

# BNL-224220-2023-TECH EIC-ADD-TN-052

# Recovering the Waste Energy from the Electron-Ion Collider Cooling Water Systems

R. Srinivasan

April 2023

Electron-Ion Collider

# **Brookhaven National Laboratory**

# **U.S. Department of Energy**

USDOE Office of Science (SC), Nuclear Physics (NP) (SC-26)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No.DE-SC0012704 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

## DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

# Recovering the Waste Energy from the Electron-Ion Collider Cooling Water Systems

Revision	Date	Rev Description	Prepared	Reviewed	Reviewed
А	12/26/22	Initial draft	R Srinivasan		
1	04/03/23	Edits and reviews	R Srinivasan	T Vijaya Kumar	C Folz

# 1 Introduction

While only a fraction of the electricity required for the EIC goes into the collision itself, most of the energy ultimately ends up being rejected to the environment via the infrastructure cooling systems. The energy utilized by the RF amplifiers, power supplies, magnets, vacuum systems, and cryogenics systems is rejected to the cooling towers that ultimately dissipate the energy to the atmosphere. Current estimates indicate approximately 50 MW of heat is dissipated at a low temperature by the cooling towers. A simplified energy balance is shown in Figure 1 below.



This technical note is prepared to conceptually demonstrate that feasible options exist to recover the low-temperature energy from the EIC cooling water systems.

## 2 Analysis

## 2.1 Analyzing the cooling water loads and temperatures.

The EIC Infrastructure group utilizes a requirements spreadsheet to collect cooling water parameters for the design of the EIC cooling tower systems. A listing of the components and heat loads by system is shown in Table 1.

Row Labels	Sum of Cooling Water (CW) Heat Load, kW	Count of Equipment Description		
Beam Dumps	600	10		
Cryogenics (Cryo)	2,707	131		
HR Injection Upgrade Magnet	43	17		
IR Normal Conducting Magnet	257	117		
Power Supplies	2,550	468		
Pre-injector (P-Inj)	136	46		
RF Systems	27,615	855		
Storage Ring Magnets (SR Mag)	4,215	1,526		
Transfer Line Magnets (RCS To ESR)	63	30		
Vacuum (Vac)	10,721	290		
Grand Total	48,906	3,490		

#### Table 1 EIC Components that reject heat to water

There are projected to be over 3000 components that reject heat to the cooling water system of which the large heat loads include the heat rejected in the vacuum systems due to the synchrotron radiation, the heat rejected by the RF amplifiers circulators and absorbers, the heat rejected by the normal conducting magnets used in the storage ring and the heat rejected by to the oil coolers by the helium compressors.



Figure 2 Large cooling water heat loads for EIC

An analysis of the large cooling loads (Figure 2) indicates that the heat is rejected at a temperature range of 86 to 96 Deg. F. The water cooled loads were in the process of being developed at the time of this evaluation and a nominal load of 50 MW was used for the study.

## 2.2 Conventional options for recovering low temperature energy: Carnot Cycle

To evaluate the feasibility of conventional heat recovery, we look at the Carnot potential for the ability to do work:

$$\eta_{carnot} = 1 - \frac{T_{cold}}{T_{hot}} = Carnot \, Efficiency$$

where  $T_{hot}$  is the heat rejected by the accelerator component that requires cooling (96F) and  $T_{cold}$  is the temperature from the cooling tower.



Figure 3 Low Grade Heat Recovery Potential of Cooling Water using Carnot Engine

The figure above is a comparison of the potential to recover energy using a theoretical Carnot Engine at different temperatures. Most power generation technologies operate at temperatures higher than 600 to 800 F where the potential for energy recovery ranges between 33% for a conventional steam plant all the way to 60% for a state of the art modern combined cycle power plant. The EIC water being rejected at 96F has a virtually non-existent potential to recover the energy via a Carnot Cycle Heat Engine and hence other means should be explored for the potential of low temperature heat recovery.

#### 2.2.1 Other Options and Sources of Relatively Higher-Grade Heat

#### Beam Dumps for The Strong Hadron Cooling Heat Recovery LINACs

The energy recovery LINAC (ERL) includes an electron beam dump where electron bunches end up while depositing energy unrecovered by the ERL. The electrons are stopped in solid materials which will be cooled by water. It is estimated that this beam dump will dissipate 600 kW of energy continuously during operation. There may be a possibility to utilize high-pressure water to recover some of the 600 kW energy. For example, the main beam dumps for the linear collider utilize high pressure water to cool the water without evaporation. (Reference 8). Such a system can achieve high temperatures where a Carnot Engine option could be investigated. However, the complexity of the system and potential for water activation may preclude the feasibility of economically recovering a portion of the 600 kW.

