

BNL-104007-2014-TECH AGS.SN129;BNL-104007-2014-IR

SEB Size Comparison

J. F. Ryan

December 1980

Collider Accelerator Department

Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No.DE-AC02-76CH00016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

AGS STUDIES REPORT

NUMBER	1	2	9
--------	---	---	---

Date <u>12/10-20/80</u>	Time	Experimenters	J.F.	Ryan
Subject S	ER Sizes Compared wit	h Calculated Si	zes	

OBSERVATIONS AND CONCLUSION

Purpose:

To determine how well the "QTUNE" program models the beam transport in the SEB switchyard and to find causes for possible errors.

Procedure:

The beam sizes as observed from flags and SWIC's were compared with the calculated sizes from "QTUNE" using the magnetic fields calculated from the AGAST command currents. In the 'A' line AQ5 was varied to make the vertical beam width at the 'A' target pass thru a minimum. This was repeated for AQ6 for the horizontal beam size. In the 'B' line this test was repeated with BQ11 and BQ13 for 'B' target measurements. Careful beam size measurements were made from F10 to the B target using flags. The amount of stray magnetic field in the hole of AD2 and AD3 Lambertson magnets was measured by detecting the movement of the 'B' and 'C' beams at the CW223 SWIC.

These tests were made at different times so that HEP was only slightly affected.

Observations:

Most of these tests were made from 0200 to 0600 when the AGS and transport magnets were steady. The 'B' line flag measurements were made on December 20, 1980 after J. Glenn reduced the spiral pitch to 0.54 in. at F10. All of the measurements were done with a steady beam with low transport losses. Extraction efficiency was greater than 95% and inefficiency less than 7%.

The beam sizes were obtained from the 'A' target SWIC in the 'A' line only. The 'B' line beam sizes were measured from the 'B' target flag with the beam spot moved to a sensitive area.

Results and Discussions:

Figures 1 and 2 show the 99% half size beam width in the 'A' line as compared to the calculated value from "QTUNE" as AQ6 or AQ5 was varied. The beam size at the SWIC location, 91 in. before the A target, is plotted with "QTUNE". Figures 3 and 4 show the general shape of the calculated beam from F13 to the target. H. Weisberg's emittance is assumed. Figure 5 shows the horizontal SWIC's plotted on a log scale with the assumed background plotted as a solid smooth line. Using H. Weisberg's background subtraction method, the half width of the beam was obtained from these SWIC measurements.

The 'A' target optics were adjusted for a large beam at the 'A' target for the Adair experiment. The SWIC used was a 'maxi' SWIC with 0.160 in. wire spacing. The SWIC readings were clean with no missing wires. The measured widths from the SWIC's are much wider than the calcualted widths by a factor of 2.5 and 1.8 for the horizontal and vertical shapes. At the minimum of the curves of Figure 1 and 2, the ratio is much larger. The calculated minimum location do agree well with the observed minimum as a function of quad setting. The observed data indicate the ratios between observed and calculated emittances of 8.8 and 3.4 in the A line. This error will be discussed later, but is probably caused by extraction power supply ripple.

Figures 6 thru 12 show the results for the 'B' line. Figures 9 and 12 show that the beam size cannot be measured accurately from the 'B' target SWIC. Bad wires, channels with different gains, and a large beam appear to cause bad SWIC patterns. The wire spacing is 12.5 mils. The beam size that was plotted was observed from the 'B' target flag. Figures 8 and 11 show that the beam size is varying rapidly near the target and that the beam size as measured at the SWIC location 17 in. before the target can be 100 mils greater than at the target.

Figures 7 and 10 show a better agreement between the observed and calculated sizes in the 'B' line than in the 'A' line. In fact, the operating value of BQ11 is 2712A and "QTUNE" predicts a minimum horizontal beam size at 2713.5 A. The program also predicts a sharp minimum in horizontal size as BQ11 varies, but this was not observed on the flag. This may be due to the nonlinear response of the flag.


The plunging SWIC CW223 was inserted and the 'B' and 'C' beams were observed with AD2 and 3 on and off. Figure 13 shows that the beam moved horizontally to the left when AD2 and 3 was turned off corresponding to a move to the east or away from the 'B' line. The CW223 wire spacing is 50 mils. By observing only the peak of the 'C' beam, the SWIC shows a movement of 160 mils horizontally. From the whole vertical SWIC, the beam moved 47 mils up or down. From the transport matrix elements that can be printed from "QTUNE" using the current AGAST settings, the field in the hole is calculated to be 27.3 gauss for a magnetic field in the iron of 12.98 kG. The field is at a 23° angle with the vertical. The ratio is 475, the approximate permeability of the iron at 12.98 kG.

