

AGS Stopbands

L. Ahrens

August 1994

Collider Accelerator Department
Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC02-76CH00016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

AGS Complex Machine Studies (AGS Studies Report No. 315) AGS Stopbands	
Study Period:	Various
Participants:	L. Ahrens, M. Blaskiewicz, C. Gardner, W. van Asselt
Reported by:	M. Blaskiewicz
Machine:	AGS
Beam:	Low Intensity, Front Porch
Tools:	Digital Oscilloscope, Agast
Aim:	Understand stopband behavior as a function of machine parameters.

Summary

Some properties of the normal quadrupole and sextupole imperfection resonances in the AGS are discussed. For the sextupole lines the correction settings are strong functions of the current in the horizontal sextupoles. The effect of the vertical sextupoles is unknown as is any dependence on orbital radius. The quadrupole corrections depend on orbital radius. Dependence of these corrections on the current in the sextupoles has not been measured.

Sextupole Corrections

The resonance $3Q_x = 26$ has been studied. by varying the current in the horizontal sextupoles, with the vertical sextupoles turned off it was found that

$$SX26 = 870 - 230(I_{HS}/10A)^2 \quad (1)$$

where $SX26$ is the number of counts in the sine component of the $3Q_x = 26$ correction and I_{HS} is the current in the horizontal sextupoles. The fit was very good with the curve passing through the error bars of the data points. The cosine component is less certain but the best guess is

$$CX26 = 600 + 700(I_{HS}/10A)^2. \quad (2)$$

Power supply constraints bound the counts by ~ 3000 .

Dependence on the current in the vertical sextupoles or radius were not measured. The $2Q_y + Q_x = 26$ resonance has not been studied in detail.

Quadrupole Corrections

For $I_{HS} = 15A$ and $I_{VS} = 0$ the $2Q_x = 17$ and $2Q_y = 17$ resonance corrections depend on main magnet field as

$$\frac{\partial CX17}{\partial B} = 65 \pm 7 \quad \text{counts/Gauss} \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{\partial SX17}{\partial B} = -60 \pm 10 \quad \text{counts/Gauss} \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{\partial CY17}{\partial B} = -15 \pm 6 \quad \text{counts/Gauss} \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{\partial SY17}{\partial B} = -20 \pm 8 \quad \text{counts/Gauss.} \quad (6)$$

The corrections are limited to ~ 3000 counts. The quantitative dependence of these corrections on sextupole current is not known but is thought to be fairly strong.

Discussion

Given the strong dependence on horizontal sextupole current it appears best to have zero current in the sextupoles if one wishes to correct the stopbands. It is necessary to check whether simultaneous correction of all four lines is possible with existing power supplies. On the other hand it has been found that survival is insensitive to the setting of the stopband corrections for high intensity running conditions (35 Tp, with $Q_x = 8.85$ and $Q_y = 8.89$ on the injection porch). A quick study was done to try and determine how close the coherent tunes could get to the horizontal resonance lines for high intensity operations. For the sextupole line it was found that beam survival was affected by the stopband corrections for $Q_x \lesssim 8.78$. The incoherent tune shift, calculated using the IPM and wall current monitor was ≈ 0.3 . The half integer corrections had no noticeable affect for $Q_x = 8.78$. By doing a careful study with the present intensity it appears possible to extrapolate to higher intensity and make a good estimate of the intensity for which stopband corrections will be needed.