

Effective Strength of Sinusoidally-Varying Focussing

S. Brooks

April 2024

Collider Accelerator Department
Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC), Nuclear Physics (NP)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No. DE-SC0012704 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Effective Strength of Sinusoidally-Varying Focussing

Stephen Brooks

April 4, 2024

1 Introduction

Particle beam focussing and other confined physical systems often obey the equation $\ddot{x} = kx$, where $k < 0$ implies stable motion. A constant negative value of k yields simple harmonic motion (constant focussing), while positive values give unstable (defocussing) motion. Alternating-gradient focussing is the surprising principle that if $k(t)$ is varied periodically, the overall motion can sometimes be stable even if the average value of k is zero or negative. It is used where focussing in one direction implies defocussing in one or more other directions, such as with electromagnetic fields in free space that have to satisfy $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0$ and similar.

2 Equation of Motion and Time Rescaling

Sinusoidally-varying focussing is a natural choice in some experiments (Paul-type ion traps for example). The equation

$$\ddot{x} = k \sin(\omega t)x$$

for some constant k determines the motion. Rescaling time with $y(t) = x(\frac{t}{\omega})$ and $\ddot{y}(t) = \frac{1}{\omega^2} \ddot{x}(\frac{t}{\omega})$ and evaluating the original equation at time $\frac{t}{\omega}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^2 \ddot{y}(t) = \ddot{x}\left(\frac{t}{\omega}\right) &= k \sin(t)x\left(\frac{t}{\omega}\right) = k \sin(t)y(t) \\ \Rightarrow \ddot{y} &= \frac{k}{\omega^2} \sin(t)y. \end{aligned}$$

This only has one parameter, $\frac{k}{\omega^2}$. So, without loss of generality, the remainder of this note will study behaviour of the equation

$$\ddot{x} = k \sin(t)x$$

for constant k .

3 Linear Dynamics

The equation of motion is second order and linear in x , so values at later times satisfy

$$\begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ \dot{x}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a(t) & b(t) \\ c(t) & d(t) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(0) \\ \dot{x}(0) \end{bmatrix}$$

for some functions a, b, c, d . Differentiating both sides gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}(t) \\ k \sin(t)x(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}(t) \\ \ddot{x}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{a}(t) & \dot{b}(t) \\ \dot{c}(t) & \dot{d}(t) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(0) \\ \dot{x}(0) \end{bmatrix} \\ \Rightarrow & \begin{bmatrix} c(t) & d(t) \\ k \sin(t)a(t) & k \sin(t)b(t) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(0) \\ \dot{x}(0) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{a}(t) & \dot{b}(t) \\ \dot{c}(t) & \dot{d}(t) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(0) \\ \dot{x}(0) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives the time derivatives of a, b, c, d :

$$\dot{a} = c, \quad \dot{b} = d, \quad \dot{c} = k \sin(t)a, \quad \dot{d} = k \sin(t)b.$$

At $t = 0$ the matrix is just the identity, so the initial conditions are

$$a(0) = 1, \quad b(0) = 0, \quad c(0) = 0, \quad d(0) = 1.$$

4 Effective Focussing Strength

The equation of motion is periodic in time, so solutions can be shifted by multiples of 2π . This means once the matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} a(2\pi) & b(2\pi) \\ c(2\pi) & d(2\pi) \end{bmatrix}$$

is obtained, it can evolve conditions at $t = 2\pi n$ to $t = 2\pi(n + 1)$ for any integer n . Thus, the long term dynamics from $t = 0$ to $t = 2\pi n$ are determined by M^n .

For stable dynamics, the two eigenvalues of M must be on the complex unit circle $e^{\pm i\phi}$ for some phase advance ϕ . The trace of a matrix is equal to the sum of its eigenvalues, so

$$\text{tr}(M) = a(2\pi) + d(2\pi) = 2 \cos(\phi).$$

The value of this trace determines the strength of the focussing, with $\text{tr}(M)=2$ ($\phi = 0$) meaning no focussing and $\text{tr}(M)=-2$ ($\phi = \pi$) meaning the maximum focussing possible before instability.

This note will derive an expression for $\text{tr}(M)$ and ϕ as functions of the time-rescaled k . This gives the long-term dynamical frequencies as a function of applied focussing strength.

