BNL-226325-2024-TECH
EIC-ADD-TN-109

On the Electromagnetic Field of a Focusing Charged Particle Beam and Its Two-Dimensional Approximation

Y. Kan

November 2024

Electron-Ion Collider Project
Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy
USDOE Office of Science (SC), Nuclear Physics (NP)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No.DE-SC0012704 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

On the Electromagnetic Field of a Focusing Charged Particle Beam and Its Two-Dimensional Approximation

Yi-Kai Kan[1,*] and Ji Qiang[2]

[1]Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York, USA
[2]Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California, USA

Abstract

The analytical expressions for the electromagnetic potential generated from a focusing charged particle beam are indispensable in various beam physics problems. In this article, we review the theory in detail and point out the necessary assumptions made in the derivation.

1. Introduction

The theoretical understanding of the electromagnetic potential generated from a charged particle beam is crucial to many studies, *e.g.*, space-charge and beam-beam interaction. While the physics problem can be fully described by the inhomogeneous electromagnetic wave equation, it is generally not easy to solve when a charge source with a complicated distribution is encountered. Therefore, the quasistatic approximation (model) is widely applied in the beam physics community; the electrostatic field of the particle beam is first solved in the beam's rest-frame, and the corresponding field in the lab-frame is then derived by using the Lorentz transformation. Under the quasistatic approximation, the analytical expression for the electromagnetic field of a rigid Gaussian bunch can be derived and can be found in many literature [1, 2, 3].

However, in the beam-beam studies, a focusing beam usually needs to be considered, which leads to some critical phenomena, like the longitudinal beam-beam effects [4, 5]. The expression of the electromagnetic potential of a focusing beam is usually given by replacing the constant transverse beam sizes in the three-dimensional (3D) potential of

*ykan@bnl.gov

a rigid beam with an s-dependent one [6, 7]. However, the legitimation of this generalization has not been rigorously discussed.

On the other hand, in the community of beam-beam studies, a two-dimensional (2D) formulation is often used for modeling the potential of a particle beam rather than solving the 3D inhomogeneous wave equation; a particle beam is chunked into thin slices along the longitudinal direction, and the field from each slice is calculated by solving the 2D Poisson equation. The 2D potential for a single slice in a Gaussian beam and its closed-form formula have been derived and applied in the literature [6, 8]. This 2D potential should be derivable from the 3D potential under certain approximations. While the parameters characterizing the 2D approximation were discussed in some literature [9, 10], how the 2D potential can be derived from the 3D potential was not rigorously demonstrated.

In this article, we try to provide a rigorous derivation of the 3D potential from a focusing beam and its 2D approximation. We first formalize the notion of the quasistatic approximation through a formulation based on the inhomogeneous wave equation. After that, based on the discussed quasistatic model, we derive the 3D potential of a Gaussian-distributed focusing beam and its 2D approximation.

2. The Quasistatic Model

The quasistatic model is a commonly used approximation to solve the electromagnetic field of a moving charged particle beam in the beam physics community. One common approach to derive the quasistatic model is based on the Lorentz transformation; the physics problem is first formulated as electrostatic in the beam's frame, and then the full set of governing equations is transformed into the lab frame [2]. Despite its popularity in the community, what the quasistatic model actually approximates was seldom discussed. Thus, we first try to formalize the idea of a quasistatic model based on the formulation originally appeared in [11] and provide the necessary theoretical reasoning. The tool developed in this section will be a foundation for the discussion in the next section.