Figures 14 thru 18 show the 'B' line beam measured from flags compared to the "QTUNE" calculated values. The beam distribution to A, B and C targets was 0.25, 1.68 and 5.16 TP.


The agreement is poor. Figure 14 shows that agreement is bad after BB3 since the B line was split off the total beam. The flags of Figure 16 are important. CF011 shows a fuzzy tail on the horizontal beam indicating a wider beam. The hot core shown on CF011 is the correct size as predicted by "QTUNE", 1.25" by 0.255". On CF039 the beam is surrounded by this fuzzy beam before going into the splitters. At CF100 the beam is split into the A, B, and C beams but the B and C beams appear the same size. This is surprising since the 'A' intensity is 1/7 the 'B' intensity. This would indicate a higher emittance for the 'A' line especially in the horizontal plane. This may be the fuzzy area of CF011 transported down to CF100.

The fuzzy area should not probably occur at CF011 and is probably caused by ripple on the extraction magnet power supply F10. Misadjusted ramps would cause the beam to move at a visible rate.

In conclusion, "QTUNE" appears to predict the correct beam size, but more tests are necessary. These tests should be made only after the beam is the correct size after extraction. Observing the CF011 flag is an excellent way of determining if extraction is clean. One cannot predict downstream beam sizes if the wrong size or wrong emittance beam is coming out of the AGS.




RMS Assumed curthonce at F13 -- 0,18, €= 0.8708, 0.1279, .0095 (inch-most) 99% emillance 0.08750 (IN-mod) WIDTH = KTE = KTE Wp = 542 E= .08750 Ws = 1000 Ex = Ex = 0.2978 (150-most) $\frac{\epsilon_{\times}}{\epsilon}$ = 3.40 SEB INFO -12-Dec 1980 4 AM A= 0.73 TP T/I = 80,5 B = 0 TP C = 5,26 TP PLS= 6.9 B. With began fitting (green curve) 269: 6-4 XEFF= 98.6% $\frac{\epsilon_{x}}{\epsilon} = 3.0$ (Wa = 542 mp: 120 XIEFF = 5%



QTUNE Assume emittened at F13 - 0078 (in-mod runs) = 0.0718 (in-mod) 99% beam Measured emittance = $\left(\frac{18}{127}\right)^2 = 8.8$ times $\in QTUNE$

SP 11037A

FIGURE 3



•					
				FLEURE S	BKON FIRTOR
					fit Using
нзоѕн ОН	Z = 11.5	H30SH 10H ∑ = 4.5	язоѕн 11H		ACG - Best
нзоѕн 5Н	Λ = H. 5	НЗОЅН БН 2 = 4.5	H30SH 7H 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	R30SH 8H Σ = 14.5	VARYING-
нзозн 1⊣	Z = 4.5	нзоѕн 2H Г	нзоѕн 3Н	H30SH 44.5	deren, jankistorismenten antentenden storkentenden storken mit den storken den storken den storken den storken

Fleure 6

3Y		DATE	SUBJECT			SHEET I	40OF	
CHKD.	BY	DATE		·		JOB No		
		7001	DEPT. DR PROJ	EGT				
			\(\frac{1}{2}\)					
			- \				e de la companya de La companya de la co	
					erent i vai illa i			; -
				en e			en in a service en	
سو د مو		600	was the first production and a first transfer of the state of the stat	and the state of t			the section of the se	
				e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	ن این او در این استواد دو این استواد دو این این او دو این		a the second	
	ţ	3	and the second s					
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· -			The same and the same and the same	
	7	\		e e				
	_ 1	500	Andrew the Control of	er er i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Constitute processors transported by the gradual of	er sine jan pensa pengambangan engang	THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF	
					***		er e de la democrate de la constant	
	2	J .					a seeman a	
		The state of the s						
م سوند به م		400						
	1					The second secon	to contribute the first the consequence of the cons	,
				e de la companya de La companya de la co	en e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		era e la comprese describe paper que	-/-
			FULL 517E			The second secon		/
	1		Observed			ř	*	
		-300	S, 201		e de maria de la composición de la comp	e de la companya del companya de la		
		· dist	and the second of the second o		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		/x	<u>مح</u> موره در این این این محمور
,			and the second s				<i>f</i>	
	الم							
	T. March	With a second			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>.</u>	1	
		500	And the contraction of the contr	The same of the sa	a recompession recommended as we will be a second as we will be a second as we will be a second as we will be a			
	1 4			×	X.		n or represent the property	tum
	1	3	The second secon	1	The second secon			٠٠ - ٠٠٠٠٠
,	A	3.1.		e de empresar a company		ישום ל	ue sale	
and the analysis		100	FLAG	g of the second		* Just	TGT	
. 2			HACE ZISE	AX		- f	and the second second of	
			The state of the s	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		*	er sanggarangan sanggaran	
		d Demografies	The second secon			المنظم بيام يوني المنظم. والمنظم بيام المنظم المنظمية	er er er en	
		Line 1900	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e			QTUNZ	CHLC	
		<i>p</i> -L				(//0.0%	C47.00	
• •		2000	2200 2	2400	2600	2800	3000	3
	-		201	n north				
		,	DQ 17	DCM	COUNTS			
				,	•		* ·	
,			The second section of the second section is			ere ere er spræder ere e		
	i	A= 0. B= 1. C= 4	·55112			•		
		16 - 11	1718 16270					
		1- 1- 47	1821 F			•		

