

## 1.5 GeV/c MTX FEB

E. Egelman

September 1977

Collider Accelerator Department  
**Brookhaven National Laboratory**

**U.S. Department of Energy**

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No.EY-76-C-02-0016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

## **DISCLAIMER**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Date 9/12-9/13/77 Time 1700-0530 Experimenters Egelman, Gill, LoSecco, Soukas, Sulak, Weng, (Danby), Huffman

Subject 1.5 GeV/c MTX FEB

OBSERVATIONS AND CONCLUSION

Objective: Transport the beam through  $8^\circ$  without loss, and attempt to get as much as possible to the target area.

AGS Conditions:  $T_{\text{extract}} = 133$  ms,  $E10$  bump = 22 A,  $E16$  bump = 15 A,  $H10$  bump = 30 A,  $E10$  SM = 450 A,  $H10$  EJ = 900 A, C15 and E15 kickers at 1400 A peak and half-sinusoidal pulse of 60  $\mu$ sec.

- Modifications:
- (A) Two new quads, UQ2A and UQ7A, were installed.
  - (B) Bigger flag at U165.
  - (C) Two vertical dipoles F20 and I10 were available for vertical shifting of the beam at E10 and H10.
  - (D) Detailed calibration of a spare 4Q26.5 suggested that 17 gauss/A for UQ3 should be used instead of 18 G/A. This improved the fitting to the August 16th emittance data and gave:

		$\epsilon$ (cm-mrad)	$\alpha$	$\beta$ (cm/mrad)	$\gamma$ (mrad/cm)
Measurement	H13-H	1.13	-2.99	1.50	6.61
(90% contour)	H13-V	0.889	1.08	0.662	3.27
Calculation	H13-H	0.726	-2.55	3.25	2.31
(95% contour)	H13-V	1.063	0.987	0.37	5.3

- (E) The window at U170 was removed.

U-Line Conditions: The updated measured emittances were used to find the best transport through the U-line and the quads were set accordingly by using new calibration data from (D) above.

Results: 1) The J-19 target was turned on to scrape more than 20% of the beam. The circulating beam intensity before extraction was approximately  $4.3 \times 10^{12}$  ppp and that at U15 current transformer was  $3 \times 10^{12}$  ppp.

2) After proper steering of UD1-3 we got clean transport to the beam plug at U186 at 1942 (see attached sheet no. 1).

3) We opened the U186 beam plug at 2030 and tried to align the beam by flags U165 and U273. After the alignment was done and the flags removed, we observed an almost perfect transport through  $8^\circ$ . Optimization through the  $8^\circ$  such that no loss was recorded by the radiation monitors, was achieved by 2300 (see attached sheet no. 2).

4) We observed heavy losses around Q9-Q10 and Q11. Proper setting of the  $8^\circ$  correction sextupole and UQ5 helped us to eliminate most of these losses. Optimization was achieved by first steering the beam and tuning downstream quads within 10% of initial setting. The intensity recorded by U772 CT was  $2.5 \times 10^{12}$  ppp (see attached sheet no. 3).

5) Pictures at all the flags were taken one by one at 0500 and they were in good agreement with the calculations.

6) Vertical kicks at F20 and I10 were used to optimize the extraction efficiency. Only 2% variation was observed. The trigger times of the C15 and E15 kickers were varied to control the spill; and 3 to 5 turn extraction could be obtained.

7) The J-19 target was removed to observe the effect on extraction and transport. In general, without the J-19 scraping, we got 10% more at U15 but could only transport 5% more to the target. This was consistent with the calculation.

8) Some of the highlights of this study:

Ring intensity	$4.3 \times 10^{12}$	ppp,
U15 CT	$3.0 \times 10^{12}$	ppp, extraction eff. 70%
U772 CT	$2.5 \times 10^{12}$	ppp, transport eff. 85%
Fill factor	~ 80%, spill 10 ~ 15 $\mu$ sec.	

Recommendations:

- 1) The resolution of  $8^\circ$  dipole power supply should be better by a factor of ten.
- 2) UQ3 and UQ11 p.s. should be stabilized.
- 3) Remove the existing target, and install a flag ten meters downstream.
- 4) Replace existing flags at U618, 667 and 772, with larger 4" x 4" ones.
- 5) Remeasure the emittance at U167; and generate a transport with less than 50% fill factor (we probably need 3 more quads downstream of  $8^\circ$ ).
- 6) Studies of the extraction system are needed to determine sensitivity, stability and other effects of the extraction and in-ring equipment.

20:02

12-SEP-77


READING TIME: 136 MSEC. AMPL. GAIN SETTING: 7

Beam Plug

(1)

C121 C135 C123 C124 C420

-504	-468	-8	-3	-6
-500	-464	-5	-3	-6
-510	-475	-7	-3	-6
-504	-471	-6	-3	-6
-497	-461	-6	-3	-6
-511	-476	-8	-3	-6
-516	-481	-9	-3	-6
-498	-465	-5	-3	-6
-505	-470	-5	-3	-6
-526	-488	-10	-3	-2
-504	-466	-8	-3	-7
-516	-479	-7	-3	-4



A 246  
 C 8 8  
 R 1  
 T  
 RADIATION IN FEB-LINE

U168


Set by sextapole

23:28

12-SEP-77

READING TIME: 136 NSEC. AMPL. GAIN SETTING: 7

	C121	C135	C123	C124	C420
-607	-563	-596	-574	-182	
-616	-569	-604	-584	-184	
-611	-567	-598	-577	-184	
-596	-553	-585	-559	-176	
-618	-570	-605	-580	-180	
-616	-565	-607	-583	-177	
-603	-558	-593	-572	-168	
-604	-558	-595	-572	-168	
-611	-566	-606	-588	-172	
-591	-547	-586	-568	-162	
-596	-556	-593	-573	-163	
-606	-563	-602	-578	-164	
-599	-556	-597	-573	-156	




RADIATION IN FEB-LINE

(2)

04:26

13-SEP-77

READING TIME: 135 MSEC, AMPL. GAIN SETTING: 7



165  
C131

18 273 303 667 772

C121 C123 C124 C420 C422

-628	-623	-608	-525	-526
-623	-607	-596	-514	-521
-608	-594	-585	-507	-519
-635	-625	-614	-529	-528
-612	-603	-581	-501	-513
-622	-604	-594	-511	-522
-574	-563	-551	-476	-499
-607	-598	-580	-498	-521
-599	-590	-572	-490	-505
-586	-578	-561	-476	-460
-589	-582	-568	-485	-503
-596	-585	-572	-497	-515
-611	-598	-591	-506	-520

4 C C 8 9 11

RADIATION IN FEB-LINE

(3)