

AGS Stopbands III

M. Blaskiewicz

May 1995

Collider Accelerator Department
Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC02-76CH00016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

| AGS Complex Machine Studies (AGS Studies Report No. 330) AGS STOPBANDS III | |
|--|--|
| Study Period: | May 2 and May 25, 1995 |
| Participants: | M. Blaskiewicz and J.W. Glenn |
| Reported by: | M. Blaskiewicz |
| Machine: | AGS |
| Beam: | Coasting Low Intensity |
| Tools: | AGAST - LeCroy |
| Aim: | To document effect of sextupoles on stopbands. |

Summary

The effect of the change in the horizontal sextupoles on stopband corrections is documented. It is found that the removal of the horizontal sextupoles in the B, D, F, H, J, and L superperiods reduced but did not remove the variation in the sn26 corrections with horizontal sextupole current.

Results

The data are summarized in Figure 1 where the values of the sextupole currents in counts (milliamps) are shown as a function of current in the horizontal sextupoles. The settings correct both the $3Q_H = 26$ and $Q_H + 2Q_V = 26$ lines simultaneously. The data with $IHS \leq 15A$ are from May 2, 1995 while the data with $IHS \leq 30A$ were taken on May 25, after the six chromaticity sextupoles were removed. The settings of the drive low field sextupole correction were 700 and 800 on May 2 and May 25, respectively.

From Figure 1 it is clear that the variation in the SN26 correction with current in the horizontal sextupoles was reduced by removing the six sextupoles. On the other hand, the change in the SN26 correction with the change in chromaticity due to the sextupoles was less. For example, consider the horizontal sextupoles in superperiods B, E, H, and K denoted by triangles in Figure 1. The correction peaks out at 3000 counts for $IHS = 15A$ on May 5 and for 30A on May 25. Since only half as many magnets were used on May 25 the effective chromaticity change is very similar.




Figure 1: SN26 corrector settings .vs. current in the horizontal chromaticity sextupoles.
square(VB,-VE,VH,-VK); circle(VC,-VF,VI,-VL); triangle(HB,-HE,HH,-HK);
cross(HC,-HF,HI,-HL)