Floure 7

FIGURE 8

	• • •				• '						. •					-
reger of the second of the sec																
λ9			1	λL			J.				e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		Material de Material de la Securita de la comunicación de la comunicac	8 37		
ASBNB			2. 6.0	BHBSV			Σ= 6.0		÷ .	·				Fleure		
2	- F		The state of the s	۸E]]	y ²	<u>></u>			·	25	27	5		
V28µ8]	Σ= 6.0	ASBĦB			0.3 = 3,0	Bussy			£= 6.0	₽¥85V			υ-2 = 2.0	
占		j		H9	2	5 .		12H				**				
нѕвъв		1	Σ= 6.0	HSBħB		1	Σ= 6.0	HSBħB			Z = 6.p	ASBħB			0.9 = 3	
I				2H	}			Ξ		Ն				•		
Вцвѕн		4-10	Σ= 6.0	нѕвћв	קרולקוניורה-היסה	•	Σ= 6.0	нзапа	1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	!	Σ= 6.0	HSBħB			Σ= 6.0	A


HORZ. 512e can not be interpolated

BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY HIF SIZE FLAG 200 Ž800 3000 3200 BQ 13 DCN COUNTS B = 117 TA

Floure 11

: 	<u> </u>			· .	· ·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · ·		· · · · · ·		1 .			
															-
••							· · ·	•							
														Floure 12	
	NE ASST	1 WIP = 12,5 In 15	1	Ω°9 = ζ	∧†i ∧sana		Σ= 6.0	Bussv 5V			Σ= 6.0	Вцвзу БУ	- Control of the second	12. 91 to puts	Σ= 6.0
	нся нся ЭН			Σ= 6.0	Н9 нѕвћв.		0.9=	Bussv 1V		5	Σ= 6.0	вцвѕу 2V	\		= S.O
	工		1		ON THE	\(\frac{1}{2}\)	7	3 五 五 。		\ \		Hħ	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\		
	ВЩВЗН	7	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	Σ= 6.0	HS8TH8	27	Σ= 6.0	HSBīng	- <u>-</u>		Σ= 6.0	HSeng		Andrew St.	Σ= 6.0


Come not interpet the Weatherly pathing



Beam Of Calculated & Observed Half- Sizes Comparison

#160RE 14


(•	•		1
		•		-> 2082	1549	FID
		•				
<u>.</u>		•			· · · · ·	
			•	•	• • •	
				e wye wil i will all aware with a will be a com-	and the same of	
					A 16	
magnetic construction of the construction of t				17		
			•	543	,	
	٠			•		j
**-				•		
				S 2200)		
				543"		



SP 11037A

CHKD. BY	DATE	DEPT. OR PR	OJECT		 108 No	***
CF	223			-		
H5 = Z4:	5x 203 .49"	C	1.52		 The second secon	

CF 157



FROURE 17

EHKD. BY	DATE	AM sua	JECT <u>B. Law</u>	e the	SHE	ET No. / OF
		DEP	T. OR PROJECT	~~~~		
B 76-7	en morel for		C=5.16 TP	<u>BF448</u>	0.667	*
1	teme	en er er er man er		e meet was a same was an war was an war war was a same w		
		· · · ·		The second		some skew
	I ()			1.458		
Hine	5/28-55×3	7		<u> </u>		HALF 9128= 330 X7
	the larger of the statement of the larger part of the larger of the larger part of the la			e de la companya de l	The second second second second	Science of the contract of the
BF3	.98"		hand to see the true length	,		
H.S> 1	±.05		And makes and make the second			
Person is a special part of the special part o	مي المحادي الأراضية التواسية ووسا	•	The second secon		e de la companie de la proposition de la companie d	nematambahan ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang a
CF 25)						
.26	16	3 1 - fu = 31 edg	r es			e de la composição de l
	W. Carrier	,				
5- 715 × 130			2.056	c 1.280"		
·	Shα •€ξ	nep /	an annual parameter de l'Ambanana est de l'Ambanana de l'A	rational property of the second control of t	·	