The free-space inhomogeneous wave equations for the electric scalar potential ϕ and the magnetic vector potential $\mathbf{A}$ can be expressed as [12]

$$\nabla^2\phi(x,y,s,t) - \frac{1}{c_0^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\phi(x,y,s,t) = -\frac{\rho(x,y,s,t)}{\varepsilon_0}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\nabla^2\mathbf{A}(x,y,s,t) - \frac{1}{c_0^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\mathbf{A}(x,y,s,t) = -\mu_0\mathbf{J}(x,y,s,t), \tag{2.2}$$

where ε_0 is the vacuum permittivity, μ_0 is the vacuum permeability, and c_0 is the speed of light in vacuum. Here, the charge density ρ and the current density $\mathbf{J}$ need to satisfy the continuity equation

$$\nabla\cdot\mathbf{J}(x,y,s,t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho(x,y,s,t) = 0, \tag{2.3}$$

and the electromagnetic potentials need to satisfy the Lorenz gauge condition

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} + \frac{1}{c_0^2}\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

The quasistatic approximation relies on the following two assumptions:

1. Each particle moves almost with the same velocity v_0, and the charge and current densities for the particle beam can be modeled as

$$\rho(x, y, s, t) = \rho(x, y, s - v_0 t) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{J}(x, y, s, t) = v_0 \rho(x, y, s - v_0 t)\hat{\mathbf{s}}.$$

2. The propagation of the electromagnetic field finishes instantaneously. In this case, given the charge and current densities of the forms $\rho(x, y, s-v_0 t)$ and $\mathbf{J}(x, y, s-v_0 t)$, the corresponding ϕ and $\mathbf{A}$ satisfying Eq. (2.1) and Eq. (2.2) can be expressed in the forms $\phi(x, y, s-v_0 t)$ and $\mathbf{A}(x, y, s-v_0 t)$. The proof is demonstrated in Appendix A.

Applying the quasistatic approximation, Eq. (2.1) and Eq. (2.2) become

$$\nabla_\perp^2 \phi(x, y, z) - \frac{1}{\gamma^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}\phi(x, y, z) = -\frac{\rho(x, y, z)}{\varepsilon_0}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\nabla_\perp^2 A_s(x, y, z) - \frac{1}{\gamma^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}A_s(x, y, z) = -\mu_0 v_0 \rho(x, y, z). \tag{2.6}$$

Here, the operator $\nabla_\perp := \partial^2/\partial x^2 + \partial^2/\partial y^2$ denotes the two-dimensional Laplacian, and the new variable $z := s - v_0 t$ stands for the relative position to a reference particle with a trajectory $v_0 t$. After some algebraic manipulations, Eq. (2.5) and Eq. (2.6) reduce to

$$\nabla_\perp^2 (A_s - \frac{v_0}{c_0^2}\phi) + \frac{1}{\gamma^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}(A_s - \frac{v_0}{c_0^2}\phi) = 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad A_s = \frac{v_0}{c_0^2}\phi. \tag{2.7}$$

This simple linear relation between ϕ and $\mathbf{A}$ suggests that under the quasistatic approximation, we only need to solve the wave equation for ϕ, and both electric and magnetic fields can be directly derived from ϕ.

3. The Quasistatic Field of a Focusing Charged Particle Beam

Before finding the quasistatic field of a focusing particle beam, we first need to discuss an analytical expression of its charge and current densities. The charge density of a focusing particle beam moving in a constant velocity v_0 can be modeled as

$$\rho(x, y, s, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}}\frac{Ne}{\sigma_x(s)\sigma_y(s)\sigma_z}\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma_x^2(s)} - \frac{y^2}{2\sigma_y^2(s)} - \frac{(s - v_0 t)^2}{2\sigma_z^2}\right), \tag{3.1}$$

where N is the number of particles and e is the charge of each single particle. Here, the horizontal and vertical beam sizes σ_x and σ_y are defined as

$$\sigma_i(s) := \sigma_i^* \cdot \left(1 + \frac{s^2}{\beta_i^*}\right)^{1/2} \qquad i \in \{x, y\}$$

with σ_i^* the transverse beam size and β_i^* the beta function at $s = 0$. Throughout this article, we will simply write σ_x and σ_y without specifying the function argument. It can be checked that Eq. (3.1) satisfies $\iiint \rho(x, y, s, t)dxdyds = Ne$ at a given time t. As each particle moves almost in the velocity v_0, it is reasonable to model the longitudinal current density as