#### Heat Recovery from the Cryogenics Compressor Oil Cooler

The oil coolers for the Helium compressors associated with the Cryogenics system can achieve temperatures as high as 150 Deg. F. However, since the building heating is at 150 Deg. F, it would require extensive modifications to recover a smaller fraction of the 2 MW of heat dissipated from the Cryo plant. Note that the average heat exchange occurs at the average of the supply and return hot water.

### 2.2.2 Evaluating the Feasibility of utilizing heat pumps for Building Heating at the Lab

During a recent benchmarking trip to the European Spallation Source in Sweden, it was noted that the facility extracts waste heat utilizing an advanced heat pump district heating program.



Figure 4 R. Garoby, ESS Courtesy Charlie Folz Director EIC Infrastructure - October visit to ESS & Max IV

Heat pumps utilize low-grade heat and convert to high-grade heat with the utilizing a compressor to pump the heat. Assuming that there is a local user available for utilization of the heat sink, we investigated the potential for heat recovery.

The concept would be to evaluate the heat from the EIC and utilize it locally. The low temperature heat from the EIC would need to be cooled via a cooling tower during normal operation. This heat is ultimately dischared to the atmosphere. If in such a configuration a heat pump is utilized, the low temperature cooling water heat at 94 Deg. F can be converted to high temperature water at 150 Deg. F for building heating. The thermal concept of such a system is shown in the figure below.

The cooling water inlet and outlet temperatures represent the low-grade heat source where assuming we were to transfer the heat from a 84F temperature to a temperature suitable for 150 Deg. F building hot water, we would require a heat pump. The difference between the temperature is called the temperature lift.



Figure 5 Thermal Schematic of Heat Recovery with Heat Pump

#### 2.2.3 Estimating Performance and Savings with Refrigerant Compression Heat Pumps

To estimate the savings with a refrigerant compression heat pump we can determine the relationship between work input, temperature lift, and heat output using a parameter known as the heat pump coefficient of performance.

$$COP_{HP} = Q_{out}/W_{in}$$

In this equation,  $Q_{out}$  is the heat delivered by the heat pump and  $W_{in}$  is the energy or "work" supplied to the compressor. This is related to the temperature lift as follows,

R Srinivasan EIC Infrastructure Recovering the Waste Energy from the Electron Ion Collider Cooling Water Systems

$$COP_{HP} = Q_{out}/W_{in}$$

Analyzing Energy Recovery Efficiency with Ideal Carnot Cycle Heat Pump

For an ideal Carnot Cycle Heat pump the Coefficient of performance is given by

$$COP_{Carnot} = \frac{T_{out}}{T_{out} - T_{in}}$$



Figure 6 Heat Pump Schematic with Process Conditions

Here,  $T_{out}$  and  $T_{in}$  are the temperatures at which the heat pump delivers heat at the condenser and receives heat at the evaporator, respectively. Note that these are not the process stream temperatures which must be determined and related to the evaporator and condenser temperature of the refrigerant in the heat pump.

Ideally, for an 84 F EIC cooling water temperature and 150 Deg. F building hot water temperature,

$$COP_{Carnot} = \frac{T_{out}}{T_{out} - T_{in}} = 9.5$$

The amount of energy saved can be defined as the energy required for building heating minus the energy used for the temperature lift.

$$Q_{saved} = Q_{required} - W_{in}$$

Dividing both sides by the energy required, we get the percentage in energy savings.

$$\% Q_{saved} = 1 - \frac{1}{COP}$$

Utilizing a Carnot heat pump with a COP of 9.5, building that utilizes conventional heating can reduce the required energy consumption by 89%, which translates to an 89% reduction in fossil fuel energy input and an 89% reduction in associated heat emissions while delivering the same amount of heat.

Analyzing Energy Recovery Efficiency with Realistic Heat Pump Cycles

From the previous expressions,  $T_{out}$  is the maximum temperature in the refrigerant cycle. This is higher than the process heating stream temperature. The difference is based on the heat exchanger effectiveness of the refrigerant condenser and process supply hot water. The process supply hot water in turn has finite effectiveness that requires it to be above the building heating hot water temperature  $(T_{HW})$ .

Assuming an aggregate temperature differential of 20 Deg. F for the overall heat exchange process, the temperature can be determined as follows:

$$T_{out} = T_{HW} + T_{approach} = 170 \, Deg. F$$

Similarly,  $T_{in}$  is the minimum temperature in the refrigerant cycle and has to be lower than the process cooling stream based on a finite efficiency of the boiling heat transfer process, also known as the evaporator pinchpoint temperature difference.

