BNL-222821-2022-TECH

EIC-ADD-TN-027

Thermal conductivity of RHIC superconducting magnet insulation materials at low temperatues

J. Lu, S. Verdu-Andres

March 2022

Electron-Ion Collider Project

Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC), Nuclear Physics (NP) (SC-26)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No.DE-SC0012704 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY OF RHIC SUPERCONDUCTING MAGNET INSULATION MATERIALS AT LOW TEMPERATURES

Jun Lu†, National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL
Silvia Verdú-Andrés, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY

Abstract

The Electron-Ion Collider (EIC) is planned to be built upon the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC), which vacuum chamber will need to be upgraded to host the EIC hadron beams. For the beam pipe section running through the RHIC superconducting (SC) magnets, the baseline is to insert a screen that will get cooled by thermal contact to the 4.55 K beam pipe of RHIC. Knowing the material properties of the cold mass is thus crucial to understand which is the final temperature that the screen and the superconducting coils in the magnets will reach during the passage of the EIC beams. The thermal conductivities of two insulation materials in the RHIC superconducting magnets and a reference material were measured at cryogenic temperatures at the Magnet Science and Technology division of the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory (NHMFL). The measurements were performed in a Quantum Design physical property measurement system. This report explains the motivation behind this work and summarizes the measurement method and results.

MOTIVATION

The Electron-Ion Collider (EIC) will be built upon the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) of Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL) [1]. The vacuum chamber of RHIC was not designed to host the EIC hadron beams and will need to be updated to show a lower resistive-wall impedance and suppress electron cloud. For the cold bore or beam pipe running through the RHIC superconducting magnets, the baseline solution is to insert a screen that will be passively cooled by thermal contact to the 4.55 K beam pipe [2].

Knowing the cryogenic thermal properties of the electrical insulators in the RHIC superconducting magnets is crucial to understand the operational temperature of the screen – which determines the resistive-wall heating and the vacuum level attained in the vacuum chamber – and the expected temperature reached at the superconducting coils to identify if cooling is sufficient to prevent magnet quench [3]. The electrical insulators are ULTEM® 6200 and RX® 630. Figure 1 shows the cross section of the RHIC arc dipole (superconducting) magnet and the location of these two electrical insulators.




Figure 1: Cross section of RHIC arc dipole magnet.

TEST METHOD

The measurements were performed in a physical property measurement system (PPMS) (Fig. 1(a)) which is equipped with the thermal transport option. See reference [4] for the detailed description of the measurement method. The ULTEM-6200 and RX-630 materials were received from BNL; the cast Nylon-6 material were purchased from McMaster Carr. They were machined to a size of approximately 2 x 4 x 10 mm^3. The exact size of each sample was measured by a digital calliper. ECCOBOND 286 thermally conductive epoxy (Henkel Loctite) was used to attach the leads to the samples in a four-leads configuration. (Fig. 1(b)). The distance between two thermometer leads was measured by the Nikon NEXIV measuring microscope.

The thermal conductivity κ was measured at different temperatures in the steady-state mode (single mode). In this mode, after a propriate level of heating power was turned on, the system waited for the sample to reach steady state defined as stability $dT/T < 0.1\%$. Once the steady state was reached, the temperature difference between the hot and the cold thermometer was measured and used to calculate κ.

† Primary author. E-mail address: junlu@magnet.fsu.edu






Fig.1 (a) The Quantum Design PPMS system. (b) The thermal conductivity measurement puck with a RX-630 sample. Two temperature sensors and a heater can be seen attached to the leads that were glued to the sample by EC-CONBOND 286 thermally conductive epoxy.

TEST RESULTS

The thermal conductivity results are tabulated in Table I and plotted in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2. As shown in Fig. 1, the measured κ values of two Nylon-6 samples are consistent with one another, but slightly higher than those in Martelli paper [5]. This is likely due to the variations in the Nylon material.