$$J_s(x, y, s, t) = v_0\rho(x, y, s, t). \tag{3.2}$$

Because the transverse beam sizes change with s during the propagation, some transverse current densities (J_x and J_y) exist to account for the change in the charge distribution. However, in some scenarios, we may neglect these transverse current densities by claiming $|J_x|, |J_y| \ll |J_s|$. The strength of the current density is proportional to the particle's velocity; and particularly, the particle's transverse velocity is proportional to the rate of change of the beam sizes

$$|J_i| \approx v_0 \frac{d\sigma_i}{ds} \quad i \in \{x, y\}.$$

Thus, putting together with $|J_s| \approx v_0$, we have the following estimation

$$\left|\frac{J_i}{J_s}\right| \approx \frac{\sigma_i^*}{\beta_i^*} \frac{\frac{s}{\beta_i^*}}{\sqrt{1 + (\frac{s}{\beta_i^*})^2}} \leq \frac{\sigma_i^*}{\beta_i^*} = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_i}{\beta_i^*}} \quad i \in \{x, y\},$$

where ϵ_i is the transverse emittance of the particle beam. The ratio $\sqrt{\epsilon_i\beta_i^*}$ is the beam divergence and should be small for most particle colliders. In fact, J_x and J_y can be explicitly solved by substituting Eq. (3.1) into the continuity equation (Eq. (2.3))

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial J_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial J_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial J_s}{\partial \sigma_x}\frac{\partial \sigma_x}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial J_s}{\partial \sigma_y}\frac{\partial \sigma_y}{\partial s} + \cancel{\frac{\partial J_s}{\partial (s - v_0 t)}} = \cancel{v_0}\cancel{\frac{\rho}{\partial t}} \\
\Longrightarrow &\frac{\partial J_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial J_y}{\partial y} + \left(\frac{x^2}{\sigma_x^2} - 1\right)\frac{1}{\sigma_x}\frac{\partial \sigma_x}{\partial s}J_s + \left(\frac{y^2}{\sigma_y^2} - 1\right)\frac{1}{\sigma_y}\frac{\partial \sigma_y}{\partial s}J_s = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the expression for the transverse current densities can be written as

$$J_x = \frac{x}{\sigma_x}\frac{\partial \sigma_x}{\partial s}J_s \quad \text{and} \quad J_y = \frac{y}{\sigma_y}\frac{\partial \sigma_y}{\partial s}J_s.$$

When expressed in the coordinate (x, y, z, s) with $z := s - v_0 t$, because the charge density still depends explicitly on s, the corresponding electromagnetic potential needs to satisfy the wave equations below

$$\nabla_\perp^2\phi(x, y, z, s) + \frac{\partial}{\partial s^2}\phi(x, y, z, s) - \frac{1}{\gamma^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}\phi(x, y, z, s) = -\frac{\rho(x, y, z, s)}{\varepsilon_0}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\nabla_\perp^2 A_s(x, y, z, s) + \frac{\partial}{\partial s^2}A_s(x, y, z, s) - \frac{1}{\gamma^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}A_s(x, y, z, s) = -\mu_0 v_0 \rho(x, y, z, s). \tag{3.4}$$

By assuming ϕ and A_s slowly varying in s (*i.e.*, $\partial^2\phi/\partial s^2 \approx 0$), the simple linear relation between ϕ and A_s found in Eq. (2.7) can also be derived

$$A_s(x, y, z, s) = \frac{v_0}{c_0^2}\phi(x, y, z, s). \tag{3.5}$$

Therefore, it suffices just to solve Eq. (3.3), and the solution can be found by one of the methods used in [1, 3]

$$\phi = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0}\frac{Ne}{(8\pi)^{1/2}}\int_0^\infty \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2(\sigma_x^2+q)}-\frac{y^2}{2(\sigma_y^2+q)}-\frac{z^2}{2(\sigma_z^2+q/\gamma^2)}\right)}{(\sigma_x^2+q)^{1/2}(\sigma_y^2+q)^{1/2}(\sigma_z^2+q/\gamma^2)^{1/2}}dq. \tag{3.6}$$

