

BNL-219156-2020-TECH IEEE2005;ASC

Magnetization Studies of High Jc Nb3Sn Strands

L. Cooley

January 2005

Superconducting Magnet Division Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC), High Energy Physics (HEP) (SC-25)

Notice: This technical note has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No.DE-AC02-98CH10886 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the technical note for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this technical note, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Magnetization studies of high J_c Nb₃Sn strands

A. K. Ghosh, L. D. Cooley, A. R. Moodenbaugh, J. A. Parrell, M. B. Field, Y. Zhang, and S. Hong

Abstract— Magnetization measurements have been made on several high J_c Nb₃Sn strands fabricated by different internal-Sn designs. In general these conductors have high magnetization at low fields, often exhibiting flux-jumps that are characteristic of large superconductor diameter. The effective filament size d_{eff} is approximately the size of the sub-element because the filament pack within each sub-element is fully coupled. Dividing the filament pack of the sub-element by adding Ta is effective for reducing d_{eff} and magnetization instability. But, some residual coupling across the dividers seems to remain below 6 K, perhaps due to Ta₃Sn. Implications for accelerator magnets are discussed.

Index Terms—Effective filament diameter, magnetization measurement, niobium-tin compounds, superconducting filaments and wires

INTRODUCTION

THE requirements of high-field magnets (12-16 T) suitable I for high energy particle accelerators has pushed up the critical current density J_c of Nb₃Sn wires. At present this limit is about 3000 A/mm² within the non-copper region of the wire at 12 T and 4.2 K. Oxford Instruments - Superconducting Technology (OI-ST) has been at the forefront of development, achieving this record J_c in internal-Sn strand designs using the restacked-rod process (RRP) [1]. Earlier OI-ST also developed high J_c (>2000 A/mm²) using the patented Modified Jelly Roll (MJR) method, which employs a coiled Nb mesh instead of extruded Nb rods [2]. In both cases, these high current densities were a result of designing sub-elements with high Nb-alloy and Sn area, while simultaneously reducing the Cu content to minimal levels and surrounding each sub-element with its own Nb diffusion barrier. A side-effect of this design is the unavoidable coalescence of the Nb filaments during reaction to form a continuous region of Nb₃Sn. In addition, the barrier is allowed to react, adding a second continuous current-carrying region. Figure 1 shows the reacted subelements of a RRP strand at 0.8 mm diameter, which resemble continuous tubes. Furthermore, depending on the duration of reaction at 650-700 °C, and in sections where the barrier has thinned during wire-drawing, the reaction can penetrate through to the copper outside the barrier. All of these features

Manuscript received October 5, 2004.

This work was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-98CH10886.

AKG, LDC, and ARM are affiliated with Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973 USA (phone: 631-344-3974; fax: 631-344-2190; e-mail: aghosh@ bnl.gov).

JAP, MBF, YZ, and SH are with Oxford Superconducting Technology, Carteret, NJ, USA

contrast with those of lower- J_c (~750 A/mm²) low-loss wires developed for fusion magnets (*e.g.*, ITER), where the Nb and Sn content are kept low and the diffusion barrier is usually Ta.

The increase in J_c has thus come at the expense of large superconductor dimension. With the number of sub-elements N = 54, and with 50% copper stabilizer, a typical sub-element diameter d_N is ~80 µm. This leads to magnetization values that are two orders of magnitude higher than for typical Nb-Ti accelerator magnet conductors, which may produce unacceptably large error fields. In a separate paper we show that the flux-jumps lead to a "magnetic" instability at low fields in these strands carrying transport currents [3].

In this paper we describe some magnetization measurements of internal-Sn strands produced by the MJR and RRP process, and explore one option of reducing the magnetization by incorporating Ta to sub-divide the tube-like reacted superconductor.