For the EIC at 86F maximum CW supply temperature ( $T_{CW}$ ), and a heat exchanger pinch and approach temperature difference of 20 Deg.F for the overall heat exchange process, the temperature can be determined as follows:

$$T_{in} = T_{CW} - T_{pinch} = 66 \, Deg. F$$

So effectively, the fluid has to operate between 66 Deg. F and 170 Deg. F, resulting in a coefficient of performance for a system with reasonable effectiveness for the heat exchange process efficiency as

$$COP_{Carnot} = \frac{T_{out}}{T_{out} - T_{in}} = 6$$

This is still an ideal cycle in all other respects, assuming an ideal refrigerant can be found to operate within these temperatures.

To be able to determine a realistic COP for an actual heat pump that operates under these conditions, a cycle analysis was performed utilizing ammonia as a working fluid based on the methods in Reference 6. Looking at the temperature-entropy and pressure-enthalpy diagrams, a discharge pressure of around 700 psi is required in the operating range of the refrigerant fluid. The saturated cycle at this pressure is at 200 Deg. F.

#### R Srinivasan EIC Infrastructure

Recovering the Waste Energy from the Electron Ion Collider Cooling Water Systems



Figure 7: Temperature -Entropy and Pressure-Enthalpy Diagrams with Ammonia as the Working Fluid (Reference 6)

The cycle process flow diagram and process parameters are shown below, where a COP of 2.8 was calculated utilizing ammonia as the working fluid.



Figure 8 Process flow diagram and process parameters for the refrigerant cycle Reference 6

Assuming nominal efficiencies for a realistic refrigeration cycle with reasonable compressor pressures we base the calculations around a nominally conservative COP where commercially available technologies exist.

$$COP_{real} = 2.3$$

So based on a realistic refrigeration cycle this translates to a 58% reduction in fossil fuel energy and a 58% reduction in associated heat emissions while delivering the same amount of heat. The available amount of heat for the EIC is assumed to be 50 MW.

For the rest of this technical note, the 50 MW EIC heat is assumed to be available at a COP of 2.3.

# 3 Evaluating Steam Load Demand from the Users

## 3.1 Current heating usage at BNL

The buildings at BNL are heavily reliant on a high-pressure central steam plant for building heating and hot water. The central plant utilizes fossil fuels including natural gas as its source of combustion energy for the high-pressure boilers. These boilers then typically pipe the steam around the campus to feed individual buildings and generate heating hot water via let-down stations and shell and tube heat exchangers.

There are currently over 100 buildings in the BNL campus that are fed by the steam plant.



Figure 9 BNL Campus Steam Users and Steam Plant

High-pressure steam at 125 psig is distributed campus-wide and the pressure is let down to around 10 psig, which is utilized to produce hot water in the steam converters (plate and frame heat exchangers). See the schematic below for building 1005s which is a typical representation of the steam distribution network. There are currently approximately 10 miles of steam and condensate distribution piping campus-wide.



Figure 10 Schematic of the steam distribution to the various buildings

## 3.2 Integration and Implementation with EIC

The intent of the EIC energy recovery and its use in building heating would be to replace the steam system with the hot water produced by the heat pumps. This could be either via a central hot water production facility or with heat pumps located in each building. Maintaining the 150 Deg. F conditions would imply that the internal piping in the buildings would not have to be replaced and the work could be done during summertime with minimal disruptions.

The figure below shows the operational integration concept with the EIC. When the cooling tower is in operation and there is heat demand, isolation valves would divert the flow to the heat pump in lieu of the cooling tower. Diversion of the flow to the heat pump can be either an on/off sequence or a modulating sequence. However, the system must be designed to have no operational impact on the cooling performed at the EIC.



Figure 11 Implementation Concept Operational

Cooling loads for the machine are identified in the following infographic. The majority of the cooling loads occur at IR10, followed by 2 o'clock, 4 o'clock, 6o'clock, and 7 o'clock.



Location	Heat Load	Supply Temperature	Return Temperature
Cooling Tower at 2 o'clock	10 MW	94F	84F
Cooling Tower at 4 o'clock	6 MW	94F	84F
Cooling Tower at 6 o'clock	7 MW	94F	84F
Cooling Tower at 10 o'clock	23 MW	94F	84F

## 3.3 Analyzing the water Savings Utilizing EIC Waste Heat Recovery

To evaluate the potential for the lab to utilize the EIC waste heat, the total lab steam consumption was analyzed on an annual basis and converted to monthly usage based on degree-day averages obtained from BNL weather data as noted below.

The usage of steam was evaluated based on the FY 2018 demand. Annual steam consumption was estimated to be monthly usage based on averages obtained since 1949.

