

Stopband Correction of the AGS Booster Harmonic Component of C.O.D.

C. Gardner

April 1993

Collider Accelerator Department
Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC02-76CH00016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

<p style="text-align: center;">AGS Complex Machine Studies (AGS Studies Report No. 292) Stopband Correction of the AGS Booster Harmonic Component of C.O.D.</p>	
Study Period:	April 30, 1993
Participants:	C. Gardner and Y. Shoji
Reported by:	Y. Shoji
Machine:	User3; 1.7 kG flat porch; low intensity (middle 5, 60 degrees)
Aim:	To observe C.O.D. and estimate the contribution to the half-integer resonances.

In order to estimate the contribution from C.O.D. to the stop bands, C.O.D. was measured for the different dRset (= set value of RF radial steering) at the zero-dB/dt porch. The C.O.D. contributes to not only the integer resonance. The half-integer stop bands ($2Q_x=9$ and $2Q_y=9$) can be produced by the combination of C.O.D. and sextupole field. The combination of imperfection of the dispersion function ($6*n+3$ harmonic component) and the chromaticity control sextupole field can produce the dependence of half-integer resonance correction on dR.

In this report we use the definitions and results reported in the previous studies reports without indications. These reports are:

Shoji, Gardner, "14th normal sextupole correction", AGS SR-286

Shoji, Gardner, " $2Q_x=9$ correction data before May 7", AGS SR-287

I C.O.D.

The FFT amplitudes of C.O.D. are listed in Table I. The values were average of two measurement at dRset=-0.1 and 0.4. Amplitudes were roughly 1mm.

Table I Harmonic amplitude of C.O.D.

harmonics	amplitude (mm)	
	horizontal	vertical
0th	0.147	1.004
1st	0.689	0.826
2nd	1.364	0.961
3rd	1.502	1.095
4th	1.837	0.905
5th	0.214	1.570
6th	1.908	1.165
7th	0.415	0.778
8th	1.093	0.305
9th	1.222	0.628
10th	0.113	0.537

To make an order estimation of a half integer stop band, we assume that existing sextupole field are only the chromaticity control sextupoles, which just cancel the natural chromaticities. The combination of the dispersion function and the chromaticity control sextupoles changes the tune by 5 for $dP/P=1$. At that time the averaged displacement at the PUEs are 2.09m, which is identical to the average of the dispersion function. The stop band width of 9th half integer resonance is twice the tune shift by the 9th error field. When the amplitude of 9th C.O.D. is 1mm the produced stop band width is:

$$2 * 5 * (1\text{mm}/2.09\text{m}) = 0.0048 .$$

This stop band corresponds to the quadrupole correction

$$0.0048 * 10^5 * (0.7\text{GeV}/c) = 340$$

at the 1.7KG flat porch. This value is comparable or even larger than the long-term fluctuation of the correction currents of $2Q_x=9$. If the C.O.D. and the chromaticities are constant throughout the cycle, the change of C.O.D. appears as a change of B terms of the correction currents. The change of B terms; C_b and S_b produced by the change of 1mm C.O.D. is

$$340 / 1.7\text{kG} = 200 .$$

That is comparable to the measured C_b and S_b .

The change of correction current for $2Q_x=9$ by the change of chromaticity had been measured to be

$$\delta C_o = -58 \text{ and } \delta S_o = 105 \text{ for } \delta \xi_x = 1.068$$

at the same flat porch. The order of these changes are consistent with the order of the amplitudes of C.O.D.

The small change of C.O.D. will change the half integer stop-band significantly.

II Dispersion Function

Dispersion function was calculated as the difference of measured C.O.D. at $dR_{set}=1.9\text{cm}$ and $dR_{set}=-0.6\text{cm}$. To investigate the harmonic component, we calculated

$$\begin{aligned} R2+ & \equiv (A2+B2+C2+D2+E2+F2)/6 = 10.919 \text{ mm} \\ R4+ & \equiv (A4+B4+C4+D4+E4+F4)/6 = 21.584 \\ R6+ & \equiv (A6+C6+D6)/6 + B6/2 = 23.153 \\ R8+ & \equiv (A8+C8+D8)/6 + (B8+F8)/4 = 11.361 \\ \\ R2_- & \equiv (A2-B2+C2-D2+E2-F2)/6 = 0.245 \\ R4_- & \equiv (A4-B4+C4-D4+E4-F4)/6 = -0.004 \\ R6_- & \equiv (A6+C6+D6)/6 - B6/2 = 0.151 \\ R8_- & \equiv (A8+C8+D8)/6 - (B8+F8)/4 = 0.052 \end{aligned}$$

The R_+ series contains $6*n$ harmonic components of dispersion function. And the R_- series contains $6*n+3$ harmonic components of dispersion function, which might be zero if the machine was perfect. The amplitude of $6*n+3$ harmonic components were about 1/100 of normal dispersion function.

We will calculate how much S_r and C_r will change by this imperfection. The relation between dR_{set} and dP/P is

$$\delta(dP/P)/\delta(dR_{set}) = 0.000314 \quad (/cm)$$

Then the change of correction current by the change of dR_{set} by 1cm is

$$0.000314 * 2 * 5 * (1/100) * 10^5 * (0.7\text{GeV}/c) = 2.2$$

This is the C_r and S_r produced by the imperfection of the dispersion. But the measured C_r and S_r at the same flat porch was -96 and -47 for $2Q_x=9$. The imperfection of dispersion function less contributed to the residual loss of the half integer resonance. This result is consistent with the experimental result; the residual loss did not depend on the chromaticities.

The other possible origin of the Cr and Sr is $6n+3$ harmonic sextupole error, which does not come from the chromaticity control sextupoles. To produce as large Cr and Sr as 100, the amplitude of error sextupoles should be comparable to the amplitude of the chromaticity control sextupoles. Then the bare chromaticities should have changed significantly. We must remember that the measured bare chromaticities are $\xi_x = -1.568$ and $\xi_y = -0.623$ [W. Van Asselt]. They are much different from the expected chromaticities.