

Transition Losses vs. Beam Intensity

E. Raka

March 1974

Collider Accelerator Department
Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No.AT(30-1)-16 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

F. Raka

AGS Studies

3/15/74 0800-1600

It was verified that ~~at~~^{at} intensities of $3-3.3 \times 10^{12}$ essentially no dilution at transition can be achieved if ~~the~~^{the} phase jump is adjusted so that an outward radial excursion is obtained (this is an old trick). As the intensity is increased the match becomes poorer but even at 5×10^{12} the so-called transition loss is less than 10%. With the simple control of the phase jump and timing one finds that the outward radial excursion becomes less at higher intensities. This is not fully understood yet but certainly the radial loop response is involved.

At 6×10^{12} one could adjust the phase jump and timing over a 10 msec range and obtain "transition losses" from 12-20% and once one accepts considerable debunching transition parameters are not too critical.

With the intensity in the neighborhood of 3×10^{12} and no dilution the horizontal instability mentioned on 12/1/73 was present. The remainder of the period was used to study this effect and the following observations were made.

1. When observing detected radial signals the blow up is not seen on all PVE's viz. H-7, D-7, F-15 show it but D-15, I-7, J-7 etc plus new PVE's H-9, 8 etc.
2. H-7 when viewed with RF difference indicates within the bunch position variations but other PVE's don't.
3. One sees different bunches with different radial positions but no distinct longitudinal mode number can be discerned.
4. Very small betatron oscillations about different radial positions for a few bunches can also be seen.
5. Even at 2.5×10^{12} when the most structural radial pair H-7 does not indicate the presence of the effect the FEB on the H-10 flag can be blown up both H & V.
6. Nominal mismatching at transition of course suppresses the instability.
7. (As mentioned on 12/1/73) The vertical dipole instability that occurs at around 320 msec can also be seen if the radial H-7 is adjusted to be < 0 . The horizontal instability occurs from 320 to 400 msec for H-7 $\Delta F > 0$.