It is also possible to find an ‘effective focussing strength’, which is the constant focusing strength (simple harmonic motion) that would give the same frequency:

$$\ddot{x} = -k_{\text{eff}}x \quad \Rightarrow \quad x = A \sin(\sqrt{k_{\text{eff}}}t) + B \cos(\sqrt{k_{\text{eff}}}t) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sqrt{k_{\text{eff}}}2\pi = \phi.$$

5 Expansion in Powers of k

The time derivatives of a, b, c, d seem to form a coupled system but expanding in powers of k makes the calculation easier. If $a = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n k^n$ and similarly for b, c, d , then equating powers of k gives

$$\dot{a}_n = c_n, \quad \dot{b}_n = d_n, \quad \dot{c}_n = \sin(t)a_{n-1}, \quad \dot{d}_n = \sin(t)b_{n-1},$$

with $\dot{c}_0 = \dot{d}_0 = 0$. Writing the integrals explicitly gives, for $n \geq 1$,

$$a_n = \int_0^t c_n dt, \quad b_n = \int_0^t d_n dt, \quad c_n = \int_0^t \sin(t)a_{n-1} dt, \quad d_n = \int_0^t \sin(t)b_{n-1} dt.$$

The initial values imply that $c_0 = 0$ and $d_0 = 1$ for all t because these have derivative zero. There is also

$$a_0 = 1 + \int_0^t c_0 dt = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad b_0 = \int_0^t d_0 dt = t.$$

Then $c_1 = 1 - \cos(t)$ and $d_1 = \sin(t) - t \cos(t)$, and so on, alternating between a, b and c, d .

Successive approximations to the phase advance can be calculated from

$$2 \cos(\phi) = \text{tr}(M) = a(2\pi) + d(2\pi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_n(2\pi) + d_n(2\pi)) k^n.$$

Note that

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_0 & b_0 \\ c_0 & d_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is just the transfer matrix of a drift with no focussing force, as expected for the k^0 term.

6 Start of Calculation

We already have the k^0 coefficient

$$a_0(2\pi) + d_0(2\pi) = 1 + 1 = 2.$$

$$a_1 = t - \sin(t)$$

$$b_1 = 2 - t \sin(t) - 2 \cos(t)$$

The k^1 coefficient is

$$a_1(2\pi) + d_1(2\pi) = 2\pi + (-2\pi) = 0.$$

$$c_2 = -\frac{1}{2}t + \sin(t) + \frac{1}{4} \sin(2t) - t \cos(t)$$

$$d_2 = \frac{11}{8} - \frac{1}{4}t^2 + \frac{1}{4}t \sin(2t) - 2 \cos(t) + \frac{5}{8} \cos(2t)$$

$$a_2 = 2 - \frac{1}{4}t^2 - t \sin(t) + \frac{1}{4} \sin^2(t) - 2 \cos(t)$$

The k^2 coefficient is

$$a_2(2\pi) + d_2(2\pi) = (-\pi^2) + (-\pi^2) = -2\pi^2.$$

The integrals are probably best done by computer algebra system beyond this point. Although, approximating $\text{tr}(M) \simeq 2 - 2\pi^2 k^2$ already gives a reasonable approximation to the real value as shown in Figure 1.

Odd powers of k have coefficients of zero because replacing $k \sin(t)$ by $-k \sin(t)$ in the differential equation just shifts the time axis by π , so long term behaviour should be identical on changing the sign of k , making the phase advance an even function of k .

7 General Terms

It appears the general terms look like $p(t) \sin(mt) + q(t) \cos(mt)$ for some polynomials p, q and $m \geq 0$. The $m = 0$ cos term gives the non-sinusoidal polynomial parts. The integral of this term




Figure 1: Approximation of $\text{tr}(M)$ up to the k^2 term.

also has the form $P(t) \sin(mt) + Q(t) \cos(mt)$ where the new polynomials P, Q can be found by a recurrence relation. Write $p(t) = \sum_{n=0}^N p_n t^n$ and similarly for q, P, Q , then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(P(t) \sin(mt) + Q(t) \cos(mt)) &= \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{d}{dt}(P_n t^n \sin(mt) + Q_n t^n \cos(mt)) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^N (n P_n t^{n-1} - m Q_n t^n) \sin(mt) + (n Q_n t^{n-1} + m P_n t^n) \cos(mt) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^N ((n+1) P_{n+1} - m Q_n) t^n \sin(mt) + ((n+1) Q_{n+1} + m P_n) t^n \cos(mt) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^N p_n t^n \sin(mt) + q_n t^n \cos(mt), \end{aligned}$$

where by convention, $P_{N+1} = Q_{N+1} = 0$. Equating coefficients gives

$$p_n = (n+1)P_{n+1} - mQ_n, \quad q_n = (n+1)Q_{n+1} + mP_n,$$

therefore

$$P_n = \frac{1}{m}(q_n - (n+1)Q_{n+1}), \quad Q_n = \frac{1}{m}((n+1)P_{n+1} - p_n).$$

The recurrence can be started with $P_N = \frac{q_N}{m}$ and $Q_N = \frac{-p_N}{m}$ then evaluated downwards to $n = 0$. The exception is $m = 0$ when the usual polynomial integration $P_n = \frac{p_{n-1}}{n}$ and $Q_n = \frac{q_{n-1}}{n}$ should be used.