I. SAMPLE PREPARATION AND MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES

Samples of wire ~ 30 cm long were reacted in vacuum. All samples were given the same initial steps of 48 h at 210 °C followed by 48 h at 400 °C. Final reaction temperatures were 665 °C +/- 10 °C for times ranging from 72-180 h, the temperature varying downward from the reference thermocouple with higher mass loaded into the furnace. At the same time, samples for critical current (I_c) measurements were reacted separately, as described elsewhere [4]. After reaction, a hexagonal bundle of 7 wires were potted in epoxy and cut using a diamond saw to a length of 6 mm. A typical wire twist pitch used to make these 6-around-1 bundles was 13 mm. Note that after reaction the diameters *D* of all the strands increased by approximately 5-6%. However, J_c is calculated using the un-reacted strand diameter and non-copper fraction.

Magnetization and susceptibility measurements were made with a commercial SQUID magnetometer with the field



	I ABLE I									
	STRAND PARAMETERS									
Billet	Final HT	ΔM (3 T)	Non-Cu %	D	Ν	d_N	$J_c(12 \text{ T})$	$J_{e}(12 \text{ T})$	$J_e(3 \text{ T})$	$d_{e\!f\!f}$
		kA/m		mm		μm	A/mm ²	A/mm ²	A/mm^2	μm
MJR 202	675°C / 72h	173.6	38.5	0.80	42	77	1948	729	4027	102
MJR 163	675°C / 180h	177.6	39.5	0.80	42	78	1812	688	3881	108
RRP 7054	675°C / 180h	254.9	50.0	0.70	54	68	2655	1266	5797	104
RRP 7054	665°C / 72h	273.1	50.0	0.70	54	68	2899	1449	7045	91
RRP 7260	665°C / 72h	124.7	51.2	0.90	54	88	1966	1007	5555	53
RRP 7260	675°C / 180h	103.6	51.2	0.90	54	88	2046	1004	5783	42
RRP 7261	665°C / 72h	313.4	51.7	0.90	54	88	2320	1148	6858	108
RRP 7261	675°C / 180h	305.1	51.7	0.90	54	88	2491	1220	72886	99
	Billet MJR 202 MJR 163 RRP 7054 RRP 7054 RRP 7260 RRP 7260 RRP 7261 RRP 7261	Billet Final HT MJR 202 675°C / 72h MJR 163 675°C / 180h RRP 7054 675°C / 180h RRP 7054 665°C / 72h RRP 7260 665°C / 72h RRP 7260 675°C / 180h RRP 7260 675°C / 180h RRP 7261 675°C / 180h RRP 7261 675°C / 72h	Billet Final HT △M (3 T) kA/m MJR 202 675°C/72h 173.6 MJR 163 675°C/180h 177.6 RRP 7054 675°C/180h 254.9 RRP 7054 665°C/72h 273.1 RRP 7260 665°C/72h 124.7 RRP 7260 675°C/180h 103.6 RRP 7261 665°C/72h 313.4 RRP 7261 675°C/180h 305.1	Billet Final HT ΔM (3 T) Non-Cu % MJR 202 675°C / 72h 173.6 38.5 MJR 163 675°C / 180h 177.6 39.5 RRP 7054 675°C / 180h 254.9 50.0 RRP 7054 665°C / 72h 273.1 50.0 RRP 7260 665°C / 72h 124.7 51.2 RRP 7261 665°C / 72h 313.4 51.7 RRP 7261 675°C / 180h 305.1 51.7	TABLE STRAND PARA Billet Final HT ∆M (3 T) Non-Cu % D MJR 202 675°C/72h 173.6 38.5 0.80 MJR 163 675°C/180h 177.6 39.5 0.80 RRP 7054 675°C/180h 254.9 50.0 0.70 RRP 7054 665°C/72h 273.1 50.0 0.70 RRP 7260 665°C/72h 124.7 51.2 0.90 RRP 7260 