Eq. (3.6) is almost the same as the solution for a rigid bunch except that σ_x and σ_y are now functions of s. Applying Eq. (3.5), the calculation of the electric field can be simplified:

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla\phi - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad E_x = -\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}, E_y = -\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y}, \text{ and } E_s = \overbrace{-\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial s}}^{=:E_{ss}} \underbrace{-\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z}}_{=:E_{sz}}, \tag{3.7}$$

where the term E_{ss} is caused by the beam focusing during the propagation, and the term E_{sz} is due to the density variation of particles in z-coordinate.

4. The 2D Approximation

To derive the 2D approximation of Eq. (3.6), we introduce a change of variable $q := \min(\sigma_x, \sigma_y)^2 \cdot w/(1-w)$. For an elliptical beam with $\sigma_y \le \sigma_x$, we choose $q = \sigma_y^2 \cdot w/(1-w)$, and Eq. (3.6) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\phi = &\frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0}\frac{Ne}{(8\pi)^{1/2}}\frac{\sigma_y}{\sigma_x\sigma_z}\times \\ &\int_0^1 \underbrace{\frac{\exp\left(-\frac{(1-w)x^2}{2\sigma_x^2(1-w+Aw)}-\frac{(1-w)y^2}{2\sigma_y^2}-\frac{(1-w)\gamma^2z^2}{2\gamma^2\sigma_z^2(1-w+\epsilon w)}\right)}{(1-w)^{1/2}(1-w+Aw)^{1/2}(1-w+\epsilon w)^{1/2}}}_{=:\psi_\epsilon(w)} dw\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

with $\epsilon := \sigma_y^2/(\gamma^2\sigma_z^2)$ and $A := \sigma_y^2/\sigma_x^2$. Now, we want to calculate the electric field E_x, E_y, E_{ss} and E_{sz} in the limit $\epsilon \to 0$. In the calculation for E_x and E_y, we can interchange the limit and integration

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\epsilon\to0} E_x &= \text{const}\cdot\lim_{\epsilon\to0}\int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\psi_\epsilon(w)dw = \text{const}\cdot\int_0^1 \lim_{\epsilon\to0}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\psi_\epsilon(w)dw, \\ \lim_{\epsilon\to0} E_y &= \text{const}\cdot\lim_{\epsilon\to0}\int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\psi_\epsilon(w)dw = \text{const}\cdot\int_0^1 \lim_{\epsilon\to0}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\psi_\epsilon(w)dw,\end{aligned}$$

because $|\partial\psi_\epsilon(w)/\partial x|$ and $|\partial\psi_\epsilon(w)/\partial y|$ are smaller than some integrable functions and the dominated convergence theorem can be ultilized [13]. Therefore, we can further

write out

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} E_x &= \text{const}\cdot\exp\left(-\frac{z^2}{2\sigma_z^2}\right)\frac{x}{\sigma_x^2}\int_0^1 \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{(1-w)x^2}{2\sigma_x^2(1-w+Aw)}-\frac{(1-w)y^2}{2\sigma_y^2}\right)}{(1-w+Aw)^{3/2}}dw,\\ \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} E_y &= \text{const}\cdot\exp\left(-\frac{z^2}{2\sigma_z^2}\right)\frac{y}{\sigma_y^2}\int_0^1 \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{(1-w)x^2}{2\sigma_x^2(1-w+Aw)}-\frac{(1-w)y^2}{2\sigma_y^2}\right)}{(1-w+Aw)^{1/2}}dw.\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Using a substitution of $w = q/(q+\sigma_y^2)$ and some algebraic manipulation, Eqs. (4.2) can be expressed in the form

$$E_x^{\text{2D}} := \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} E_x = -\frac{\partial\phi^{\text{2D}}}{\partial x} \quad \text{and} \quad E_y^{\text{2D}} := \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} E_y = -\frac{\partial\phi^{\text{2D}}}{\partial y} \tag{4.3}$$