The systematic error estimation in a thermal conductivity measurement is rather complex. The random measurement error comes mainly from the uncertainty in the measurement of the distance between two thermometers, as well as the uncertainty in the radiation heat losses. Although the microscope allows us to measure distance more accurately than a calliper, the relatively large size of the thermal leads (width~0.6 mm) and the epoxy used to attach the leads inevitably introduces significant uncertainty. It is advised that the data presented in the report are used with caution within an uncertainty of 10%.




Fig. 1. Nylon-6 data, in comparison with those in Martelli paper [5].




Fig. 2. κ vs. T of ULTEM-6200 and RX-630. Nylon-6 data are also presented for comparison.

REFERENCES

[1] F. Willeke, et al., "Electron Ion Collider: Conceptual Design Report", BNL Technical Report, Brookhaven National Laboratory (2021): https://www.osti.gov/biblio/1765663/

[2] S. Verdu-Andres, et al., "A beam screen to prepare the RHIC vacuum chamber for EIC hadron beams: Conceptual design and requirements". Proc. 12th Int. Particle Acc. Conf. (2021), 2066–2069: https://doi.org/10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2021-TUPAB260

[3] S. K. Nayak et al., "Thermal Analysis of the RHIC Arc Dipole Magnet Cold Mass with the EIC Beam Screen", presented at IPAC'21, Campinas, Brazil, May 2021: https://www.osti.gov/biblio/1785951-thermal-analysis-rhic-arc-dipole-magnet-cold-mass-eic-beam-screen

[4] "Physical Property Measurement System: Thermal Transport Option User's Manual", Quantum Design, Part Number 1684-100B: https://www.mrl.ucsb.edu/sites/default/files/mrl_docs/instruments/PPMSTTOmanualB0.pdf

[5] V. Martelli, et al., "Low-temperature thermal conductivity of Nylon-6/Cu nanoparticles", Physica B, 405 (2010) 4247–4249.

Table 1: Thermal conductivity of Nylon-6, ULTEM-6200 and RX-630 samples

Nylon-6			
Sample 1		**Sample 2**	
T (K)	κ (W/K-m)	**T (K)**	κ (W/K-m)
4.187	0.0147	4.350	0.0154
6.099	0.0228	6.206	0.0228
8.058	0.0327	8.172	0.0321
10.031	0.0433	10.129	0.0424
12.055	0.0557	12.103	0.0537
14.042	0.0676	14.082	0.0652
16.037	0.0800	16.072	0.0764
18.029	0.0923	18.060	0.0883
20.031	0.103	20.056	0.0995
RX-630			
Sample 1		**Sample 2**	
T (K)	κ (W/K-m)	**T (K)**	κ (W/K-m)
3.617	0.0427	3.629	0.0430
4.101	0.0491	4.109	0.0493
4.580	0.0551	4.589	0.0551
5.079	0.0608	5.086	0.0609
5.568	0.0659	5.575	0.0660
6.058	0.0720	6.066	0.0713
ULTEM-6200			
Sample 1		**Sample 2**	
T (K)	κ (W/K-m)	**T (K)**	κ (W/K-m)
4.085	0.0272	4.155	0.0273
6.058	0.0328	6.116	0.0326
8.076	0.0390	8.126	0.0384
10.064	0.0449	10.107	0.0443
12.056	0.0510	12.094	0.0506
14.046	0.0563	14.079	0.0564
16.042	0.0611	16.067	0.0621
18.022	0.0667	18.065	0.0658

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Jun Lu conducted the measurements of the samples. Silvia Verdu-Andres defined the scope of the research, coordinated the exchange of samples, and wrote the "Motivation" section of this report. Jun Lu wrote the rest of the report.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Mike Anerella, Sumanta Nayak and Dan Weiss (BNL) for facilitating samples of ULTEM-6200 and RX-630. The authors would also like to thank GianLuca Sabbi, Tengming Shen (LNL), Roberto Than and Joe Tuozzolo (BNL) for initial discussions.

FUNDING AGENCIES

This work received funds from the Office of Nuclear Physics in the U.S. Department of Energy (DoE's) Office of Science under contract No. DE-SC0012704 with Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC and from Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under subcontract No. 393983 with Florida State University. The NHMFL is operated by Florida State University, University of Florida, and Los Alamos National Laboratory, and supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation and the State of Florida.