

Horizontal Beam Size at F20 for Entire Accel. Cycle

J. Herrera

May 1973

Collider Accelerator Department
Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No.AT(30-1)-16 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Horizontal Beam Size Measurement (data 5/8/73)

Measurements of the horizontal beam size were made for the entire beam cycle.

Conditions:

- 1) Horizontal targets at F-20 (PAV)
- 2) Beam intensity $\sim 4 \times 10^{12}$ p/p
- 3) Vacuum $\sim 10^{-6}$ Torr overall

Comments:

- 1) Intensity variations during measurement were larger than for vertical measurements.
- 2) Size of beam at injection is larger than before conversion by $3/2$
- 3) Final ^(H.E.) size of beam is larger than before conversion by about $1.4/1$
- 4) Overall damping in size is approx $5/1$ while $\sqrt{\frac{P_1}{P_2}} = 7/1$.

J. Blumberg
5/10/73

