

# 1.5 GeV/c FEB Extrac. and Emitt.

L. Blumberg

July 1977

Collider Accelerator Department  
**Brookhaven National Laboratory**

**U.S. Department of Energy**

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No.EY-76-C-02-0016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

## **DISCLAIMER**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Date 7/26-27/77 Time 1200-0400 ExperimentersBlumberg, Cahill, Egelman, Eld, Gill,  
Gabusi, LoSecco, Raka, Soukas, Sulak,  
Weng, WitkoverSubject 1.5 GeV/c FEB Extraction and EmittanceOBSERVATIONS AND CONCLUSIONAGS Conditions: Same as July 1 test but with collimators U5 and U12 open.

Results: (1) We immediately extracted  $\sim 3 \times 10^{12}$  ppp and, with minor adjustments, attained a peak intensity of  $4.7 \times 10^{12}$  ppp. The internal beam (CBM read at  $\approx 80$  ms after  $t_0$ ) was  $\sim 8 \times 10^{12}$  so extraction efficiency was  $\approx 60\%$  compared to  $\sim 75\%$  theoretical. Results from a radiation survey after the run showed that E13 straight section activity was quite small ( $\sim 250$  mR/hr) compared to 3 R/hr on 5/31/77 after HEP FEB and  $\sim 25$  R/hr on 5/27/77 during FEB run. Thus, the 7-inch ID pipe now in E13 (compared to 5 3/4-inch previously) has eliminated loss. We also noted that the H10 ejector magnet, at its operating position of 2.7-inches upstream and 2.2-inches downstream with respect to beam code axis, was causing some of the early loss -- the CBM had been reading  $\sim 1.0 \times 10^{13}$  ppp for HEP (SEB) preceding this study. But the above H10 position is approximately the same as used in HEP neutrino operations. We therefore conclude that, with the machine retuned at injection to FEB values, the AGS could capture about  $2 \times 10^{12}$  more beam.

(2) The beam spot at the U15 flag was horizontally  $\sim 50\%$  larger than the elliptical hole in the flag and  $\sim$  twice the vertical hole which is 1.36-inch horiz.  $\times$  0.3-inch vertical -- the calculated 28.5 GeV/c size with  $E_H \times E_V = 2.4\pi \times 1.86\pi$  (mm-mr)<sup>2</sup>. The horizontal emittance of  $\sim 6\pi$  mm-mr calculated by Weng for 1.5 GeV/c sharing is thus consistent with observation. The spill duration of  $\sim 10$   $\mu$ sec is also consistent with calculation. The expected vertical emittance, estimated from the 1-inch aperture of the E10 and H10 magnets and size measurements of Raka (1977) and Herrera (1974), is  $E_V = 20.7 \pi$  mm-mrad; thus, the vertical size observed at U15 is much smaller ( $\sim \frac{1}{2}$ ) than expected.

(3) The beam was transported with no observable loss through the  $4\frac{1}{2}^\circ$  bend to U165. The spot size at the U165 flag was about twice the 0.73-inch horiz.  $\times$  0.81-inch vert. hole in that flag. The strength required for the 4Q26.5 quadrupoles Q3, Q4 and Q5 was about twice that expected from recent measurements of a spare 4Q26.5 which gave  $B_{\text{pole-tip}} = 0.008 \text{ I} + 0.032 \text{ kG}$  with I in amps. Note that, at the expected operating current of  $\sim 5$  A, the residual field is comparable to the current-induced field.

(4) Beam profiles were obtained with the single wire SEM at U167 with Q4 and Q5 off and Q3 varied to produce a minimum. The SEM had a wire mesh screen at + bias relative to the wire (0.002" W) and gave much better profiles than on May 9. However, there is still evidence of ion collection on the wire. Also, the minimum width was wider,  $\sim 1.2$ -inch, than expected. It was not possible to obtain a believable emittance.

Recommendations

- (A) Degauss Q31 to Q7 quadrupoles before next studies. Calibrate a degaussed 4Q26.5 to  $\sim 1\%$ .
- (B) Replace wire mesh with Al foil in SEM to further reduce charge collection. Also, get better vacuum at U167 if possible.
- (C) For next run extraction should be on flat top at  $\sim 134$  ms where more stable operation was experienced during 7/27 studies.
- (D) Replace U165 flag. The present one has burned spots on it.