675°C/180h 103.6 51.2 0.90 RRP 7261 665°C/72h 313.4 51.7 0.90 RRP 7261 675°C/180h 305.1 51.7 0.90	TABLE I STRAND PARAMET Billet Final HT △M (3 T) Non-Cu % D N MJR 202 675°C / 72h 173.6 38.5 0.80 42 MJR 163 675°C / 180h 177.6 39.5 0.80 42 RRP 7054 675°C / 180h 254.9 50.0 0.70 54 RRP 7054 665°C / 72h 273.1 50.0 0.70 54 RRP 7260 665°C / 72h 124.7 51.2 0.90 54 RRP 7260 675°C / 180h 103.6 51.2 0.90 54 RRP 7261 665°C / 72h 313.4 51.7 0.90 54 RRP 7261 675°C / 180h 305.1 51.7 0.90 54	TABLE I STRAND PARAMETERS Billet Final HT ΔM (3 T) Non-Cu % D N d _N MJR 202 675°C / 72h 173.6 38.5 0.80 42 77 MJR 163 675°C / 180h 177.6 39.5 0.80 42 78 RRP 7054 675°C / 180h 254.9 50.0 0.70 54 68 RRP 7054 665°C / 72h 273.1 50.0 0.70 54 68 RRP 7054 665°C / 72h 124.7 51.2 0.90 54 88 RRP 7260 675°C / 180h 103.6 51.2 0.90 54 88 RRP 7261 665°C / 72h 313.4 51.7 0.90 54 88 RRP 7261 675°C / 180h 305.1 51.7 0.90 54 88	TABLE 1 STRAND PARAMETERS Billet Final HT △M (3 T) Non-Cu % D N d _N J _c (12 T) MJR 202 675°C / 72h 173.6 38.5 0.80 42 77 1948 MJR 163 675°C / 180h 177.6 39.5 0.80 42 78 1812 RRP 7054 675°C / 180h 254.9 50.0 0.70 54 68 2655 RRP 7054 665°C / 72h 273.1 50.0 0.70 54 68 2899 RRP 7260 665°C / 72h 124.7 51.2 0.90 54 88 1966 RRP 7260 675°C / 180h 103.6 51.2 0.90 54 88 2046 RRP 7261 665°C / 72h 313.4 51.7 0.90 54 88 2320 RRP 7261 675°C / 180h 305.1 51.7 0.90 54 88 2491	IABLE I STRAND PARAMETERS Billet Final HT ΔM (3 T) Non-Cu % D N d _N J _c (12 T) J _e (12 T) MJR 202 675°C / 72h 173.6 38.5 0.80 42 77 1948 729 MJR 163 675°C / 180h 177.6 39.5 0.80 42 78 1812 688 RRP 7054 665°C / 72h 273.1 50.0 0.70 54 68 2899 1449 RRP 7054 665°C / 72h 124.7 51.2 0.90 54 88 1966 1007 RRP 7260 675°C / 180h 103.6 51.7 0.90 54 88 2046 1004 RRP 7261 675°C / 180h 305.1 51.7 0.90 54 88 2491 1220	TABLE I STRAND PARAMETERS Billet Final HT △M (3 T) Non-Cu % D N d _N J _c (12 T) J _e (12 T) J _e (3 T) MJR 202 675°C / 72h 173.6 38.5 0.80 42 77 1948 729 4027 MJR 163 675°C / 180h 177.6 39.5 0.80 42 78 1812 688 3881 RRP 7054 675°C / 180h 254.9 50.0 0.70 54 68 2655 1266 5797 RRP 7054 665°C / 72h 273.1 50.0 0.70 54 68 2899 1449 7045 RRP 7260 665°C / 72h 124.7 51.2 0.90 54 88 1966 1007 5555 RRP 7260 675°C / 180h 103.6 51.2 0.90 54 88 2046 1004 5783 RRP 7261 665°C / 72h 313.4 51.7 0.90 54 88 2491 1220 72886

transverse to the wire bundle. The background solenoid (maximum field 5 T) was in persistent mode during the measurement and field steps are made with no overshoot. Hysteresis loops were acquired at 4.5 K using a typical field step of 0.1 T. Widths of hysteresis loops ΔM at 3 T field were calculated using the total wire volume. The susceptibility of the sample was measured while warming in a 10 mT field after having been cooled to 4.5 K in zero-field.