Here, we define a 2D scalar potential

$$\phi^{\text{2D}} := \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0}\frac{e\cdot\rho_\parallel(z)}{2}\int_0^\infty \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2(\sigma_x^2+q)}-\frac{y^2}{2(\sigma_y^2+q)}\right)}{(\sigma_x^2+q)^{1/2}(\sigma_y^2+q)^{1/2}}dq, \tag{4.4}$$

with

$$\rho_\parallel(z) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}\sigma_z}\exp\left(-\frac{z^2}{2\sigma_z^2}\right) \tag{4.5}$$

the normalized longitudinal particle density. Actually, Eq. (4.4) is the solution of the two-dimensional Poisson equation

$$\nabla_\perp^2\phi(x,y,z) = -\frac{\rho(x,y,z)}{\varepsilon_0}, \tag{4.6}$$

which is a simplification of Eq. (2.5) by neglecting the term $\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial s^2}$ and is a theoretical foundation of some beam-beam studies, *e.g.*, the strong-strong simulation model [11]. For the calculation of $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} E_{ss}$, we can first observe from Eq. (3.6) that [10]

$$E_{ss} = -\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial s} = -\frac{\partial(\sigma_x^2)}{\partial s}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial(\sigma_x^2)} - \frac{\partial(\sigma_y^2)}{\partial s}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial(\sigma_y^2)} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial(\sigma_x^2)}{\partial s}\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x^2} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial(\sigma_y^2)}{\partial s}\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial y^2}. \tag{4.7}$$

Hence, we can apply the same machinery in the derivation of Eqs. (4.3) and eventually get the result

$$E_{ss}^{\text{2D}} := \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} E_{ss} = -\frac{\partial\phi^{\text{2D}}}{\partial s}. \tag{4.8}$$

A set of close-form expressions of E_x^{2D}, E_y^{2D} and E_{ss}^{2D} can be further dervied [6, 8], and has been widely used in many beam-beam studies [6].

Before going through a detailed derivation of $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} E_{sz}$, we first try to guesstimate the answer. The limit $\epsilon = \sigma_y^2/(\gamma^2\sigma_z^2) \to 0$ implies a particle beam with a very large Lorentz factor or a very long bunch length. We know the electric field lines emanating from a charged particle get compressed in the transverse direction due to the Lorentz

contraction [12]. In the highly relativistic limit $\gamma \to \infty$, the longitudinal field of each single particle in a bunch approaches zero, and the same thing also holds for the field of the whole bunch as it is just the superposition of single-particle fields. To discuss the case of an extremely long bunch, we consider a particle beam with a Gaussian-distributed density ρ and a bunch length σ_z. Given an arbitrary location $z = z_0$ and a length $l > 0$, we have $\rho(z = z_0 - l) \approx \rho(z = z_0 + l)$ as $\sigma_z \to \infty$; and hence, the longitudinal electric fields generated from $\rho(z = z_0 - l)$ and $\rho(z = z_0 + l)$ cancel at $z = z_0$ for all l.

In deriving $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} E_{sz}$, if limit and integration are arbitrarily interchanged, we may get a result

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} E_{sz} =& \text{const} \cdot \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\psi_\epsilon(w)dw && (4.9)\\
\overset{?}{=}& \text{const} \cdot \int_0^1 \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\psi_\epsilon(w)dw && (4.10)\\
=& \text{const} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{z^2}{2\sigma_z^2}\right)\frac{z}{\sigma_z^2}\int_0^1 \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{(1-w)x^2}{2\sigma_x^2(1-w+Aw)} - \frac{(1-w)y^2}{2\sigma_y^2}\right)}{(1-w+Aw)^{1/2}(1-w)}dw && (4.11)\\
=& -\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\frac{\partial \phi^{\text{2D}}}{\partial z} \quad \text{(substitute with } w = q/(q+\sigma_y^2)\text{)}. && (4.12)
\end{aligned}$$

