

Linac beam losses

R. Witkover

January 1977

Collider Accelerator Department
Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No.EY-76-C-02-0016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

MCR

Date 1/3/77 Time 1800-2400 Experimenters R.Witkover, J.Sheehan, J.-L. LeMaire
Subject Linac Beam Losses

OBSERVATIONS AND CONCLUSION

The linac beam radiation was measured using the LRM (long radiation monitor) system to measure losses as the magnets and rf were adjusted. The losses in the tank #1 and tank #2 region were measured using the linac beam current transformers (LBX).

For a typical run, the losses are as follows:

1. Beam injected into tank #1, but not accelerated
TK #1 input = 120 mA
TK #1 output = 78 mA
Loss 37.5%
2. Tank #2 input = 78 mA
Tank #2 output = 65 mA
Loss 17%
3. Loss in tanks #3 - #9 for 65 mA into tank #3

Tank #	Beam microamperes lost	Possible error
3	50	+ 100 - 25
4	5	± 5
5	50	± 25
6	5	± 5
7	5	± 5
8	3	± 2
9	5	± 5

The losses in tank #2 appear to be due to beam falling out of the bucket in tank #1 since small changes in rf or magnet parameters in tank #2 do not affect the loss ratio.

The losses in tank #3 is primarily due to improper rf capture. Any changes in bunchers or tanks 1 - 3 rf parameters changes the loss pattern.

The losses in tanks 4 - 9 should be taken as upper limits. It is possible to reduce these losses to zero (no measurable radiation) by careful quadrupole adjustment.

Two dipole steering magnets in tank #3 are powered. While these do not eliminate all steering errors the losses in the high energy parts of the linac do not appear to be correctable by beam position changes at this location.

The losses in HEBT appear to be less than 5 microamperes total, but requires the LRM system to be calibrated vs beam loss in order to obtain a more accurate measurement.

Clearly, transport through the linac and HEBT was improved by the procedures used in these studies. It remains to be shown that the section IV of HEBT can produce a proper match to the AGS with these new transport parameters.