<u>STEAM</u>					
Fuel	#2 oil, #6 oil, natural gas				
FY 2018 production	474,480 klbs				
FY 2018 peak demand	166,240 lbs/hr				
Steam Pressure	125 psi				

Figure 12 Fact Book: Physical Plant Datasheet Site Planning and Infrastructure Mgmt @ BNL

Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Avg	1099	959	843	530	260	61	7	16	116	374	643	946

Figure 13 BNL Degree Days from https://www.bnl.gov/weather/4cast/heatingdegreedays.htm

The monthly average steam consumption is determined as shown below and compared to the average dissipated heat from the EIC machine.

The percentage of utilization of EIC heat was based on comparing the aggregate monthly heating demand to the amount of waste energy dissipated by the EIC. The EIC is not expected to operate in the summer months, which also coincides with the period of heating steam demand. The demand increases in colder

months resulting in higher utilization in winter. Based on the utilization analysis below there is sufficient heat available to meet the demands of BNL building heating needs.



Figure 14 Determining the amount of heat available for Building Heating based on 8-month operation.

### 3.4 Analyzing Other Savings Utilizing EIC Waste Heat Recovery

#### Cooling Tower Blowdown and Makeup

Utilization of waste heat for producing building heat has the additional benefit of avoiding cooling tower blowdown and makeup.

The cooling tower utilizes the evaporation of water to dissipate process heat. This evaporated water has to be replaced which is known as the process of makeup.

$$Water Evaporation Rate = \frac{Heat \, Dissipated}{Latent \, Heat \, of \, Evaporation}$$

The constant evaporation also requires blowdown to avoid precipitation from dissolved solids, which continuously build-up, and is determined by the operating cycles of concentration. The blowdown gets discharged as effluent to the sanitary system.

Hence, the total makeup is given by:

#### Makeup = water evaporation + blowdown

Based on a 5 cycles of concentration cooling tower, water consumption for the EIC cooling tower can be estimated as follows.

- Cooling Tower Makeup 440 gpm
- Cooling Tower Blowdown 110 gpm



Figure 15 Evaluating Cooling Tower Water Consumption

This results in a savings of 80 million gallons makeup and 20 million gallons blown reduced annually. This assumes all heat is utilized.

#### Reduction in Carbon Dioxide Emissions

Reducing fossil fuel combustion results in a reduction in CO2 emissions based on the assumption that the boilers would continue to use fossil fuel to generate heating steam in the absence of heat pumps.

Utilizing estimating methods from epa.gov, it is estimated that approximately 0.0004 Tons of CO2/kWh (ref. 7) can be avoided by avoiding fossil fuel consumption. This corresponds to an estimated annual savings of 38,000 tons annually.

#### Reduction in Fuel Operating Costs

For every MWt (megawatt thermal) energy avoided, there are unit savings in the amount of \$57.79 per MWh assuming that all future building heat loads will be converted to electric heat. This results in savings of \$5.1 million annually. Note that the all-in electric rate for FY22 was \$57.79/MWh, which was up 47% from the FY21 historic low of \$30.31/MWh. (Reference 1)

# 4 Summary Conclusion and Next Steps

The potential benefits of capturing the waste heat generated from the EIC utilizing commercially available temperature lift heat pump technology are summarized below:

- Reduction in Fossil Fuel Consumption
- Reduction in Water Consumption
- Reduction in Water Effluent Water
- Savings in Energy Costs
- Avoided CO2 emissions

87,300 MWt-hrs Annual 80 million gallons Annual 20 million gallons Annual \$5.1 Million Annual 38,000 metric tons Annual

## 4.1 Benefits beyond BNL.

Lastly, the heat not utilized by the lab may have the potential to be exported or to support project expansion needs. As much as 80,000 MWt-hrs of heat may be available to serve the needs of the local community via a local heating network.

# 5 Next Steps/Recommendation

It is recommended that an engineering study be performed to determine the technical and economic feasibility of the proposed concept.

## 6 References

- 1. BNL FY 2023 Annual Site Sustainability Plan
- 2. EIC Project Preliminary Infrastructure Utility Requirements
- 3. EIC Project Infrastructure Mechanical Cooling System Design
- 4. BNL Fact Book: Physical Plant Datasheet Facilities and Operations https://intranet.bnl.gov/mp/im/factbook/physplantdata.php
- 5. Meteorological data from the BNL environmental science department https://www.bnl.gov/weather/4cast/heatingdegreedays.htm
- 6. NIST Vapor Compression Cycle Model Accounting for Refrigerant Thermodynamic and Transport Properties Version 1.0
- 7. eGRID, U.S. annual CO2 total output emission rate [lb/MWh], year 2019 data from www.epa.gov
- 8. The International Linear Collider Technical Design Report Volume 3.11: Accelerator Baseline Design