

BNL-104097-2014-TECH AGS.SN221;BNL-104097-2014-IR

Tune Measurements at Injection, and Comparison with Similar Measurements Made in July, 1986.

C. Gardner

January 1987

Collider Accelerator Department

Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No.DE-AC02-76CH00016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Number	221	
number	<i>← ← ⊥</i>	

AGS Studies Report

Date(s) 1/22/87	Time(s) 0800 - 1100
Experimenter(s)	C.J. Gardner
Reported by	C.J. Gardner
Subject	Tune Measurements at Injection, and Comparison with
	Similar Measurements Made in July, 1986.

Purpose

When the machine came up this month it was noticed by J.W. Glenn and others that the bare tunes of the machine — i.e. the tunes the machine would have with the tune-shifting nuquads turned off — were not the same as those measured in July, 1986. (They are, in fact, rather different.) The purpose of this study was to measure the tunes at injection for several different settings of the nuquads to verify that the nuquads are working properly and that their calibrations have not changed.

Measurement Results and Conclusions

The tunes were measured at injection using the PIP (Pulsed Injection Parameter) device. The results are shown in Table I. These data were taken with the machine in sav-a-watt, DIFPK set at 2445, beta quads set at 2500, and the zero-theta skew quads set at 600 (coupling nulled). In Figures 1-4 the measured tunes have been plotted as functions of the nuquad settings and straight lines have been fit to the data. The slopes of the fitted lines are

$$\Delta$$
 (HORZ TUNE)/ Δ NUQH = -2.49(2) × 10⁻⁴
 Δ (VERT TUNE)/ Δ NUQH = +1.23(2) × 10⁻⁴
 Δ (VERT TUNE)/ Δ NUQV = +2.69(2) × 10⁻⁴
 Δ (HORZ TUNE)/ Δ NUQV = -1.10(2) × 10⁻⁴ (1)

which are the desired nuquad calibrations. In Table II we have listed these calibrations along with those measured in July, 1986 and along with those calculated by the BEAM code. The numbers are all in good agreement, so it appears that the nuquads are in good shape and that their calibrations have not changed.

The operating point of the machine on the day of this study was with NUQH = -98 and NUQV = 1040, and the tunes measured by the PIP device with these nuquads settings were

$$v_{\rm H} = 8.571(1)$$
 $v_{\rm V} = 8.898(1)$ (2)

before going to sav-a-watt. Using the nuquad calibrations measured during this study we can calculate what the tunes would be with the nuquads off. We find

$$v_{\text{H}}(\text{nuquads off}) = 8.661$$

 $v_{\text{V}}(\text{nuquads off}) = 8.630.$ (3)

If the beta quads, which were set at 2500 during this study, are turned off the BEAM code predicts that the horizontal and vertical tunes will shift by -.012 and -.010, respectively. The tunes given in (3) then become

which we define to be the bare machine tunes. These are to be compared with the bare machine tunes measured in July, 1986 and reported in Ref. 1. The operating point of the machine on 7/9/86 was with the beta quads off and with NUQH = 0 and NUQV = 1050. The measured tunes under these conditions were

$$v_{\rm H} = 8.536(5)$$
 $v_{\rm V} = 8.961(5)$. (5)

Using the nuquad calibrations given in (1) we then find the following bare machine tunes:

$$v_{\rm H}({\rm bare}) = 8.652 \ v_{\rm V}({\rm bare}) = 8.679.$$
 (6)

Comparing these with the bare tunes of 1/22/87 we see that the horizontal tunes are in good agreement but the vertical tunes differ by .06.

We can also compare the tune measurements given above with those made on 1/21/87:

$$v_{\rm H} = 8.620(1)$$
 $v_{\rm V} = 8.876(4).$ (7)

These tunes were obtained with NUQHB = -70, NUQVB = 1000, beta-quads set at 1800, and the zero-theta skew quads set at 600 (coupling nulled). Using the calibrations given in (1), and the respective shifts of -.010 and -.007 in $\nu_{\rm H}$ and $\nu_{\rm V}$ calculated by the BEAM code when the beta-quads go from a setting of 1800 to 0, the bare tunes for the 1/21/87 measurement are found to be

Comparing these tunes with the bare tunes of 7/9/86 and 1/22/87 we again see differences of order .01 to .07. The three bare-tune measurements are summarized in Table III.

