

Beam Degradation Wheel for Gold Beams at NSRL

J. Fite

November 2010

Collider Accelerator Department
Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC), Nuclear Physics (NP) (SC-26)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC02-98CH10886 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Beam Degradation Wheel for Gold Beams at NSRL

Version 1.0

Jesse Fite, Seth Nemesure, Michael Sivertz, Adam Rusek, I-Hung Chiang

The NSRL Binary Filter is used to measure the beam energy of heavily ionizing ions by degrading the beam in polyethylene (PE) of thicknesses up to 26 cm. The finest gradation is 0.25 mm. When we are running Gold ions at energies of 100 to 200 MeV/nucleon, the range is quite short; 2 to 7 mm in PE. In order to obtain good energy resolution, we require gradations in PE that are 0.1 mm or finer.

During the NSRL Runs 10A and 10B, we made a degrader system that was mounted manually on the ion chambers used for Gold beam. These degraders are described in [NSRL-TN-10-002](#). The finest step size was 0.025 mm of PE. Although this system gave a good high resolution measure of the Gold beam energy, the time required to change degraders had a severe impact on the experimental running schedule. We wanted to automate the degrader change to make more efficient use of the beam time.

Several designs were considered, and the choice was made to select a pair of degrader wheels, each with 10 possible degrader settings. The “coarse” wheel included degrader thicknesses from 0 to 9 mm in 1 mm steps. The “fine” wheel also had 10 settings from 0.0 to 0.9 mm in 0.1 mm steps. This allowed us to select polyethylene degrader in any thickness from 0.1 to 9.9 mm in 0.1 mm steps.

The two wheels are driven by stepper motors of the same type used in all of the NSRL applications, to simplify software control. And like the other NSRL stepper motor controllers, it is operated using a simple GUI to control the stepper motors. The user interface is shown in Figure 1. It can be adjusted by either typing a number between 0.0 and 9.9 in the window box, or by using the two sliders to select the combination of the two wheel settings that will give the desired degrader thickness. There is also a “HOME” button that will cause the two wheels to rotate until they come into contact with their microswitches. Currently there is significant inertia in the wheels causing an overrun of the home switches. Until this overrun is fixed, the user is dissuaded from using it except when the wheel orientation is completely lost. It is recommended that the “HOME” function be combined with an access to align the two wheels by hand at the 0,0 position.

Access to the GUI is currently via the STARTUP Utility, → start → Commissioning → NSRL Stepper (Rotational Filter Support). Selecting the NSRL Stepper opens a separate window for nsrlStepper. From this window, the user can pull down the




Figure 1: Graphical User Interface for the NSRL Gold Beam Degradation Filter Changer. The desired thickness of degrader can be selected by entering a number between 0.0 and 9.9 mm in the "Thickness" box, or by using the two sliders to select a combination of coarse and fine setting.

"File" menu and select "Open" and choose the Filter_Changer.std application. This loads the application and it shows "filter_chan" under Group. Clicking on "filter_chan" activates the application, showing two functions under "Axis", i.e., Coarse and Fine. Both of these functions need to have a "Device" specified. This refers to the stepper motor connection, 1 through 6. Click on the "NA" to get the pull down menu offering BAF.NSRL_STEP1 – 6. The filter wheel typically uses 5 for Coarse and 6 for Fine. Click on "Status" to turn the motor "ON". Verify that the Speed is set to 3516.0 Scale is set to 2915, Min = 0.0, Max = 10.0, Home = 0.0, Nudge = 1.0, and Direction = +Integer/-Integer for the Coarse Wheel and +Tenths/-Tenths for the Fine Wheel. When the set-up is complete, click on the "filter_chan" Group, closing up the configuration info, and highlighting the "Wizard" button in the bottom left of the GUI. Clicking on the Wizard button creates the GUI in Figure 1.




Figure 2 showing the degreaser wheel in the (0,0) setting.

The wheel assembly in Figure 2 mounts on the rails, close to the vacuum window. Stepper motor cables have been run in the overhead cable trays. Two cables connect to each of the wheels. The pairs of cables are labeled “cm” and “mm”, as are the stepper motor connectors. Each of the degraders has dimensions 4” x 4”. Thickness of the degraders is given in Table 1.

The assembly drawing is shown in Figure 3.




Figure 3: Assembly drawing of the degrader wheel.

The degraders were manufactured out of thin sheets of polyethylene. Each degrader is 4" x 4" across. All of the fine gradations were made up by putting together several sheets of thin polyethylene stock. The stock sheets were of thickness 0.1, 0.2 and 0.5 mm, nominally. These were combined to make all of the submillimeter degraders. The 1 and 2 mm thick degraders were made from 1 mm thick stock. All the thicker degraders, 3-9 mm, were machined from thicker stock.

The thickness of the stock was measured using a micrometer. The density was checked by weighing samples of known dimension to compute the average density. For the thinnest sheets, we use the manufacturer's nominal density of 0.91 g/cm³ rather than our measured density because uncertainty in the thickness measurement is large. In general, the thin sheets had densities of 0.91 g/cm³, while the thicker polyethylene was 0.92 g/cm³. Using these values of density, and the measured thickness of each sample, we derive the areal density of each of the degraders. Also listed in Table 1 is the uncertainty in the measured thickness, as computed from the standard deviation of thickness measurements at a minimum of 9 points around the circumference of the sheet.

Table 1: Degradation thickness and density.

| Filter # | Ideal | Measured | Standard | Density | Density |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | Thickness | Thickness | Deviation | g/cm ³ | g/cm ² |
| | mm | mm | mm | | |
| 1 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.91 | 0.000 |
| 2 | 0.1 | 0.111 | 0.003 | 0.91 | 0.101 |
| 3 | 0.2 | 0.224 | 0.006 | 0.91 | 0.204 |
| 4 | 0.3 | 0.335 | 0.007 | 0.91 | 0.305 |
| 5 | 0.4 | 0.448 | 0.009 | 0.91 | 0.408 |
| 6 | 0.5 | 0.601 | 0.014 | 0.91 | 0.547 |
| 7 | 0.6 | 0.712 | 0.014 | 0.91 | 0.648 |
| 8 | 0.7 | 0.825 | 0.015 | 0.91 | 0.751 |
| 9 | 0.8 | 0.936 | 0.016 | 0.91 | 0.852 |
| 10 | 0.9 | 1.049 | 0.017 | 0.91 | 0.955 |
| 11 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.91 | 0.000 |
| 12 | 1 | 0.995 | 0.009 | 0.92 | 0.915 |
| 13 | 2 | 1.989 | 0.013 | 0.92 | 1.830 |
| 14 | 3 | 3.023 | 0.012 | 0.92 | 2.781 |
| 15 | 4 | 4.056 | 0.017 | 0.92 | 3.732 |
| 16 | 5 | 5.044 | 0.013 | 0.92 | 4.640 |
| 17 | 6 | 5.998 | 0.010 | 0.92 | 5.519 |
| 18 | 7 | 7.045 | 0.015 | 0.92 | 6.482 |
| 19 | 8 | 7.993 | 0.017 | 0.92 | 7.354 |
| 20 | 9 | 9.018 | 0.027 | 0.92 | 8.297 |

There are some anomalies in the degradation thicknesses. Note that accumulating errors in thickness lead to a “0.9 mm” nominal degrader that is really 1.049 mm thick. Conversely the “1.0 mm” nominal degrader is slightly thinner at 0.995 mm measured.