However, Eq. (4.12) diverges because the integrand in Eq. (4.11) is bigger than a function $f(w) := \exp(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma_x^2} - \frac{y^2}{2\sigma_y^2})/(1-w)$ on the interval $[0,1]$, and the integral $\int_0^1 f(w)dw$ diverges. This means that the result of Eq. (4.12) and our previous guestimation contradict each other. This contradiction arises because we cannot find an integrable function that is bigger than $|\partial\psi(w)_\epsilon/\partial z|$; and hence, we are not allowed to interchange integration and limit in Eq. (4.9). To find out the right answer, we first write down E_{sz} explicitly

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{sz} =& \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0}\frac{Ne}{(8\pi)^{1/2}}\frac{\sigma_y}{\gamma^2\sigma_x\sigma_z^2}\frac{z}{\sigma_z}\times \\
&\underbrace{\int_0^1 \frac{(1-w)^{1/2}\exp\left(-\frac{(1-w)x^2}{2\sigma_x^2(1-w+Aw)} - \frac{(1-w)y^2}{2\sigma_y^2} - \frac{(1-w)\gamma^2 z^2}{2\gamma^2\sigma_z^2(1-w+\epsilon w)}\right)}{(1-w+Aw)^{1/2}(1-w+\epsilon w)^{3/2}}dw}_{=:I_\epsilon}. && (4.13)
\end{aligned}$$

With a new variable $u := (1-w)/\epsilon$ defined, an upper bound of I_ϵ can be derived

$$\begin{aligned}
I_\epsilon \le& \int_0^{1/\epsilon} \frac{u^{1/2}}{(A+(1-A)\epsilon u)^{1/2}\cdot(1+(1-\epsilon)u)^{3/2}}dw && (4.14)\\
\le& \frac{1}{(1-A)^{1/2}\cdot\epsilon^{1/2}}\int_0^{1/\epsilon}\frac{1}{(1+(1-\epsilon)u)^{3/2}}du && (4.15)\\
=& \frac{2}{(1-A)^{1/2}\cdot\epsilon^{1/2}}\frac{1-\epsilon^{1/2}}{1-\epsilon}. && (4.16)
\end{aligned}$$

In deriving Eq. (4.15), we apply an inequality $1/(A+(1-A)\epsilon u) \le 1/((1-A)\epsilon u)$, which is true for $u > 0$ because $\sigma_y/\sigma_x \le 1$ was assumed at the beginning, and $(1-A) \ge 0$.

Combining Eq. (4.13) and Eq. (4.16), we conclude that the magnitude of E_{sz} is bounded by

$$|E_{sz}| \leq \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0}\frac{Ne}{(8\pi)^{1/2}}\frac{2}{\sigma_x\sigma_y}\frac{|z|}{\sigma_z}\frac{1}{1-\sigma_y^2/\sigma_x^2}\frac{\epsilon^{1/2}}{1+\epsilon^{1/2}},$$

and $|E_{sz}| \to 0$ as $\epsilon \to 0$. Therefore, we have $E_{sz}^{2D} := \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} E_{sz} = 0$.

Acknowledgement

This work is supported by the U.S. DOE under contract NO. DE-AC02-05CH11231.