These differences in the bare tunes of the machine are presently not understood, but some speculative remarks can be made. Before the January, 1987 measurements were made, eight of the horizontal high-field quadrupoles, which normally operate with currents of no more than 100 Amps, were excited with currents of up to 500 Amps during $\gamma-$ transition studies. (The current in one of the quads may have gone as high as 800 Amps during preliminary tests before the $\gamma-$ transition studies.) Perhaps the resulting changes in the remanent fields of these quads could account for the differences in the bare tune measurements.

It should also be noted that the field at which we inject varies from day to day depending on how the machine has been tuned. The effect of these differences in field on the tunes is easy to estimate:

$$\frac{\Delta v_{\rm H}}{v_{\rm H}} = -\xi_{\rm H} \frac{\Delta B}{B}; \quad \frac{\Delta v_{\rm V}}{v_{\rm V}} = -\xi_{\rm V} \frac{\Delta B}{B}$$
 (9)

where $\xi_{\rm H}$ and $\xi_{\rm V}$ are the horizontal and vertical chromaticities, and ΔB is the change in the B-field. The change in radius, R, due to the change in B-field is given by

$$\frac{\Delta R}{R} \simeq \frac{-1}{v_H^2} \frac{\Delta B}{B} \tag{10}$$

which can be used in (9) to obtain the tune shifts in terms of the radius shift:

$$\Delta v_{\rm H} = v_{\rm H}^3 \, \xi_{\rm H} \, \frac{\Delta R}{R}; \qquad \Delta v_{\rm V} = v_{\rm V} \, v_{\rm H}^2 \, \xi_{\rm V} \, \frac{\Delta R}{R} \, . \tag{11}$$

Using $\xi_{\rm H}=-1.3$, $\xi_{\rm V}=-0.7$, $\nu_{\rm H}=8.7$, $\nu_{\rm V}=8.7$, and R = 12845 cm in (11) we find

$$\Delta v_{\rm H} = -.067 \ \Delta R; \ \Delta v_{\rm V} = -.036 \ \Delta R.$$
 (12)

Thus, if the radius changes by 1 cm, which is a large but not unreasonable shift, then we get tune shifts of the same order as the differences observed in the bare tunes. A careful study of the dependence of the bare tunes at injection on the field at which we inject should be carried out.

References

1. AGS Studies Report, No. 213.

NUQH	NUQV	$v_{ m H}$	ν _v	ξ _H	ξ _V
-1098 - 898 - 698 - 98 - 898 - 898 - 898	1040 1040 1040 1040 140 540 1040	8.795(1) 8.748(1) 8.693(2) 8.547(2) 8.847(1) 8.803(1) 8.748(1)	8.755(4) 8.788(2) 8.813(2) 8.885(1) 8.549(1) 8.661(1) 8.788(2)	-1.4(1) -1.3(1) -1.1(1) -1.1(1) -1.2(1) -1.3(1) -1.3(1)	-1.4(3) -0.7(2) -0.8(1) -0.6(1) -1.1(1) -0.7(1) -0.7(2)
TABLE I					

CALIBRATION MEASURED		CALCULATED	
FACTOR	7/9/86	1/22/87	BY BEAM CODE
Δν _H / ΔΝυQΗ	-2.22(8) × 10 ⁻⁴	-2.49(2) × 10 ⁻⁴	-2.44 × 10 ⁻⁴
Δν <mark>γ</mark> / ΔΝυQΗ	-	$1.23(2) \times 10^{-4}$	1.09 × 10 ⁻⁴
Δν _V / ΔΝυQV	2.38(4) × 10 ⁻⁴	2.69(2) × 10 ⁻⁴	2.37 × 10 ⁻⁴
Δν _H / ΔΝΌΟ	***	$-1.10(2) \times 10^{-4}$	-1.14 × 10 ⁻⁴
TABLE II			

DATE	$v_{ m H}({ m bare})$	ν _V (bare)
July 9, 1986 January 21, 1987 January 22, 1987	8.652 8.703 8.649	8.679 8.609 8.620
	TABLE III	







