

# BNL-104092-2014-TECH AGS.SN216;BNL-104092-2014-IR

# The working Line During Oxygen Acceleration

W. van Asselt

October 1986

Collider Accelerator Department Brookhaven National Laboratory

## **U.S. Department of Energy**

USDOE Office of Science (SC)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No.DE-AC02-76CH00016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

### DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Number 216

#### AGS Studies Report

Date(s) <u>10/27</u> ,	11/1, 11/11/86	Time(s)	Various	
Experimenter(s)	W.K. van Asselt			
Reported by	W.K. van Asselt		<del></del>	
Subject/Purpose	The Working Line Duri	ng Oxygen Acc	eleration	

During the oxygen run in the fall of 1986, tune measurements were performed with the tune meter at several points early in the cycle. Because of the much lower frequencies involved, signal filtering and processing had to be done manually in the Main Control Room. In spite of the low intensity and the relative short pulse of the tune meter kickers, nice coherent signals could be produced.

Figure 1 shows the working line of the AGS during the heavy ion run at three different days with the following settings for the  $\nu$ -quads.

,	v <sub>H</sub>	٧v
10/27/86	- 100	225
11/1/86	- 218	65
11/11/86	- 131	176

The arrows indicate the injection point (80 Gauss) and the last point of the curves represent measurements at 400 Gauss. The crosses indicate the working point of the bare machine at 400 Gauss, using numbers for the strengths of the  $\nu$ -quads from Studies Report 213.

Figure 2 shows the calculated bare machine for these three cases as a function of field.

Apparently the machine is tuned in all cases to avoid hitting resonance lines (except for the less dangerous coupling resonance).

The measurements indicate a significant tune change from day to day. From manipulations with Lotus 1-2-3, the differences can not be accounted for by missing one or more of the power supplies of the  $\nu$ -quads. Although the results are still unexplained, they indicate that in the future a more careful bookkeeping of relevant parameters like cycle length,  $\beta$ -quads, high field quads, sextupoles, etc. Furthermore, the measurements should be performed before and after major changes of the magnetic cycle.

An additional hardware requirement is that we do have two PUE stations, half a betatron period from each other, to provide a reliable radial signal, because changing the orbit harmonics is one of the main parameters during heavy ion tuning, causing significant, but invisible, shift in the closed orbit.

The PIP measurement of July, 1986 (Studies Report 213), furthermore only adds to the confusion.