Critical current measurements were made at 8-11.5 T at BNL and at higher fields at OI-ST. Besides the 12 T J_c for the non-Cu region, we also determine the "engineering" current density J_e as the equivalent current density within the total wire cross-section. We extrapolate using an exponential fit to estimate J_e at the 3 T fields where ΔM was determined, and these values of J_e and ΔM were used to calculate the effective filament diameter d_{eff} [5] from the critical state model:

$$d_{eff} = (3\pi/4)\Delta M/J_e \tag{1}$$

Note that d_{eff} is only a representation of the magnetization and is used to gauge the multifilamentary nature of the composite. For internal-Sn Nb₃Sn wires, this d_{eff} is always larger than the individual filament diameter prior to reaction, which is indicative of filament bridging [5-7]. In the present case, where the filaments coalesce into a tube of superconductor, it is comparable to the sub-element diameter d_N .



Fig. 2 Plot shows the normalized susceptibility versus temperature for samples A (triangles) and B (filled circles). The 9 K transition is that of the un-reacted Nb-barrier.



Fig. 3 Volume magnetization of sample A and B at 4.5 K. Drop in magnetization is an indication of flux-jump. Since measurements are taken at intervals, the extent of the drop is hidden by the re-magnetization after the flux-iump.

II. MEASUREMENTS

Table I presents a summary of the different wires that were measured and the results that were obtained. Samples A and B have the same design features, whereas sample C and D are the newer high J_c RRP design. Samples D and E are from a billet with Ta-dividers, G and H are from a control billet without the dividers, and in these billets the tin-content is reduced to match the niobium content in the filament pack. The longer reactions times are usually used by OS-IT to optimize J_c . However, recent studies have shown that comparable J_c can be obtained with shorter times. This is discussed in subsequent sections.

A. MJR-Type wire

Figure 2 shows the susceptibility of wires from two MJR billets with different reaction times. The shoulder before the transition at 9 K shows that for longer times the Nb-barrier is more fully reacted. For the long reaction times, the barrier, which is not always uniform, can be breached where it is thin, allowing Sn to leak into the stabilizing Cu and resulting in a much lower *RRR* (the ratio of resistance at 295 K to that at 18 K). For instance, sample B has an *RRR* of 3 compared to 61 for sample A. However, the magnetization of the two wires is fairly similar, as shown in Fig. 3. A common feature of all the wires is the observation of flux jumps at low fields [8-10], which in Fig. 3 is seen below 1 T. Note that sample A is cycled from positive to negative fields, whereas sample B is only

cycled through positive fields as a conductor in a magnet would be. This can produce different flux-jump behavior.

B. RRP-Type wire

The 0.7mm diameter RRP wires from billet 7054 have a higher percentage of Nb and Sn in the sub-element as compared to the MJR wires, as discussed earlier. Consequently the J_c at 12 T is also higher, and larger magnetization and flux-jump instabilities up to 1.5 T are found. In this case, reaction times of 72 h were sufficient to fully react the barrier with the wire having a $J_c(12 \text{ T})$ of 2900 A/mm². For longer times, the J_c and the *RRR* of the wire drops. Fig. 4 shows the magnetization of the two wires from billet 7054.



Fig. 4. Plot of magnetization at 4.5 K for samples C and D.

C. RRP-Type wires with Ta divider

One way to reduce magnetization and hence d_{eff} , in the strand is to introduce non-superconducting internal barriers to divide the filament pack in the sub-element. Zeitlin *et al.* have used Nb60wt%Ta fins to separate the Nb filament array with some success [11]. In OI-ST's innovative strand design (Billet 7260), copper clad Ta rods were used to split the filament pack into six approximately rectangular regions, and Ta sheet was placed against the Nb-barrier to prevent it from reacting and forming a continuous ring of Nb₃Sn, as shown in Fig. 5. Light microscopy analyses, as exemplified in Fig. 5, indicated that the Ta filament spokes indeed seemed to divide the reacted Nb₃Sn area, while the Ta sheet seemed to likewise be effective for preventing barrier reaction.