Besides integrating, the other operation that happens in the calculation is multiplication by $\sin(t)$. This can be dealt with by the trigonometrical product formulae

$$\begin{aligned} \sin(t) \sin(mt) &= \frac{1}{2} \cos((m-1)t) - \frac{1}{2} \cos((m+1)t) \\ \sin(t) \cos(mt) &= -\frac{1}{2} \sin((m-1)t) + \frac{1}{2} \sin((m+1)t). \end{aligned}$$

Overall, a matrix entry function is represented on a computer as

$$a_n = \sum_{m=0}^M p_{a_n m}(t) \sin(mt) + q_{a_n m}(t) \cos(mt) = \sum_{m=0}^M \sum_{j=0}^N p_{a_n m j} t^j \sin(mt) + q_{a_n m j} t^j \cos(mt)$$

with arrays of coefficients $(p, q)_{(a,b,c,d)_n m j}$. For $n = 0$, the upper limits are $N = 1$ and $M = 0$ and these can increase by one each time n does.

8 Computer Algebra Calculation

Using the general formulae above, a computer algebra calculation gives the trace as

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{tr}(M) = 2 \cos(\phi) = a(2\pi) + d(2\pi) = & \\
& (+2) k^0 \\
& + (-2\pi^2) k^2 \\
& + \left(-\frac{25}{8}\pi^2 + \frac{1}{3}\pi^4\right) k^4 \\
& + \left(-\frac{1169}{144}\pi^2 + \frac{25}{24}\pi^4 - \frac{1}{45}\pi^6\right) k^6 \\
& + \left(-\frac{16824665}{663552}\pi^2 + \frac{24329}{6912}\pi^4 - \frac{5}{48}\pi^6 + \frac{1}{1260}\pi^8\right) k^8 \\
& + \left(-\frac{104550461873}{1194393600}\pi^2 + \frac{25241465}{1990656}\pi^4 - \frac{14977}{34560}\pi^6 + \frac{5}{1008}\pi^8 - \frac{1}{56700}\pi^{10}\right) k^{10} \\
& + \left(-\frac{1383860829361699}{4299816960000}\pi^2 + \frac{343096621171}{7166361600}\pi^4 - \frac{7069153}{3981312}\pi^6 + \frac{35579}{1451520}\pi^8 - \frac{5}{36288}\pi^{10} + \frac{1}{3742200}\pi^{12}\right) k^{12} \\
& + \left(-\frac{29289023958538918009}{23702740992000000}\pi^2 + \frac{150905495534989}{806215680000}\pi^4 - \frac{131109204649}{17915904000}\pi^6 + \frac{9427513}{83607552}\pi^8 - \frac{10301}{13063680}\pi^{10} + \frac{1}{399168}\pi^{12} - \frac{1}{340540200}\pi^{14}\right) k^{14} + O(k^{16}).
\end{aligned}$$

The final k^{14} term is of similar magnitude to the double precision rounding error, so this should suffice for most numerical calculations.

9 Conclusion

If the polynomial above is denoted $T(k) \simeq \text{tr}(M) = 2 \cos(\phi)$, then the phase advance per period can be calculated with $\phi \simeq \arccos(\frac{1}{2}T(k))$.

The effective focussing strength satisfies $\sqrt{k_{\text{eff}}}2\pi = \phi$ and so

$$k_{\text{eff}} = \left(\frac{\phi}{2\pi}\right)^2 \simeq \left(\frac{\arccos(\frac{1}{2}T(k))}{2\pi}\right)^2.$$

Finally, considering the non-time-rescaled equation of motion $\ddot{x} = k \sin(\omega t)x$, the substitutions $k \leftarrow \frac{k}{\omega^2}$ and $2\pi \leftarrow 2\pi/\omega$ give

$$k_{\text{eff}} \simeq \omega^2 \left(\frac{\arccos(\frac{1}{2}T(\frac{k}{\omega^2}))}{2\pi}\right)^2.$$