

Beam Stacking to Restore Early CBM to 1.8 1013

A. van Steenbergen

May 1974

Collider Accelerator Department
Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No.AT(30-1)-16 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Objective

To restore stacked beam intensity to nominally $> 1.8 \times 10^{13}$ ppp.

Results

First part of the study was spent in setting back linac to standard "start-up" program. Tank 9 ran anomalously low in amplitude and, after some exploration, an improved momentum spread was obtained by correcting tank 9 phase setting and raising its amplitude setting to near normal value. Subsequent to this, momentum spread and emittance were measured indicating standard optimum values.

Following this the beam was set up for spiralling beam only. A check and minor correction of all relevant parameters resulted in a stacked beam intensity of approximately 1.6×10^{13} ppp as indicated by the turns ratio ($\cong 9.3 \times$) and injected current ($I_L \cong 60$ mA). (Note: The H15 transformer needs recalibration.)

Because of large rf amplitude signal variations J. Herrera did set-up the rf capture program with delayed bootstrap mode starting time. This improved beam capture to the point of 8×10^{12} ppp early CBM, with however, still not more than $\sim 6 \times 10^{12}$ late CBM.

At this point it was decided to use the horizontal-vertical combined stacking mode with the objective of leaving the inflector in a "lowered" position if in a very short time 80% of normal operational intensity could be achieved. This did not turn out to be the case, presumably mainly because of the near equalness of present machine tune values. After approximately one hour of exploration of various parameters including change of the vertical "match" while maintaining the horizontal matched emittance, the inflector was returned to its normal position.

It is the intent to try to migrate the injection v values during the next two weeks to $v_V \cong 8-2/3$ and $v_H \cong 8.8$.