

## Intensity vs. RF Gap Voltage on J-Station

E. Raka

February 1974

Collider Accelerator Department  
**Brookhaven National Laboratory**

**U.S. Department of Energy**

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No.AT(30-1)-16 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

## **DISCLAIMER**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

E Raka AGS Studies 1700-2000 2/20/79

- The useful time of about 2 hrs. was used to do the following:
1. Observe the RF gap voltage on station 5 as a function of intensity. A small change for  $1.6, 3.9 \pm 0.6 \times 10^{12}$  was noted.
  2. Install a spare pump in the RF "gun" electrode that gives 25% more attenuation and raise the low frequency cutoff from 1 to 6 kHz. This reduced somewhat the overloading in the neighborhood of transition at  $6 \times 10^{12}$ .
  3. Reduce the intensity to  $2 \times 10^{12}$  and adjust transition for no dilation and for no radial shift and measure the phase jump for these two cases. The former results is a smaller phase jump plus an outward radial excursion.
  4. Activate the triple switch chassis and using only the third jump to reproduce the conditions of 3.
  5. Return to high intensity (at most  $6 \times 10^{12}$ ) and using all three jumps try to carry the beam through transition. Using only guesses at the required intervals for the triple switch it was not possible to obtain satisfactory results.
  6. At  $2 \times 10^{12}$  and with the triple switch chassis on the first two jumps were used as a phase back to blow up the bunch before transition. This was done with the bunch shape damp on and hence there was considerable interaction.
  7. The B, RF ~~Amplitude~~ readout  $V_x$ ,  $2W_0$  and  $I_0$  were measured at 230 msec. from which the true peak gap voltage and phase angle can be computed.

Comments: The triple switch will be tried again using computed intervals and turning ~~about~~ around these values. Also the phase back debunching will be attempted without the damper activated until filamentation takes place.