$) intensity and went away at $1.7 \cdot 10^{12}$, suggesting that radial signal is too small after FEB to hold beam position. However, loss reappeared at $1.7 \cdot 10^{12}$ when we reduced C15 + E15 kickers.
EFFECT IS NOT UNDERSTOOD.