



BNL-103916-2014-TECH

AGS.SN36;BNL-103916-2014-IR

Repeat of 5/2/73 Study of Low Field Orbit Harmonics

M. Q. Barton

September 1973

Collider Accelerator Department
Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No.AT(30-1)-16 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

AGS Studies

M Q Barton

Orbit Harmonics

5-SEPT-73

0001 - 0600

actual start of work ~ 0125

This effort directed towards repeat of 2-MAY-73 experiment but with more harmonics and horizontal orbit also.

1. Found slight improvement by decreasing $\cos 90$ vertical by 1000 units, and increasing $\sin 90$ by 1000.
2. No improvement in changing 80 or 100 .
As should be expected, found these $\sim 3-4$ times less sensitive than 90 corrections.
3. Horizontal 90 corrections not very helpful. Sensitivity comparable to vertical case.
4. Saw a somewhat anomalous situation on horizontal 80 & 100 . In both cases $\sin n\theta$ much more sensitive than $\cos n\theta$. May indicate an obstruction but data are not sufficiently convincing to point to it.
5. This method needs a new computer program which would run automatically and could be parasited on HEP.