This scheme appears to have been quite successful at



Fig. 5 Photomicrographs of un-reacted 0.8 mm wire from billet 7260. A reacted sub-element is shown at the right

achieving the intended electromagnetic changes as well: The magnetization of samples F and H, which were reacted for 180 h at 675 °C and should have full coupling if it is to emerge, are compared in Fig. 6. This shows an obvious reduction in the overall magnetization and the field at which flux jumps appear. In Figs. 7 it is obvious that this suppression occurs throughout the superconducting state, indicating that indeed the length scale for the dimension of the superconductor has been reduced closer to the adiabatic threshold. In Table I, both samples E and F exhibit clear reduction in the value of d_{eff} over their counterparts, samples G and H respectively.



Fig. 6. Volume magnetization of samples F and H as a function of field at 4.5 K. As magnetization decreases the incidence of flux-jumping also decreases.



Fig. 7. A plot of magnetization vs. field for sample F at different temperatures. No flux jumps are observed above 6 K.

One consequence of introducing dividers and barriers is that they take up part of the non-copper area that could be used for Nb₃Sn, and hence the J_c of the composite will be lower. This assumes of course that the critical current density within the Nb₃Sn itself is maintained at a constant level. Compared to a control billet (7261) made without these modifications, the expected J_c reduction for billet 7260 is ~15%, as indicated in Table I for both final reactions studied.

Sumption *et al.* [12] have calculated the effect of subdivisions on the magnetization of a superconducting cylinder. These calculations estimate that the magnetization for wires from 7260 would be a factor of 5 lower than that of wires from billet 7261. However, while our data show a lower magnetization for billet 7260, the reduction in magnetization at 4.5 K is only a factor of 3. We speculate that the divided Nb₃Sn filament packs are still coupled by narrow regions of superconductor with a lower critical temperature T_c . Such a region should produce an extra contribution to the magnetization, but might not contribute to the transport J_c . In particular, Ta₃Sn has a T_c of ~7 K and an upper critical field of ~5 T at 4.5 K [13].



Fig. 8 Plot of the scaled magnetization width for sample F (Ta-divided) as a function of the reduced field b. Inset shows the same plot for sample H (from the control billet).

To explore this possibility, the magnetization was measured at 6, 8, 10, and 12 K. This is shown in Fig. 7 for sample F. From these measurements, we scale $\Delta M \ (\propto J_c)$ as a function of reduced field $b=B/B_{c2}(T,\varepsilon)$ at the different reduced temperatures $t = T/T_c$ using Summer's formulation [14]:

$$\Delta M_{S} = C(\Delta M) B_{c2}(T,\varepsilon)^{1/2} (1-t^{2})^{2} .$$
 (2)

Here B_{c2} is the upper critical field, expressed with its temperature *T* and strain ε dependence, *B* is the applied field, $t=T/T_{c0}(\varepsilon)$, $T_{c0}(\varepsilon)$ is the critical temperature in zero field, and *C* is a scaling coefficient independent of temperature and field. The following parameters were used: strain $\varepsilon = -0.0016$, $B_{c2} =$ 28.5 T, and $T_{c0} = 17.8$ K for Sample F and 18.3 K for sample H, respectively. The result of this scaling analysis is shown in Fig. 8. Notice that the data set at 4.5 K lie off of the scaling curve, which is evidence that an additional component of magnetization contributes to those data. This could indeed be due to Ta₃Sn. By contrast, sample H from billet 7261, which received the same reaction but does not incorporate tantalum, shows good scaling with temperature for magnetization widths at 4.5, 6.0, 8.0, 10.0, and 12.0 K (inset in Fig. 8).

III. CONCLUSION

In present Nb₃Sn strand designs, high J_c has been achieved at the expense of large magnetization and flux-jump instability. This magnetic instability can, at low fields, give rise to unpredictable error fields in magnets, hence for accelerator high field magnets it is desirable to have d_{eff} less than ~40 µm. Moreover, the tendency to initiate flux jumps at low fields makes those sections of magnets prone to quench, even though the operating current might be well below the critical current in the high-field regions of the magnet.

