



Brookhaven
National Laboratory

BNL-103975-2014-TECH

AGS.SN97;BNL-103975-2014-IR

Injection Studies (Sources of Vertical Dilution)

E. Raka

September 1977

Collider Accelerator Department
Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No.EY-76-C-02-0016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Date 9/22/77 Time 0001-0500 Experimenters E. Raka, J-L LeMaire

Subject Injection Studies (Sources of Vertical Dilution)

OBSERVATIONS AND CONCLUSION

Procedure: A good spiraling beam of ≥ 1200 μ sec duration with five turns @ 60 mA was first obtained. The HEBT emittance was also obtained along with SEM data. Attempts were made to measure the horizontal beam size by observing the loss rate as the J5 inside target was brought toward the center of the aperture (spiraling rate at injection is ≈ 3 cm/msec at β). The vertical beam size was also measured for the 5 turn 4.3×10^{12} beam using the J19 targets. Also the bunched beam size at injection (five turn 3×10^{12}) was measured at J19. Finally, one half turn was injected and again an attempt was made to minimize the observed coherent oscillations about the equilibrium orbit.

Observations: The horizontal size measurement was inconclusive since some beam continued to survive to ≈ 1.1 msec even with the target at $-0.400''$.

The five turn spiraling vertical beam size was $1.57''$ for 90% which is the same as obtained on 9/9/76 and corresponds to an emittance of $\approx 12.6 \pi \mu$ rad M. The 90% HEBT emittance was $\approx 6.2 \pi \mu$ rad M rather than the 7.4π of #95. It appeared that the J19 vertical target would intercept the latter part of the spiraling beam first while the bottom target either intercepted the beam right after injection or equally over the duration of the beam. This same effect was noticed when the J19 targets were used to intercept the bunched beam at injection also. The 90% size of the bunched beam was found to be $1.75''$ or $\approx 15.67 \pi \mu$ rad M.

Again, it was found impossible to put the half turn beam on the injection orbit, so that no coherent motion was observed, using the last two steering dipoles. It was noted (as seen before in #93) that there appeared to be a relatively rapid change of the position about which the oscillations were occurring. The peak-to-peak amplitude of the oscillations at the nominal high intensity settings for the steering dipoles was ≈ 2 cm.

Conclusions: It is felt that the horizontal loss pattern anomaly could be due to the target not being long enough to intercept all of the beam in the vertical direction. Hence it is planned to increase both the J5 and J19 targets by one inch in length.

The results of the vertical target measurements and the inability to inject with no coherent oscillations could be due to the apparent rapid (non-adiabatic) equilibrium orbit change seen on the last two occasions, if, indeed, the motion is real and not an instrument error. This question will be investigated during subsequent studies periods.

The dilution of the vertical emittance after capture is to be expected and agrees essentially with the results of #94 at the same intensity.

refers to previous studies report.

Date 9/22/77 Time 0001-0500 Experimenters E. Raka, J-L LeMaireSubject Injection Studies (Sources of Vertical Dilution)OBSERVATIONS AND CONCLUSION

Procedure: A good spiraling beam of ≥ 1200 μ sec duration with five turns @ 60 mA was first obtained. The HEBT emittance was also obtained along with SEM data. Attempts were made to measure the horizontal beam size by observing the loss rate as the J5 inside target was brought toward the center of the aperture (spiraling rate at injection is ≈ 3 cm/msec at β). The vertical beam size was also measured for the 5 turn 4.3×10^{12} beam using the J19 targets. Also the bunched beam size at injection (five turn 3×10^{12}) was measured at J19. Finally, one half turn was injected and again an attempt was made to minimize the observed coherent oscillations about the equilibrium orbit.

Observations: The horizontal size measurement was inconclusive since some beam continued to survive to ≈ 1.1 msec even with the target at $-0.400''$.

The five turn spiraling vertical beam size was $1.57''$ for 90% which is the same as obtained on 9/9/76 and corresponds to an emittance of ≈ 12.6 π rad M. The 90% HEBT emittance was ≈ 6.2 π rad M rather than the 7.4 π of #95. It appeared that the J19 vertical target would intercept the latter part of the spiraling beam first while the bottom target either intercepted the beam right after injection or equally over the duration of the beam. This same effect was noticed when the J19 targets were used to intercept the bunched beam at injection also. The 90% size of the bunched beam was found to be $1.75''$ or ≈ 15.67 π rad M.

Again, it was found impossible to put the half turn beam on the injection orbit, so that no coherent motion was observed, using the last two steering dipoles. It was noted (as seen before in #93) that there appeared to be a relatively rapid change of the position about which the oscillations were occurring. The peak-to-peak amplitude of the oscillations at the nominal high intensity settings for the steering dipoles was ≈ 2 cm.

Conclusions: It is felt that the horizontal loss pattern anomaly could be due to the target not being long enough to intercept all of the beam in the vertical direction. Hence it is planned to increase both the J5 and J19 targets by one inch in length.

The results of the vertical target measurements and the inability to inject with no coherent oscillations could be due to the apparent rapid (non-adiabatic) equilibrium orbit change seen on the last two occasions, if, indeed, the motion is real and not an instrument error. This question will be investigated during subsequent studies periods.

The dilution of the vertical emittance after capture is to be expected and agrees essentially with the results of #94 at the same intensity.

refers to previous studies report.