A. A Property on the Solution of the Inhomogeneous Wave Equation

Lemma 1. *Assume the electromagnetic wave follows instantaneous propagation; in other words, every signal arrives at the observer right after its generation from the source without any retardation. Given a time-dependent charge source of the forms $\rho(x, y, s - v_0t)$ and $\mathbf{J}(x, y, s-v_0t)$, the solutions to the inhomogeneous wave equations for the scalar and vector potentials can be expressed in the forms $\phi(x, y, s - v_0t)$ and $\mathbf{A}(x, y, s - v_0t)$.*

Proof. We only show the case for ϕ, and the case for $\mathbf{A}$ can be done via the same machinery. The retarded solution of the inhomogeneous wave equation for ϕ is [12]

$$\phi(x, y, s, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0}\iiint g(x - x', y - y', s - s') \cdot \rho(x', y', s', t')dx'dy'ds' \tag{A.1}$$

with a Green's function

$$g(x - x', y - y', s - s') = 1/\sqrt{(x - x')^2 + (y - y')^2 + (s - s')^2}. \tag{A.2}$$

Here, t' satisfies the retardation condition

$$t' = t - \sqrt{(x - x')^2 + (y - y')^2 + (s - s')^2}/c_0. \tag{A.3}$$

Substituting the source term in Eq. (A.1) with $\rho(x', y', s' - v_0t')$ and applying the assumption $t' = t$, we can prove the conclusion

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(x, y, s, t) &= \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0}\iiint g(x - x', y - y', s - s') \cdot \rho(x', y', s' - v_0t)dx'dy'ds' \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0}\iiint g(x - x', y - y', s - v_0t - s'') \cdot \rho(x', y', s'')dx'dy'ds'' \quad (s'' := s' - v_0t) \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0}\iiint g(x - x', y - y', (s - v_0t) - s'') \cdot \rho(x', y', s'')dx'dy'ds'' \\
&=: f(x, y, s - v_0t).
\end{aligned}$$

□

References

[1] S. Kheifets, Potential of a Three-Dimensional Gaussian Bunch, Tech. Rep. PETRA Note 119, DESY (1976). doi:10.3204/PUBDB-2017-01789.

[2] G. Stupakov, G. Penn, Classical Mechanics and Electromagnetism in Accelerator Physics, Graduate Texts in Physics, Springer, 2018. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-90188-6.

[3] A. W. Chao, Special Topics in Accelerator Physics, World Scientific, 2022. doi:10.1142/12757.

[4] V. V. Danilov, P. M. Ivanov, E. A. Perevedentsev, E. A. Simonov, A. N. Skrinsky, Longitudinal effects in beam-beam interaction for an ultra-high luminosity regime, AIP Conference Proceedings 261 (1) (1992) 188–197. doi:10.1063/1.42644.

[5] M. Hogan, J. Rosenzweig, Longitudinal beam-beam effects in circular colliders, in: Proceedings of International Conference on Particle Accelerators, 1993, pp. 3494–3496 vol.5. doi:10.1109/PAC.1993.309695.

[6] K. Hirata, H. W. Moshammer, F. Ruggiero, A symplectic beam-beam interaction with energy change, Part. Accel. 40 (1993) 205–228.

[7] D. Zhou, Formulae of luminosity and beam-beam tune shifts for flat-beam asymmetric colliders, arXiv:2212.12707Dec. 2022. arXiv:2212.12706, doi:10.48550/arXiv.2212.12706.

[8] M. Bassetti, G. A. Erskine, Closed expression for the electrical field of a two-dimensional Gaussian charge, Tech. Rep. CERN-ISR-TH-80-06, CERN (1980). URL https://cds.cern.ch/record/122227

[9] H. J. Kim, Beam-beam interactions, Tech. rep., FNAL (12 2011). doi:10.2172/1038932.

[10] F. Zimmermann, T. Raubenheimer, Longitudinal space charge in final-focus systems for linear colliders, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 390 (3) (1997) 279–285. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(97)00406-3.

[11] J. Qiang, M. A. Furman, R. D. Ryne, A parallel particle-in-cell model for beam-beam interaction in high energy ring colliders, J. Comput. Phys. 198 (1) (2004) 278–294. doi:10.1016/j.jcp.2004.01.008.

[12] J. D. Jackson, Classical Electrodynamics, 3rd Edition, Wiley, New York, NY, 1998.

[13] S. Axler, Measure, Integration & Real Analysis, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer International Publishing, 2019. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-33143-6.