

Moving Magnets to Cancel 9th Harmonic (Horizontal)

L. Ahrens

October 1987

Collider Accelerator Department
Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC02-76CH00016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

AGS Studies Report

Date(s) 10/1/87 - 10/2/87 Time(s) Various
 Experimenter(s) L. Ahrens, E. Auerbach, E. Bleser, R. Thern,
W. van Asselt
 Reported by R. Thern
 Subject Moving Magnets to Cancel 9th Harmonic (Horizontal)

Introduction

Over the summer, the AGS ring components--main ring magnets and straight section devices--have been realigned. Although the ring is now smoother, the uncertainties in the survey can still cause appreciable harmonics in the measured orbit, particularly for the ninth harmonic. Thus, we can improve the orbit by moving a few magnets to cancel the measured ninth harmonic.

Data

The orbits were taken near 20 GeV/c, at several radii. Figure 1 shows a typical horizontal orbit, at a radius of -0.422 cm and a tune of 8.73. From this and several similar orbits, the average ninth harmonic components at an average radius of -0.4 cm (corresponding to the displacement between the PUE system and the optimum central orbit) are:

Cos: 0.185 cm

Sin: 0.151 cm

Amplitude: 0.239 cm

Phase: 39.2 deg

This can be corrected by moving nine magnets, at the peaks (one sign only) of the 9-theta sine wave. To get equal kicks (in normalized coordinates) at all magnets, the magnet move must take into account the gradient sign (F or D), the length, and the beta function.

<u>Magnets</u>	<u>Length</u>	<u>Beta</u>	<u>Move</u>
A, E, I-16	90 in.	12.50 m	+13.5 mils
C, G, K-3	90	10.46	+15.0
D, H, L-10	75	21.00	-12.5

After this move, the orbit was measured again. Figure 2a shows the orbits, before and after, and Figure 2b shows the difference, which appears to be a ninth harmonic of about the expected amplitude. In fact, the harmonic analysis of this difference orbit gives:

<u>Harmonic</u>	<u>Cos</u>	<u>Sin</u>	<u>Amplitude</u>
7	-0.018	0.010	0.021
8	-0.015	-0.005	0.015
9	-0.222	-0.113	0.249
10	-0.008	-0.016	0.018

The changes are close to what was expected, but not perfect. The measurements, however, contain not only the true orbit signal, but also contributions from the PUE calibration errors. We also took data--to be presented in another note--which will help us to disentangle the two.

mvh

COMPARISON, RT40-RT13




FIGURE 2a

COMPARISON, RT40-RT13




FIGURE 2b