The use of Ta dividers is effective for reducing the magnetization in these strands. However at 4.5 K, there appears to be some residual coupling of the divided filament sections, which could be due to Ta₃Sn. This leads to higher than expected magnetization there. Otherwise, the divided strands produce the desired reduction in superconductor dimension. It is possible that with further refinements of this design, strands could be made that meet the 40 μ m d_{eff} goal. It is also significant that J_c too has been reduced due to the loss of Nb₃Sn area. Hence R&D should be made to further increase the critical current density of the Nb₃Sn layer itself.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

A. K. Ghosh thanks A. Werner and R. Sikora for heat treating the samples, and E. Sperry for his expert help in measuring the critical current of the strands.

REFERENCES

- J. A. Parrell, M. B. Field Y. Zhang and S. Hong, "Nb3Sn conductors development for fusion and particle accelerator applications",)", *Adv. in Cryo. Eng.*, vol. 50, ed. U. Balachandran, pp. 369-375, 2004.
- [2] J. A. Parrell, Y. Zhang, R. W. Hentges, M. B. Field and S. Hong, "Nb₃Sn strand development at Oxford Superconducting Technology", *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.*, vol. 13, pp. 3470-3473, June 2003.
- [3] A. K. Ghosh, L. D. Cooley, and A. Moodenbaugh, "Investigation of instability in high Jc Nb₃Sn strands", to be presented at this conference, paper 2MB03.
- [4] R. Soika, L.D. Cooley, A.K. Ghosh, A. Werner, "Fixture for testing of modern high energy physics Nb₃Sn strands", *Adv. in Cryo. Eng.*, vol. 50, ed. U. Balachandran, pp. 67-74, 2004
- [5] A. K. Ghosh, K. E. Robins and W. B. Sampson, "Magnetization measurements on multifilamentary Nb₃Sn and NbTi conductors", *IEEE Trans. Magnetics*, vol. 21, pp. 328-331, March 1985.
- [6] R. B. Goldfarb and J. W. Ekin, Cryogenics 26, pp. 478, 1986.
- [7] A. K. Ghosh and M. Suenaga, "Magnetization and critical currents of Sn-core multifilamentary Nb₃Sn conductors", *IEEE Trans. Magnetics*, vol. 27, pp. 2407-2410, March 1991.
- [8] E. Barzi, P. J. Limon, R. Yamada and A. V. Zlobin,"Study of Nb₃Sn strands for Fermilab's high field dipole magnets" *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.*, vol. 11, pp3595-3598, March 2001.
- [9] R. B. Goldfarb, L. F. Goodrich, T. Pyon and E. Gregory, "Suppression of flux jumps in marginally stable Niobium-Tin superconductors", *ibid.* pp. 3679-3682.
- [10] M. D. Sumption, X. Peng, E. Lee, X. Wu and E. W. Collings, "Analysis of magnetization, AC loss, and deff for various internal-Sn based Nb3Sn multifilamentary strands with and without subelement splitting", *Cryogenics*, Vo. 44, October 2004, pp 711-725.
- [11] B. A Zeitlin, et al., "Progress in the use of internal fins as barriers to reduce magnetization on high current density monoelement internal Tin conductors (MEIT)", Adv. in Cryo. Eng., vol. 50, ed. U. Balachandran, pp. 417-424, 2004
- [12] M. D. Sumption, X. Peng and E. W. Collings, "Magnetization and d_{eff} in HEP relevant RIT type Nb₃Sn strands-influence of internal barriers", *ibid.*, pp. 836-843, 2004.
- [13] G. Otto, "Preparation and properties of Ta-Sn and Nb-Ge diffusion layers", J. Appl. Phys. vol. 42, p. 57, 1971.
- [14] L. T. Summers, M. W. Guinan, J. R. Miller, and P. A Hahn, "A Model for the prediction of Nb₃Sn critical current as a function of field, temperature, strain, and radiation damage", *IEEE Trans. Magnetics*, vol. 27, pp. 2041-2044, March 1991.